

NAPOLEON THE THIRD:

REVIEW

OF HIS

LIFE, CHARACTER, AND POLICY;

Extracts from his Writings and Speeches,

AND

REFERENCES TO CONTEMPORARY OPINIONS.

BY

A BRITISH OFFICER

LONDON

LONGMAN, BROWN, GREEN, LONGMANS, & ROBERTS.

1857.

LONDON:
Printed by Spottiswoode & Co.,
New-Street-Square.

To my wife.

THE INTEREST WHICH YOU HAVE TAKEN IN THE PROGRESS OF THIS WORK,
AND YOUR INNATE LOVE OF TRUTH AND JUSTICE,
ASSURE ME THAT YOU APPRECIATE AN EFFORT INTENDED TO ELUCIDATE
GREAT PRINCIPLES AND MOTIVES,
TO REMOVE MISCONCEPTIONS,
AND TO BRING FORWARD FACTS,
UNDISGUISED BY PREJUDICE OR PARTIALITY.

HAVING APPROVED MY OBJECT, AND SYMPATHISED WITH MY LABOURS,
TO NO ONE COULD

This Volume

BE MORE APPROPRIATELY DEDICATED.

THE AUTHOR.

P R E F A C E.

THE object of this volume is plain and direct. It is to describe, in their true colours, public events and personal conduct which, in former years, were the subjects of much misapprehension and prejudice.

Noble deeds, nobly performed, have in great measure dissipated the prejudice alluded to. Esteem and confidence are taking the place of the opposite sentiments, both with respect to the Emperor of the French and to the Nation which he so wisely governs. We can appreciate honour, courage, consistency. When these qualities have approved themselves through a career of unusual vicissitude, we are candid enough to recognise them, whoever be the men by whom they are displayed. And when it is made evident that friendship for us has been all through, as it still is, one of his ruling sentiments, we can hold out the hand of fellowship, and frankly accept that friendship, equally honourable to both parties.

If, through the influence of the Emperor, the feelings of England towards France have undergone a salutary change, those of our neighbours have, with regard to ourselves, experienced an equally wholesome alteration. It is moderate to affirm that history presents no example of two great nations passing so speedily, and

on grounds so reasonable and intelligible—on grounds, too, independent of political combinations—from coldness to confidence. The auspicious change is no transitory ebullition of feeling, no offspring of temporary circumstances: it is founded on the better knowledge of each other which, in England and France, has been produced by the policy and labours of Napoleon the Third.

In accomplishing this, he has conferred substantial service on mankind. For the effect of his policy embraces even a wider scope of view than that comprised in the thorough reconciliation of the two nations which are the leaders and representatives of Christian civilisation. The most prominent passages of his career, as well as the position which the French Empire now occupies in Europe, shall be temperately considered in the following pages.

True though it be, that the character and principles of the Emperor are better understood than they were a few years back, it has appeared to the writer desirable to put forward, in a durable shape, a candid exposition of sundry points which are still the subjects of controversy, to clear up the obscurity which envelopes many circumstances, and to bring the whole life of Napoleon the Third fairly into review. As is elsewhere explained, it is intended in this work rather to elucidate principles by reference to leading events, than to give a narrative of minute details; and the author trusts that this plan has been so carried out as to do justice to the great man who stands so honourably conspicuous amongst the sovereigns of Europe.

CONTENTS.

SECTION I.

General Remarks	- - - - -	Page 1
-----------------	-----------	--------

SECTION II.

1808 to 1840.

CHAPTER I

Birth, Exile, Childhood.—Education.—Life in Switzerland.—The Italian War.—The Gratitude and Clemency of King Louis Philippe	- - - - -	28
---	-----------	----

CHAPTER II.

The second Sojourn in Switzerland.—Thoughts, Studies, Occupations, and Aspirations	- - - - -	39
--	-----------	----

CHAPTER III.

State of France in 1836.—The Adventure of Strasburg.—Exposition of Motives and Principles.—The compulsory Voyage.—The Return.—The Calumny.—The Refutation.—Death of Queen Hortense.—Vindictive Proceedings of the French Government.—Reminiscences of Residence in England	- - - - -	53
--	-----------	----

CHAPTER IV.

The Policy of the Empire as expounded by Prince Louis Napoleon.	
— Excerpts from "Les Idées Napoléoniennes." — Protest against Sympathy with Socialist Conspiracies -	Page 88

SECTION III.

1840 to 1848.

CHAPTER I.

The Enterprise of Boulogne. — Objects and Plans. — Results, immediate and deferred. — The Trial. — Declaration of Principles. — The Judgment -	109
--	-----

CHAPTER II.

The Imprisonment. — Faith, Courage, and Endurance. — The dying Parent. — Paternal Solicitude and filial Reverence. — The Gage of Honour: its Rejection. — The Temptation: its Repulse -	129
---	-----

CHAPTER III.

The Escape. — The Interdict. — Diplomacy warring with Nature. — Death of the ex-King of Holland -	147
---	-----

CHAPTER IV.

The Revolution of 1848. — The attempted Ban. — The Nation's Reply. — Louis Napoleon in the Assembly. — The Din of Factions. — The Insurrection of June. — A Specimen of political Foresight. — Election of the President -	161
--	-----

SECTION IV.

1848 to 1851.

THE REPUBLICAN EXPERIMENT.— THE PRESIDENCY.

CHAPTER I.

View of the President's Position. — His Labours for the Success of the Experiment of 1848.— The Factions in the Assembly.— Bourbonite Intrigues and Socialist Conspiracies.— Riotings in the Chamber.— Ferocious Avowals of the Reds.— Foreign and native Expressions of Opinion.— Pardons and Amnesties.— Clemency and Prudence.— Charities and Beneficences.— Louis Napoleon face to face with the Disaffected.— France and Italy.— The Roman Expedition.— Reflections on its Policy and Morality - Page 176

CHAPTER II.

Legislative Elections. — Wise Forbearance of the President.— Increasing Impracticability of the Assembly.— Anti-British Intrigues.— Fidelity of the President to friendly Relations with England.— Provincial Tour.— Enthusiastic Reception.— Position and Pretensions of General Changarnier.— Persistent Slanders against the Chief of the State - - - - - 196

CHAPTER III.

Anomalous State of Parties in 1851.— The Changarnier Bubble exploded.— The "Fusion" Negotiations between the Bourbonites.— Inefficiency of the Constitution.— National Demand for its Revision; and for the Abolition of the Electoral Disfranchisement Law.— Cordial Sympathy between the President and the People.— Audacity of the Anti-Social Faction.— Imminence of Anarchy and Blood - - - - - 223

CHAPTER IV.

Tactics of the Orleanists.— The "Perfide Albion" Cry.— Dignified Attitude of the President.— Infatuation of the Royalist Partisans.— Reactionary Consultations at Wiesbaden and Claremont 237

CHAPTER V.

Faction in France compared with Faction in England and America. — The Contrast defined.— The Constitution of 1848.— Conduct of the President analysed by an Englishman.— Duty and Obliga- tion: the Substance? or the Letter? - - - -	Page 247
--	----------

SECTION V.

THE SECOND PRESIDENCY.— THE EMPIRE.

CHAPTER I.

The Second of December.— The Justice, Necessity, and Success of the Change.— The Emeute.— The Nation's Verdict - - - -	258
---	-----

CHAPTER II.

The Constitution of 1852.— Objects and Labours of the Head of the State.— Vigorous Prosecution of energetic Measures.— Pros- perity reappearing.— Trade and Enterprise reviving.— Specific Memorandum on the Orleans Appropriations.— A Fraud defeated. — A painful Duty fulfilled - - - - -	268
--	-----

CHAPTER III.

Public Sentiment in 1852.— Satisfaction with the Present alloyed by Fear for the Future.— Petitions and Memorials for the Con- solidation of the Executive Power.— The President in the Depart- ments.— The Return to Paris.— Universal Call for the Empire. — The National Vote.— The Inauguration.— The Imperial Marriage.— The Choice of Wisdom and Affection - - - -	282
---	-----

CHAPTER IV.

The War with Russia.— Faith and Loyalty of the Emperor of the French. — The true “Cordial Understanding.” — Orleanist Emis- saries at the Court of St. Petersburg.— The National Loans.— Unparalleled Manifestations of Popular Confidence.— M. Berryer's amusing Episode.— The Vienna Conferences of 1855 - - - -	298
--	-----

CHAPTER V.

The Imperial Visit to England.—British Feeling towards the Emperor.—Source and Basis of our Esteem.—The Paris Exhibition of 1855.—The Triumph of Peace in War.—Our Queen's Visit of Friendship to the Emperor, the Empress, and the French People.—The Emir Abd-el-Kader.—Strength, Reality, and Durability of the Anglo-French Alliance.—The Emperor's Address to the Legislature.—Policy of the Western Powers.—Result of the Conferences of 1856.—Peace, just and honourable.—British Testimony.—Birth of the Imperial Prince.—The Emotions of France re-echoed by England	- - - - - Page 314
---	--------------------

CHAPTER VI.

Reflections on the Past, Meditations on the Future, of Napoleon the Third.—State of France at the Close of the War.—The Inevitable Condition of National Greatness.—Unity of France and England in the Interests of Mankind	- - - - - 349
---	---------------

SECTION VI.

The Era of Peace.—Opinions in England on the People and Government of France.—The Inundations	- - - - - 354
---	---------------

APPENDICES.

APPENDIX A.—The Treaty of Peace	- - - - - 395
APPENDIX B.—The Constitution	- - - - - 419

NAPOLEON THE THIRD

SECTION I.

GENERAL REMARKS.

THE task which I propose to myself is one not to be hastily undertaken, or lightly dealt with. It is that of setting forth, in the language of truthful fidelity, the character, as indicated in the career and astonishing fortunes, of one who has marked himself pre-eminently as the Man of this Our Age.

* Born and cradled in Imperial splendour, his sponsors the great Emperor, and an Empress, the daughter of a long line of Cæsars; reared under the tutelage of a mother gifted, beautiful, and good, in vicissitude, danger, and exile; educated in the hardy instructive school of adversity; sojourner by turns in many different countries; driven hither and thither through the terror with which dynastic combinations were filled by the sound of a great name; subject, alike in youth and manhood, to misconception and misrepresentation, the present Emperor of the French has maintained, with a constancy and consistency of which history scarcely furnishes us with a parallel, the characteristics which distinguish him, and with equal

constancy has adhered to the faith and principles which inspired him from his youth. At the period, for example, when he was peculiarly the object of hostility and insult by the power then reigning in France, his inextinguishable love of country, his confidence in her destinies, his self-identification with her honour and her fortunes, were as entire and as explicitly avowed, as when, free from all influences save her own sentiments and convictions, she chose him for her foremost man. At a time when his prospect of revisiting his country seemed utterly extinct to every one save himself, his conduct was governed by a regard for her as ruling and complete as if there had not existed edicts, proclamations, and treaties, declaring his connection with her at an end for ever.

The instances in which this feeling was exemplified are almost literally innumerable. One or two may be here glanced at. His position with respect to France was discouraging indeed—the very name of Bonaparte was proscribed from her soil—when, in answer to inquiries, proceeding from more quarters than one, as to his willingness to share the throne of the young Queen of Portugal*, he at once replied negatively, on the express ground that his acceptance of that elevation would interfere* with his undivided allegiance to France. Upon several occasions, whilst residing in Switzerland, a similar sentiment was elicited. Under all circumstances the expression of his heart's strongest wish pointed to residence in France upon *any* honourable terms. *That* was an object which no pressure, no temptation, ever succeeded in inducing him to relinquish.†

* Queen Doña Maria, lately deceased.

† Perhaps the coldly selfish policy of King Louis Philippe, in sternly rejecting the entreaty of the young soldier for permission

When, after confinement for years in the gloomy solitude of Ham, offers were made of immediate liberation on condition that he should give pledges separating him from his hopes for France, he resolutely refused the condition. At that time his situation appeared in all respects that of a prisoner for life. He had been several years in confinement, one of the chief solaces of his solitude being the composition of books still shadowing forth his undying attachment to France. And there was a peculiar motive, superadded to the instinctive love of liberty, making release, even for a brief interval, an object doubly dear to him. It was to visit the bed of a dying parent. With one in whom filial reverence has always been a prominent trait, this motive must have been very powerful. It was so. He went the length of preferring repeated requests to the King for permission to perform this sacred duty, and then return to his prison. He pledged his word of honour, that, at any moment when called on, he would return, a resigned if not a willing prisoner. But this was a pledge of the description appreciated only by men of generosity, and Louis Philippe was scarcely a generous man. The result was, that, firmly fixed in his resolution to give no pledge compromising his duty to France, or hampering his course during that future into which, taking subsequent events into account, we now know that he looked with astonishing perspicacity, the prisoner remained until released by means independent of the generosity, or humanity, or

to reside in and serve his country, was, after all, a mistaken one. The harsh tone of his dealing with Queen Hortense and the young Napoleon was, at all events, the reverse of grateful, considering that the mother and sister of the "Citizen King" had been under weighty personal obligations to the generosity of Napoleon the First.

gratitude of the King. The release was, in fact, effected through an instrumentality which vividly illustrated one of those qualities which the present Emperor shares with Napoleon the First—that of attaching to himself the devoted affection and regard of all who have any personal communication with him. The magnanimous conduct of Dr. Conneau will form a touching page in history.

Unshaken persistency of political opinion, both as to the facts, the necessities, and the interests of the future, has formed another of the prominent characteristics of Napoleon the Third. Under no circumstances did he swerve from the assertion of the Imperial souvenirs—from the belief, from the avowal of the belief, that with these were bound up the true hopes, the true glory and welfare of France.

It is four-and-twenty years ago since the death of the Duke of Reichstadt, son of Napoleon the First. Four-and-twenty years ago the present Emperor was a very young man—an exile—member of a family lying under sentence of perpetual banishment. King Louis Philippe was daily rising in power and success. Some time before the death of the Duke of Reichstadt, the young Prince Louis Napoleon wrote and published a book in which he contrasted the purity of administration, the social and material improvements, the encouragement of art, science, and general knowledge, the legal reforms (unparalleled in the history of jurisprudence for extent and completeness), the advance in every department of useful enterprise and industry, the colossal public works of utility to the whole empire, the financial economy even in the midst of gigantic warfare, and the other marking features of the Imperial epoch, with the corruption, the stagnation, and humiliation of the period succeeding it.

Proceeding with his illustrations, he showed that almost everything really good, useful, progressive, national, tolerated after 1815 and not abandoned in 1830, was a remnant or offshoot from the era of the Empire. Indeed this was a fact that required little argumentative demonstration, but only a spirit bold and candid enough to proclaim it.

These preliminaries were but the steps to a conclusion in which the Prince laid down the proposition that a return to the Imperial system was the great thing needful to the welfare of France; and he avowed his conviction that the elevation of the son of Napoleon the Great to the Imperial throne would be a measure identified with the best interests of France and of Europe.

As in this introductory section it is my intention to glance at some passages in the life of Napoleon the Third which have been subjects of outrageous perversion and misconstruction, I wish to fix the reader's attention upon points which tend to elucidate truth, and to dissipate mistakes partly the result of ignorance, but partly, too, of malice. In the work to which I have just referred—a work written at a time when every impulse of mere personal ambition would have dictated a sort of junction with those parties in France who were opposed to monarchy in any shape, and when nothing appeared less likely to politicians of all schools than the restoration of the Imperial dynasty,—in a book written under such circumstances, Prince Napoleon avowed his conviction, as emphatically as he has ever since avowed it, that a vigorous government administered under an Imperial head, under the heir of Napoleon the Great, was that best adapted to the genius of the French people. This he did in the face of a thousand temptations to adopt a contrary tone, when numerous and not

powerless parties were ready to join him in any endeavour to excite insurrection in France under a watch-word recalling the name of Napoleon, and at the same time excluding the principle of monarchy. An empire founded on the votes of the people, destined to renew in its integrity the grand policy of the Imperial system—this was ever the object of his aspirations; and he pointed to the descendant of the Great Emperor, as the individual around whom the sympathies of the nation would gather most naturally, most fervently and unanimously, in order to give reality and success to that aspiration.

The wonderful consistency of the man, his immovable fidelity to a great guiding principle, accompanied him through every phasis of his chequered life. During his forced sojourn in America, some years after the publication of the work just mentioned, he declared that his object in making the attempt which led to his voyage to the New World, was to “spare France conflicts and blood,” to spare her the otherwise inevitable ordeal of another sanguinary revolution. How perfectly was this view of coming probabilities realised! The dynasty of 1830 lingered on for a while longer—the future Emperor was transported to a distant country. Louis Philippe continued surrounding himself with fancied securities, “strengthening his position,” as he imagined, by family alliances, by courting influences some of which were notoriously opposed to the honour and interests of the country which he governed; yet his government *could not stand*, because it was based upon another foundation than the hearts and convictions of the people. The “conflicts and the blood” came; and the king who had sought to reign by craft, by bribery, and legerdemain, was driven with ignominy from the throne.

In the several essays of which Napoleon the Third is author, embracing a great variety of topics, military, political, social, scientific, his appreciation of the importance of works of internal improvement, of measures for promoting industry, and for ameliorating the social and domestic condition of the people, is everywhere conspicuous. This latter is a subject of which he especially loved to treat; and his sincerity has been evidenced by the number and extent of the undertakings of national utility which, notwithstanding the distracting influence of a great war, have been set on foot since his accession to power.

It was remarked by persons who knew Paris of old, and who revisited the renowned capital whilst the Great Exhibition of 1855 was open, that in five years it had sprung into a new city. The remark was not altogether an exaggeration. The fact is, that from the year 1850 much more has been done to increase the beauty, the healthfulness, the salubrity of the city, than during five-and-thirty years from 1815. In truth, not merely more, but incomparably more, has been effected in the shorter space of time than in the longer. Besides that works, some contemplated, some commenced, under the first Imperial reign, and afterwards suffered to fall into oblivion or into decay, have been vigorously proceeded with, many wholly new ones, founded on the present advanced state of sanitary science, have been undertaken, and promise to make Paris the most salubrious as well as the most beautiful of the great capitals of Europe. And it is not only in Paris that the process of improvement has advanced. In remote departments the existence of an enlightened, vigorous, work-doing, example-setting administration is felt with salutary effect; and municipalities, shaking off the lethargy

in which these bodies were immersed during the régime of bureaucracy, are putting forth their energies, and redeeming their boundaries from the opprobrium of uncleanness, inconvenience, and unhealthfulness. Marseilles itself is aroused to useful action in this particular. Those who remember the city and harbour as they were in 1848, and who may happen to spend a day or two there in 1856, will recognise the difference, to their special comfort and safety. As respects the harbour, Mr. Dickens, in some future edition of his latest work, will, I dare say, have much pleasure in subjoining a note, containing his testimony that "they order things better" there now. A similarly desirable impulse has been imparted to the minds and movements of the authorities in Lyons, Bordeaux, and, in short, all the towns and cities throughout France.

In truth, the local authorities, everywhere, have now something else and something better to think of than keeping a fragmentary section of electors in order, for the return of a convenient majority to the Chamber of Deputies. They have time for the performance of their proper work, and they perform it to their own credit and to the unspeakable advantage of the communities whose local affairs they regulate.

But it is not only with respect to works coming under the category of material improvements, that the present Emperor carries out on a noble scale the designs and principles which were enunciated by him when his position was that of an unwilling exile. The fine arts have received from him an amount of enlightened encouragement which presents a gratifying contrast to the coldness, the neglect—the neglect approaching to humiliating insult—with which they were treated by successive kings. The painter, the sculptor, the architect, stand honoured before the Imperial throne, and

their claims to respect are recognised as those of men whose pursuits are calculated to elevate the tastes and aspirations of the people. Himself, personally, a generous patron, the Emperor infuses a wise and discriminating liberality into every department of the administration—a liberality which is so far from being associated with extravagance, that, as is evident from the mode of its dispensation, it is founded on a conviction that the appropriation of a moderate portion of public money to works calculated to promote a taste for innocent and intellectual, in preference to sensual pleasures, is a truly economical and useful expenditure.

Education — another leading topic of the writings of Napoleon the Third — education, of which so grand a plan was laid down by the councils of the first Napoleon, receives a large share of the attention of the present Imperial government. It is treated, not as a mere instalment of a given literary routine, but as something intended to prepare young people for the honourable fulfilment of their duties in any position to which Providence may call them. I am here speaking of popular education in the ordinary acceptation of that term,—the education which is regarded as indispensable in the training of youth in the most humble station; and I really believe that in no country in Europe, Prussia not excepted, is the system of popular teaching more calculated to develope the capacities of the young than is that now existing in France. It is not a mere taxing of the memory; it is a system which naturally leads to the salutary exercise of the faculty of thinking, of reasoning, of reflecting upon facts and propositions. It is an education carried so far, that any one of ordinary intelligence may, upon the foundation of knowledge and intelligence created by it, attain, by his own exertions, a high degree of proficiency in various branches of learning.

This great educational system is, like many of the noble institutions of France, a legacy of the Empire, and, as others of these institutions, it languished and decayed for nearly forty years, under the dynastic incubus which a policy I do not here stop to discuss imposed on an indignant people. It is revived, it is being improved, extended, adapted to the new requirements and circumstances of our own time. Probably the day is not distant when an uneducated class will be a thing non-existent in France.

On the arrangements relating to the inculcation of the higher departments of knowledge, and the measures initiated and encouraged for the promotion of scientific progress, I do not deem it necessary to dwell in detail, inasmuch as these matters, attracting notice from time to time in the journals of the day, are probably in some degree familiar to the reader. Suffice it to say, that they are on a scale commensurate with that noble scheme of improvement and advance, in all departments of humanising effort, which originated in the genius of Napoleon the First, and has been worthily reproduced in the policy of the present Emperor.

In the development of the productive resources of the country and the industrial capacities of the people, the existing policy has employed itself not less vigorously, sagaciously, successfully. The results presented by the Paris Exhibition of 1855, when compared with those of our own Exhibition of 1851, showed a progress amongst our French neighbours, in the useful, mechanical, and manufacturing arts, which astonished all who had an opportunity of observing and comparing the two.* That this progress is in some measure due to the active and ingenious spirit of the French manufacturers, is unquestionable; but that it is likewise connected with

* Even more remarkable were the results of the late Agricultural Exhibition.

the interest taken by the Emperor himself in every^{thing} bearing on industrial prosperity, will scarcely be doubted by any one who knows in what relation the degree of progress attained between the two periods 1851—1855, stands to that effected in any former period of equal length.

Not that great progress had not been made between 1815 and 1850. France, beyond all doubt, had advanced very much in wealth, in commerce, in agricultural productiveness, and in many of the elements of national prosperity. But let us consider to what she was indebted for that advance. In the first place she had peace, that bounteous parent of wealth—peace, which she would have had long before 1815, had the wishes and solicitations of the first Napoleon been attended to by ourselves. Again, look to her commercial code; look to her laws relating to those matters in which the prosperity, the confidence, the energy of traders and merchants are most immediately concerned. How far back can we trace them? To the Empire! Some two or three years since, a convention or assembly met at Liverpool, composed chiefly of eminent commercial men, delegates from the Chambers of Commerce of the principal towns in the United Kingdom. The object was to consult on measures for the removal of the confusion and anomalies abounding in our mercantile laws; to abolish the mischievous absurdity of different sets of laws in different districts of the same kingdom, each not merely varying from, but often directly antagonistic to, the others; to introduce something like intelligibility and consistency into our mercantile enactments; to put an end to the interminable prolixities of litigation; to obtain some definition of the boundaries of right and wrong, and some certainty that disputed questions should be decided by reference thereto, and not by quirks, quibbles, and worthless formulæ,

puzzling even the practised ingenuity of lawyers; to put an end to a state of things in which, in the every-day transactions of business, it has been impossible for a man to be certain at any time whether he were acting legally or illegally, and whether the most innocent action of his might not turn out a violation of some obscure law or by-law, wholly unknown to him, but the slightest infraction of which would subject him to ruinous penalties.

And at this Convention, when remedies were proposed, when a model was looked for, on the plan of which (making allowances for the different habits and circumstances of different countries) a rational, intelligible, economical code might be composed, the thoughts of the majority dwelt upon the system introduced into France under the auspices of Napoleon the First. Previously to the Imperial epoch, the mercantile and every other portion of the laws of France—or rather of the laws *in* France, for almost every district had its peculiar system or no-system—were in a state of chaotic complication, more barbarous, if possible, than our own. They are now plain, simple, adapted to the comprehension of every person of average capacity. Most true and just was the declaration of Lord Brougham, that if there were nothing else to render the memory of Napoleon illustrious, he would go honourably to posterity with his Code in his hand.

There is one feature in the conduct of the present Emperor, which has not been sufficiently noticed in any work I have met with, though, when considered in its bearings on the relations between England and France, it must be profoundly interesting to the people of both countries. It relates to the sentiments he has frequently expressed with regard to the British nation, and to the relations which ought to exist between the

two countries. Those sentiments have breathed amity, esteem, a conviction that mutual friendliness constitutes the interests of both. To him, beyond doubt, more than to any other man living, is due the downfall of that baleful prejudice of "natural enmity," which until recently formed part of the political creed of millions of good Frenchmen and Englishmen. Friendship, alliance, interchange of good offices,—these are the relations which he has repeatedly described as the true national policy for the governments on either side of the Channel. For years resident amongst us, he learned to esteem us, without diminution of his ardent affection for his own country.

Thoughts of England—full of friendship and respect—seem to have been seldom absent from his mind, whatever the circumstances which surrounded him. When confined in Ham, the memory of England was only secondary to incessant aspirations for the honour and happiness of France. In a letter to an English lady, written in January 1841, he says:—

"With the name I bear, I must either be in the seclusion of the dungeon or in the brightness of power.

"If you will deign, madam, to write to me occasionally, and to give me some news of a country in which I have been too happy not to love it, you will confer on me a great pleasure."

England, her past, present, and future, and the great lessons which her history contains for the statesman, the economist, the philanthropist, the lawgiver, were favourite contemplations. In his seclusion, the dulness of solitude was enlivened by meditations upon her history.

Men are not apt to select for study, reflection, and investigation, subjects which have no hold on their hearts. In a letter, dated August 1841, the captive of Ham writes:—

" My life passes here in a very monotonous manner. * * * Nevertheless I cannot say that I am dull, for I have created for myself occupations which interest me. I am, for instance, writing ' Reflections upon the History of England ;' and I have planted a small garden in the corner of the yard in which I am located. But all this fills up the time without filling the heart, and sometimes we find it very void of sentiment.

" I am very much pleased at what you tell me of the good impression which I have left behind me in England ; but I do not share in your hope as to the possibility of soon being in that country again ; and, indeed, notwithstanding all the pleasure I should have in again finding myself there, I do not complain in the least of the position to which I have brought myself, and to which I am completely resigned."

And when the expression of hostile sentiments would have procured him a certain kind of popularity with more than one party in France,—when a son of King Louis Philippe was courting such popularity by propounding bombastic plans of invasion and conquest,—the exile Louis Napoleon, representative of Imperial traditions, did not shrink from avowing his belief that the real glory of France, her greatness, dignity, and happiness, consisted rather in living in friendship with us, than in threatening our internal quiet; rather in promoting amicable intercourse, than in planning, or affecting to plan, measures of hostile collision.

It has been pretended that the celebrated allusion to the battle of Waterloo, contained in the Prince's address to the Chamber of Peers, on his trial for the Strasburg affair, indicated a desire to wage a deadly war with England. Now, it might possibly be sufficient, in refutation of this assumption, to appeal to the whole course of his life, to the whole tenour of his

words when out of power, and of his actions when in power, on all points connected with "England and the English." But it is not necessary to rely on inferential argument: the words themselves may, with reason and probability, convey a meaning quite different from that which has been imputed to them. The passage runs to this effect:—

"I represent before you a principle, a cause, and a defeat. The principle is the sovereignty of the people; the cause is that of the Empire; the defeat is that of Waterloo. The principle, you have recognised it; the cause, you have served in it; the defeat, you would avenge it."

Looking well into these expressions and their context, it will be evident that the *consequences* of the defeat were what the speaker chiefly contemplated. There are other modes of revenge besides that involved in bristling bayonets. It was to the consequences of the battle of Waterloo that the prisoner ascribed the fact, that France was, as he declared, "enfeebled, and passed over in silence at the congress of sovereigns." He might well have indicated that the restoration of the Imperial system—of its vigour, its dignity, its courage—would place France in such an attitude as would enable her, by her moral weight, by the demonstration of energetic and really national instead of bureaucratic councils, to "revenge" the defeat and its consequences—to rebuke and humiliate those who had insulted and virtually degraded her. Every politician knows that, at the "settlement" of 1815, some of the negotiators pressed for a harsh and degrading treatment of France, and that it was England that stepped in, and, checking the zealotry of these vindictive councils, imposed something like moderation on the policy of diplomatists, whose maxim was the

cool one, that France should be crushed into the proportions of a second-rate power. Every politician is likewise aware that not only since the Revolution of 1830, but during the fifteen years preceding, certain continental influences had systematically endeavoured to ignore the voice of France in all questions of consultation between the great powers, England alone declining to participate in any such combination. This system, or policy, or whatsoever it may be called, was carried to greater extremes than ever after the accession of Louis Philippe, whose overtures of submissive conciliation were met with a sort of derisive disdain, by which France was insulted in the person of her temporary ruler. Who forgets the tour of visits made by the late Czar in the year 1844, and the ostentatious contemptuousness with which he went out of his way rather than pass through France, lest the condescension of countenancing that "dangerous people" should be imputed to him ? That circumstance we all remember. Again, every reader of history is acquainted with the harsh behaviour of the Prussians subsequent to the victory of Waterloo—not only immediately after the battle; but in their progress through the French territory, and in Paris itself. I do not think that the meaning of Napoleon the Third, when a captive addressing the Chamber of Peers, was that the severities alluded to should be avenged in blood ; but I do maintain that the words of the passage referred to, and which have been quoted and re-quoted *ad infinitum* by partisan writers as evidences that sanguinary projects against England were revolving in the mind of the Prince, point much more probably to the consequences of the battle of Waterloo, and to the necessity of putting a stop to the long series of humiliations to which France had

been subjected by certain continental powers, than to any indication of enmity to us. Coupling this reasonable view of probabilities with the disposition which Napoleon the Third has at all stages of his career manifested towards this country, the conclusion which I have suggested can scarcely be disputed.

Few rational persons ever believed that Prince Joinville was sincere in his preposterous scheme of invasion; but the very mootling of it betrayed a willingness to pander to bad passions for the sake of attracting factitious popularity to the Orleanist dynasty. From such meretricious devices to win the good graces of any section of his countrymen, Prince Louis Napoleon ever held aloof. And the tone which he formerly held towards England was the precursor of a policy adopted immediately on his accession to power, and which, every good man hopes, is the harbinger of a prolonged era of cordial "peace and good-will" between us and our neighbours. Even when the object of the most virulent, irritating, unscrupulous, unfounded abuse and calumny ever poured out against a man in high station,—when he had reason, if man ever had reason, to feel exasperated at the slanders uttered daily in this country,—he never once deviated from this tone and this policy. Resisting the secret blandishments and solicitations of Russia, he remained true to his motto, "Friendship and alliance with England;" and his rare fortitude and constancy are likely to produce immense advantages to the cause of humanity and civilisation throughout Europe—throughout the world.

Whilst on this subject it is proper to observe, that the policy of friendliness towards England is one which really appears to have been, "in his heart of hearts," ever present to the mind of the first Napoleon. Circumstances which we must all deplore, and which it

would now be useless to recapitulate, led to demonstrations and events of a different kind; but it is certain that Napoleon was anxious to cultivate the friendship of England. The indications of this do not rest solely on his own conversations during the latter years of his life. From these, indeed, we learn, that at the very period when the two countries were immersed in the hottest crisis of the great war, when we were subsidising a multitude of faithless or imbecile allies, whom, after paying to fight, we ourselves were obliged to fight for—nay, when our armaments assumed the most gigantic magnitude, when the sovereigns of the Continent were prostrate before the fortunes of the Empire, that even then, with his fortunes at their climax, peace with Great Britain was an object which he would willingly have courted and made signal concessions to attain, if the policy at that time paramount in this country had admitted the possibility of a basis of negotiation. But it is not only to Napoleon's language in St. Helena that we can turn for evidences of this disposition. The volumes of memoirs, biographies, diplomatic revelations, and State papers which have appeared in such rapid succession during the last thirty years, contain numerous collateral proofs of this having been in reality a predominant feeling in the mind of the Emperor, and that his general impression was that if peace could be made with England, and really cordial relations established, the two leading nations of civilisation would be mutually strengthened, enriched, and benefited. After the lapse of half a century, this truth has been recognised and accepted in practice.

The career of Napoleon the Third, from the time when the Revolution of 1848 abolished the law of banishment against the Imperial family, down to the day when the enthusiastic assent of millions of French-

men called him to the throne, has been frequently criticised, and scarcely less frequently misrepresented. A brief and candid outline of it will be in place.

The Provisional Government attempted at first to make an unfavourable exception in his case, and to maintain the sentence of banishment against him. This attempt filled the people with indignation, which reached such a height that disturbances were apprehended. To preclude all danger of fatal collisions he twice retired to England, although nominated representative in several departments. On one occasion he entered a protest, from which the following is an extract:—

“ If the people were to impose duties on me I should know how to fulfil them. * * * My name is a symbol of order, of nationality, of glory; and it would be with the liveliest grief that I should see it made use of to augment the troubles and dissensions of my country. In order to avoid such a misfortune, I shall prefer remaining in exile. I am ready to make every sacrifice for the happiness of France.”

The people were resolved that they *would* impose duties on him. At the new elections he was chosen in five departments. He chose to sit for Paris. Nominated for the Presidency, he was elected by an immense majority over the aggregate of votes given for all the other candidates. This circumstance was a manifestation that he had not been mistaken in the opinion which in hundreds of instances he had energetically expressed — that the national will, the national hopes, were bound up with the glorious name he bore, with the cause of which he was the representative.

And now came the conflict of faction and passion in the Assembly. The members soon showed that they were occupied with any thoughts rather than those

relating to the consolidation of order, the restoration of tranquillity, the promotion of prosperity and confidence in the great industrial operations which had been inevitably interrupted by the events of the revolution. Amid the discord of factions, and the intrigues of the several leaders, each bent on furthering his own objects, it became evident that, unless averted by the interposition of energetic and sagacious councils, scenes of anarchy and bloodshed were at hand.

The plain facts are, that in 1851 a revolution of the most hideous kind—a revolution factious, furious, conscienceless, implacable—was impending. I have conversed with many of our countrymen who were residing in Paris at that critical period; and the overwhelming preponderance of their opinion is to the effect, that but for the conception and success of the masterly stroke of statesmanship which received the name of the *Coup d'Etat*, order, society, property, would within a few weeks have been overthrown.*

A vast amount of mystification has arisen with respect to the lawfulness or rightfulness of the change which was effected in the Constitution as it stood prior to the 2nd of December. It has been argued by some writers, in real or affected ignorance of the facts, that that Constitution was immutable in all its details. Nothing could be more contrary to fact. Those who pretend that the Constitution was thus unchangeable in any one of its particular parts, would reduce the

* In 1851, the Bourbonite partisans withdrew even the scanty measure of support which they had occasionally given to the Executive upon questions involving the existence of any government at all, and were frequently seen joining the Socialists and Communists in proceedings of which the object was to thwart and harass the President. Under the auspices of the majority in the Assembly, society was hurrying towards anarchy.

enlightenment of its constructors to a level with that of the ancient propounders of the immutability of the laws of the Medes and Persians. No ; it was not any one detail, or any part of its details, that was unchangeable; it was its *principle*. That principle was the national will, which had created it, which had not abandoned its supremacy to it, but to which it was necessarily and naturally subject. The Constitution was made for and by the people, not the people for the Constitution. The Constitution, in short, meant the national will. The promise to maintain it, meant allegiance to the national will. Whoever pretends the reverse, abnegates that principle of the supremacy of the suffrage of the nation without which the Constitution could have had neither meaning nor vitality, but would have been a mere medley of barbarous impracticability.

The circumstances of the period had convinced the man to whom the nation had entrusted the high responsibility of establishing and maintaining good and secure government for France, that there was an imperative necessity for calling on the people to exercise their undoubted right of deciding for or against a revision of particular details which had been found incompatible with secure government, had been found to work mischievously, and threatened to produce more mischief still.

But the step of suggesting, or proposing, or initiating the salutary change, was one fraught with peril and difficulty — one from which the nerves of an ordinary man, however honest and well-meaning, might have shrunk — one which would have appalled any man not possessing the indomitable resolution, the inexorable regard for duty, the uncompromising patriotism, which have distinguished the career of Napoleon the Third, and to which, even more than to hereditary associations,

he owes his position as pre-eminently the man of the age. The views of Orleanist, Legitimist, Socialist, diametrically opposite in other respects, met on common ground when the question was, tranquillity or disturbance. In the chapter of accidents created by the latter, the leaders of each faction imagined that something favourable to their own purposes might arise. Accordingly, disagreeing in everything else, they concurred in this,—that it was desirable to have as frequent returns as possible of seasons of discord and confusion. There were certain features in the Constitution which presented periodical opportunities for fomenting riots; and these, it was hoped, might, by ingenious irritation, be inflamed into insurrection, in which, amid terror, blood, and confusion, either or any party would have a chance of grasping power.

It is unnecessary, in this place, to go through the details of the events which followed the 2nd of December. The measures taken to ensure success were of a description justified by the extraordinary importance of the object in view—that of saving society from dissolution. It was impossible that they should not be accompanied by some cases of hardship—some cases of unmerited suffering. But very many of those who suffered—I mean of those who suffered innocently—have confessed that the operation was conducted with as little harshness, as little intentional invasion of personal feelings and comfort, as was consistent with certainty and completeness of accomplishment.

That it was eminently successful I need not say. That blood flowed in the streets of Paris, was a calamity to be profoundly regretted, but one which plainly proved the inveterate malignity of the factions, and the magnitude of the danger which was averted. That a measure which was soon ratified by the votes of

7,439,219 Frenchmen, and dissented from by only the fractional minority of 640,737, should, through unscrupulous intrigues, have been made the pretext for exciting tumults ending in blood, showed how utterly the instigators of the outbreak disregarded the wishes of the great body of the people. That, indeed, was a consideration which never entered into their thoughts. What they wanted was — disorder; for in disorder lay their single chance of preventing the settlement of the government on a secure basis. The blood which they caused to be spilt — the delusions and falsehoods, the ingenious complications of calumny, by which they succeeded in inflaming the passions of a section of the Parisian populace — the atrocious misrepresentations — since detected — of fact, purpose, and principle, by which they got up riots which it was necessary to repress speedily and resolutely, and which were repressed as much by the operation of public opinion as by military demonstrations — bear witness against themselves and their designs. But the good genius of the nation prevailed. The great idea was triumphant; the imminent, deadly peril of France — and, with France, of Europe — was overcome: life, property, order, justice, were rescued and saved.

True and memorable, and nobly fulfilled in the future, were the words in which the President expressed his appreciation of the signal proof of his country's confidence contained in the electoral returns — "If I congratulate myself on this immense adhesion, it is not from pride, but because it gives me power to speak and act as becomes the head of a great nation!"

The national feeling which converted the Presidential chair into an Imperial throne was the result of salutary facts working on public convictions. The people of France saw what had been accomplished for them during the short time that had elapsed since the President had released himself and them from the incubus of factious obstruction. They saw tranquillity, substituted for a disorder hastening to anarchy. They saw industrial prosperity and commercial confidence taking place of stagnation and nerveless terror. They saw the spirit of governmental energy instilling itself into the movements of private enterprise, and, without attempting to stand in the place of individual efforts, opening facilities and encouragements for these efforts. They felt themselves more happy, more comfortable, more secure. They felt that they had some guarantee of internal quiet and order, through which honourable industry of every kind might hope to reap in peace the fruits of that which it had sown. They knew that for several years to come they need not fear either a Reign of Terror on the one hand, or a despotism of corrupt and extortionate bureaucracy on the other. They already experienced many of the blessings of efficient government,—of a government at once strong and popular, possessing power to effectuate the measures of utility which it contemplated, without being constantly thwarted by factious intrigue; and they felt that what had been thus early accomplished was but an instalment of the benefits which they would enjoy when, in the fulness of time, the effects of a wise policy should be matured. But this was the contingency which excited uneasiness —Was it certain that that policy would be allowed time to mature itself—to work out its beneficent objects? Here was a question of deep and solemn import. The power of the President was, after all, only a temporary

power, limited to a term of years. This circumstance encouraged the factious, no longer able to play their fantastic tricks in the Legislature, to plot and combine for the purpose of curtailing that term, and producing new scenes of confusion tending to bloodshed and counter-revolution. And this danger it was that the people had in view, when they demanded that the authority of the Chief of the Executive should be consolidated and made permanent by the revival of the Empire.

And how worthily and loyally their chosen man has acquitted himself in his high position; how implicitly, how unreservedly, he acknowledges the source and origin of his power; how emphatically he avows that his title to that power was the national will recognising a great principle! How wisely he spoke when, in answer to the congratulations addressed to him on the birth of the heir-apparent — the “Child of France” — he referred all his glory, his honours and dignity to the People — when, in language elevated by the simple majesty of truth and sincerity, he ascribed all that he had attained to the People, entrusting to him the guardianship of their interests.

The war just closed has cemented and confirmed the alliance which the Emperor has always regarded as constituting the state of relations most congenial to the mutual interests of England and France. The two countries, actuated by a common object, entered into a prodigious struggle, in which each bore its part with equal honour and success. It is not to be expected that the enthusiastic compliments which we have been interchanging during the crisis of the contest will, in times of peace, and in every detail of intercourse, continue to be heard. There may, there probably will, arise differences, discussions, upon many

subjects, as is often the case between the warmest friends. But fundamental amity between the two nations is established, and will not easily be disturbed. We have learned to respect each other, to understand and appreciate each other's better qualities, instead of keeping our eyes fixed exclusively, as was formerly the fashion of quasi-patriotism, on faults and weaknesses. The good which has been planted it will be impossible to root up. France and England, the foremost nations of civilisation, will henceforward be, in the main, foremost in the example of reciprocal good services.

He who has been, under Providence, the instrument by which these salutary results have been accomplished, is truly worthy the grateful esteem of France, of England, of the world. No one has sustained more slanderous misrepresentation,—no one has more nobly refuted them by his actions.

The present work is intended to be not so much a minute chronological narrative, as a review of the leading features of a great career, and a temperate exposition, both as respects motives and incidents, of various events which have been frequently discussed and almost as frequently misdescribed. Some of the very proceedings for which Napoleon the Third has been most “plentifully abused,” which at one time procured him unlimited vituperation in England and elsewhere, are those which, fairly analysed and considered in relation to surrounding circumstances, illustrate most vividly his unflinching integrity and fortitude. With a perfect knowledge that the performance of his duty would subject him to much passing obloquy, would furnish his enemies with slanderous pretexts which they would not fail to turn to account, still resolutely, if sometimes

with pain, he adhered to that duty. Those things require to be explained and cleared up. To this day, false impressions, created by the calumnies once so rife, linger in the minds of many persons. To remove these false impressions is one of my principal objects. I purpose to do so, not by evading any point, not by glossing over any fact, but by a candid appeal to truth and reason.

SECTION II.

1808 to 1840.
~~~~~

## CHAPTER I.

BIRTH, EXILE, CHILDHOOD.—EDUCATION—LIFE IN SWITZERLAND.—  
THE ITALIAN WAR.—THE GRATITUDE AND CLEMENCY OF KING  
LOUIS PHILIPPE.

In the year 1808, on the 20th of April, in the Palace of the Tuileries, was born a child who was hailed by the people of the French Empire as heir presumptive of his uncle, the great Emperor; and who, though soon afterwards removed from that position by the birth of his cousin the King of Rome, and, when seven years old, apparently shut out from all prospect of ever residing, far less reigning, in his native country, was destined to fulfil in due time the high expectations which attended his birth—to succeed to the policy and mission of Napoleon the First; to resuscitate the Imperial system in the integrity of its vigour and grandeur; to restore France to that foremost rank, from which she had temporarily fallen back, amongst the nations of Europe.

From end to end of the realm of Napoleon, enthusiastic acclamations saluted the event. They were the acclamations of a great people, rejoicing in the presence of an heir to the Emperor of their choice, and recognising in him a pledge of the permanence of a

dynasty which they identified with the honour, dignity, and happiness of the nation.

The present Emperor of the French is the son of Louis (younger brother of the Emperor Napoleon), by Hortense, the beautiful, amiable, and accomplished daughter of the Empress Josephine. When the Imperial infant was born, his father was King of Holland, but soon after resigned his throne in consequence of an impression that, in the circumstances of the period, he could not hold it consistently with the interests of Holland and France. The conduct of King Louis in taking this step may have been erroneous ; it is possible that he may have taken an inaccurate view of his position and duties. Without discussing that question, truth and fairness call for the admission that the motives which swayed him were of a nature indicative of a pure, high-minded character.

The young Prince was baptized Charles Louis Napoleon Bonaparte ; his sponsors were the Emperor and the Empress Marie Louise, whom Napoleon had married in the interval between the birth and the baptism of the future Napoleon the Third. The name "Charles" was a merely formal or honorary prefix, adopted in compliment to Charles Bonaparte, the Emperor's father. The Prince scarcely ever subscribed it in his correspondence : "Louis Napoleon," "Napoleon Louis," are the signatures which he usually employed prior to his accession to the Imperial dignity.

In infancy he was an especial favourite with the Emperor, whose affection was not diminished by the birth of the King of Rome. An anecdote is on record which possesses all the marks of authenticity, and which exhibits in a remarkable manner that semi-prophetic tone which characterised many of the sayings of Napoleon the First. It is related that when the Emperor

was preparing to leave Paris for his last campaign, the little Prince, then only seven years old, one day entered his cabinet, and climbing his knee, entreated him to remain at home, for that, if he did not do so, the enemy would take him away, and he should never see him more. The Emperor, it is added, was affected to an unusual degree, and handing the child to the mother, Queen Hortense, exclaimed, “There, embrace your son; look well to him; come what may, he will have a good heart and a noble mind. *Perhaps, after all, he is the hope of my race.*” What solemn significance these words contained! How strangely they accord with the course of events, then hidden deep in the womb of an inscrutable future — of a future, the most remote resemblance to the events and vicissitudes of which, human genius and sagacity could not have contemplated.

How Napoleon fell before a combination of power such as the world never before witnessed, and probably will never witness again, — before a succession of adverse circumstances as unforeseen as they were insuperable, — is known too well to require any elaborate recapitulation. That England was the soul, the animating spirit of the combination, is equally well known. That the policy which actuated the British government in presenting such an implacable front of hostility to the Emperor was not the wisest, is a proposition which the people of this country have of late years shown an increasing disposition to entertain and accept. But during our war with the Emperor, the struggle was, doubtless, popular in England. A reverend gentleman \*, who in the course of last year delivered and published some intelligent lectures on the character

\* The Rev. Henry Christmas, M.A.

of the present Emperor, has made some observations in reference to the sentiments then existing in England, so apposite in many respects, that I shall take the liberty of quoting a few passages. Adverting to the strong feeling created in England by some of the more violent acts committed during the first French Revolution, and to the excitement which was got up against Napoleon as the representative of that revolution, the reverend lecturer says:—

“ There arose a prejudice against the Emperor Napoleon, the strength and virulence of which we can scarcely conceive in the present day. To see some of the caricatures which were then in vogue, some of the papers which were then printed and circulated, some of the language which was then uttered, we should scarcely believe that we were looking upon a record of the human race in a state of civilisation. We should say, Surely these were things that could only be tolerated when men were as ignorant as they were barbarous. Under these circumstances it will hardly excite our wonder that the English government should be strongly supported in its attempts to overthrow the French Republic.

“ But we must here pause a moment, and show <sup>!!</sup> a slight distinction between the feelings of the nation and the feelings of the Government. They had, undoubtedly, different objects in view. The government felt that as long as the disturbance existed which the French Revolution had caused, as long as men believed they had political rights which could not lawfully be taken away from them, as long as they could see that kings derived their power from the people, and not from an assumed divine right, so long no government founded on the principles previously maintained in Europe could stand. All the continental powers were despotic

—they were constructed on the principle that the will of the Sovereign, under certain nominal restrictions, was to be the law of the land; that the Court was to rule the whole people; that the intrigues of the Court were to be the sole means whereby power was to be obtained; that all the traditions of the middle ages were to be perpetuated under disguise; and that men were to be ruled just as they were in those darker eras, and according to those principles which prevailed when mankind were most ignorant of the reasons why one man ruled, and another man obeyed. Since 1714, our Court had been closely connected with some of the smaller German states; and to preserve these German governments our rulers willingly exasperated the popular feeling, and threw its weight into the popular scale, in order to put a stop, if possible, to the progress of the French Republic and the French Empire. Looking at these things in the light which we derive from a greater knowledge of political philosophy, we can now see that if the French nation chose to have Napoleon for Emperor, we had no right to interfere. If the French felt his authority to be beneficial to the interests of society, we had no right to object to their choice; for we had ourselves under similar circumstances changed not only the dynasty of our rulers, but also the character of our government. With such instances before them as those of Charles the First and James the Second, it might have been thought that the English people would have been the last to interfere with the French for choosing Napoleon the First as their monarch. Nevertheless, however erroneous the principle upon which we proceeded, this much is certain, that the wars in which we then engaged were eminently popular."

No doubt there is much truth in these observations. That Napoleon the First would, at almost any stage

of his career, have willingly and gladly made an equitable peace with England, is a fact of which there now exists little doubt: as little doubtful is it that the inexorable policy — or mispolicy — of the English government, the factitious and false prosperity created in England by the war, and the prejudices with which the minds of our fathers were inoculated, rendered the attainment of the Emperor's desire an impossibility. It has been argued that, considering that in the downfall of his uncle, the repression of the Imperial system, and the humiliation of France, the power and persistence of Great Britain were so prominently instrumental, the mind of the young Prince Louis Napoleon must, as soon as he reached the age of reason and reflection, have conceived sentiments of hostility towards this country. But it is useless to discuss conjectural hypotheses of the kind. The facts are before us: these facts show that, whatever feelings the Prince might have been considered likely to entertain for us, those which he did manifest, and with his usual consistency has adhered to from very early manhood to the present time, partook not of enmity, but of amity, — the only difference between his recently expressed sentiments in this respect, and those which were avowed by him many years ago, being that the amicable views, then comparatively cold, have since assumed a tone of thorough and cordial friendship.

To return from this digression. The events of 1815 led to the expulsion from the French territory of the family and relatives of the Emperor. The ex-king of Holland had adopted the modest title of Count St. Leu; and his wife, taking with her the illustrious subject of these pages, passed into Bavaria. But political acrimony pursued her thither. Representations from the ministers of Louis the Eighteenth soon

forced her to seek another refuge. It was at that time not easy to find a spot on the continent of Europe not overshadowed by the system of intimidation and coercion which constituted the policy of the potentates who had formed themselves into the league so well known in history as the Holy Alliance. The court of Bavaria was compelled to insist on the departure of the illustrious exiles. Their first place of sojourn was Switzerland,—they then removed to Rome. Finally, they established themselves permanently in Switzerland, and resided for several years in the Castle of Arenenberg. Here the Prince's education was continued under the superintendence of his mother. From the simple people amid whom they dwelt, the admirable qualities of the ex-Queen, and the masculine, generous, though somewhat reserved character of the Prince, attracted warm and affectionate esteem. That his studies were of a solid and comprehensive kind—of the stamp calculated to prepare him for the high destiny which lay in the future—is evidenced by the subjects chosen by him in the several published works which are more particularly noticed in subsequent chapters. To such studies, to the contemplation of the science of government, of political and military organisation, and in general to topics connected with the interests and happiness of nations, the natural bent of his mind disposed him; and on his arrival at manhood, he was probably more deeply versed in the leading principles and circumstances of European politics than many grey-haired statesmen who had passed their lives in the bureau and the cabinet.

It is not my purpose to overload this volume with multitudinous anecdotes of a merely personal nature. There is one, however, which (illustrative as it is of the intrepidity and presence of mind for which Louis Na-

poleon has always been distinguished) I think it worth while to copy verbatim, as I find it in a contemporary work of reputation:—

“On one occasion he gave proof of a generous courage, which it would be wrong to omit citing. One day, as he was taking his usual ride, his attention was attracted by cries of alarm. Two horses, harnessed to a carriage, had taken fright, and were galloping furiously towards a precipice. The coachman was already thrown down; a lady and two children were in the vehicle, shrieking with terror. No sooner did Louis Napoleon perceive their dangerous situation than he dashed his horse across the fields in order to arrive before the carriage at the precipice. He reached it at the very edge, seized one of the horses by the bit, and turned him so vigorously that the animal fell down, and the carriage was stopped, amidst the plaudits and delight of a crowd of frightened villagers, who now, in the brave and skilful horseman, recognised the Prince.”

Numerous similar anecdotes are related; and it has been observed with much truth, that “he won the hearts of the people by his bravery and the simplicity of his habits.” The well-informed writer of the above-cited passage remarks:—

“He not only studied literature and the sciences at Arenemberg, but he took advantage of the vicinity of the camp at Thun to make himself acquainted with military duties. Every year he carried the knapsack on his back, ate the soldiers’ fare, handled the shovel, the pickaxe, and the wheelbarrow—would climb up the mountains, and after having marched many leagues in the day, return at night to repose under the soldiers’ tent.”

Such were the lessons of self-command, of willing submission to hardship and discipline, in pursuit of the practical experience so necessary to complete and exemplify theoretical principles, which the Prince imposed on himself. Meet preparation for a great destiny.

The Revolution of 1830, inaugurated and commemorated amid such high and futile hopes,—hopes the failure of which was rendered all the more bitter by the confidence with which they had been cherished,—brought about a change in the peaceful, studious, retired, and laborious life of the future Emperor. He had supposed that, with the nominal abolition of the anti-national system of 1815, such accompaniments of that system as the exile of the family of the great Emperor might have been removed. He was disappointed. A meeting of members of the Imperial family took place at Rome; the spirit of Bourbon intrigue took the alarm, and the Prince was conducted, under a military escort, beyond the pale of the Papal territory. Next came the Italian revolution, in which, regarding it as a protest against the incubus of despotism which bound down the soul of Europe, Louis Napoleon and his elder brother took an active part. The particulars of the campaign it is unnecessary to describe. I am content to take the summary of its events from the hand of a writer somewhat remarkable for hostility to the Prince:—

“Louis Napoleon and his brother formed moving columns, and endeavoured to organise the revolutionary efforts. Aided by General Sercognani, they defeated the Papal forces in several places. Great rejoicing prevailed in the camp of the insurgents; alarm and confusion filled the Vatican. Both were of short duration. The crooked and double-tongued policy of the French and Austrian rulers gained the upper hand.

The two princes were deprived of their command, and banished from the soil of Italy. Meanwhile the elder brother fell sick at Faenza, with an attack of internal inflammation, and shortly afterwards died, March 27th, 1831."

The Prince was now in a critical position. Literally in the territory of his enemies, surrounded by Austrian soldiers, who exercised the utmost vigilance to capture him, he was only extricated from his difficulty by assuming the livery of a servant of his mother, who, on hearing of his danger, had hastened to offer him succour and counsel. Mother and son ultimately reached Cannes—the spot at which the Emperor, on leaving Elba in 1815, had first set foot on the soil of France, and the name of which has of late become more familiar than before to English ears, from being the chosen retirement of Lord Brougham. Here they were still involved in danger of arrest; for it will be remembered that the proscription of the Imperial family remained in force under the monarchy of July.

They chose a bold and high-minded course,—to endeavour to reach Paris, and make an appeal to the good feeling which they were willing to attribute to the King. They succeeded in entering the capital, and put themselves into communication with Louis Philippe. Setting aside the character of the man whom they had to deal with, they had good reason for making this appeal, and for entertaining some confidence as to its result. But here, as in most instances where any argument save the cunning suggestions of self-aggrandisement was submitted to King Louis Philippe, the application was unsuccessful. This king had peculiar causes for gratitude to Queen Hortense. It was mainly through the intercession of that princess that the Emperor had been induced to permit the mother

and aunt of Louis Philippe to reside in France, at a time when all the branches of the Bourbons were plotting or fighting against the Empire. It was her intercession, in great measure, which had obtained for them, from the Imperial generosity, the annuities of 400,000 and 200,000 francs respectively. But of Louis Philippe it could not be said, as it was of the elder branch of his family, that he had "forgotten nothing." He had a convenient facility of forgetfulness as to favours received, when the memory thereof did not harmonise with his immediate views. Queen Hortense, to whose generous, womanly humanity he owed so much, appealed to him,—she desired only to live and die in France. The Prince, her son, asked permission to serve in the French armies in any capacity, no matter how humble—that of a private soldier not being excluded. The reply was a peremptory order to leave the French territory immediately. Happily the King blundered here. Had he been a little more trustful, a little more grateful, and had he followed up such trustfulness and gratitude by the manifestation of a willingness to govern France for the French instead of for his own family circle, to maintain her honour and dignity throughout the world, and to consult and labour for her domestic interests, her industrial prosperity, her social, intellectual, educational elevation,—he might possibly have secured a fast friend. For it was not so much the *personnel*, it was the principles of government, that at all times occupied the meditations and aspirations of the present Emperor.

## CHAP. II.

THE SECOND SOJOURN IN SWITZERLAND.—THOUGHTS, STUDIES,  
OCCUPATIONS, AND ASPIRATIONS.

REPelled from the land to which their affections were so inseparably wedded, the exiles, after a short sojourn in England, returned to their former residence in Switzerland. In 1832 the Council of the canton of Thurgovia offered the Prince the rights and privileges of citizenship, in a letter which well attests the character\* of mother and son:—

“ We, the President of the Petty Council of the Canton of Thurgovia, declare that the commune of Sädenstein having offered the right of communal citizenship to his Highness the Prince Louis Napoleon, out of gratitude for the numerous favours conferred upon the canton by the family of the Duchess of St. Leu since her residence in Arenemberg, and the Grand Council having afterwards, by its unanimous vote of the 14th of April, sanctioned this award, and decreed unanimously to his Highness the right of honorary burgess-ship of the canton, with the desire of proving how highly it honours the generous character of his family, and how

\* A trait still remembered and spoken of by the old inhabitants of the district, was the peculiarly generous and charitable disposition shown by the Prince at a very early age—in fact, from childhood. Whilst yet a youth, the allowance received from his mother, instead of being expended in the objects of personal display and indulgence, which usually engross the thoughts of young people, was almost wholly employed in offices of benevolence and good service to his poor neighbours.

highly it appreciates the preference they have shown for the canton, declare that his Highness Prince Louis Napoleon, son of the Duke and Duchess of St. Leu, is acknowledged as a citizen of the Canton of Thurgovia."

In acknowledging and accepting this compliment, the Prince does not omit referring to his position as an exile in the cause of the Imperial nationality of France. He says:—

" My position, as an exile from my country, makes me the more sensible of this mark of attention on your part. Believe me, that under all the circumstances of my future life, as a Frenchman and a Bonaparte, I shall be proud of being the citizen of a free nation. My mother desires me to say how much she is touched by the interest you have shown towards me."

The circumstance just described took place in the year 1832. Towards the close of that year the death of his cousin, the Duke of Reichstadt, son of the Emperor by the Archduchess Marie Louise, drew the Prince into closer relationship than before with the rights and traditions inherited from the Empire.

During 1832 and 1833 he published two remarkable works. The first was the well-known "*Rêvérées Politiques*;" the second—less known in other countries, though evidencing an extent of practical and theoretical knowledge extraordinary in a young man of five-and-twenty—was the "*Considérations Politiques et Militaires sur la Suisse*."<sup>\*</sup>

As one of my designs is to exhibit the course of thought which has influenced the Emperor throughout his life, I shall insert a few extracts from these early

\* When still very young, he wrote a work upon artillery, which obtained warm encomiums from high authorities on the subject.

productions. It will be observed that, whatever the subject immediately under consideration, his mind ever reverted to France,—to the memories associated with that loved name,—to the grandeur and beneficence of the Imperial system. Some of his allusions to republicanism—that is to say, the school of republicanism which repudiates monarchy—are very striking. He does not conceal his appreciation of those qualities in republican administration, which, abstractedly, are worthy of all admiration. But neither does he conceal his sense of the several considerations which might render that form of government unsuitable in many respects to France. By such candid acknowledgments of his convictions he was decidedly weakening himself, in point of popularity, with parties in France who would have been happy to obtain the sanction of his name and co-operation in measures directed against the government of the day. But this was a temptation to which he rose superior. Steadfast in his belief as to the policy which would secure the real interests of the nation, and in his confidence of the ultimate triumph of that policy, he never deviated from expressions indicative of these sentiments. He possessed the capacity of looking beyond the small expediencies of the moment, and regulated his language, as his actions, by a far-seeing regard for the contingencies of the future.\*

\* The framework or outline of a constitution, which he appended to the "Rêvères Politiques," contained some features differing from those of the present form of government in France, or rather contained features not included in the latter. But in spirit and essence they are similar. And as respects divergencies of detail, it would be well to consider that the system which it might be desirable to adopt in a country untroubled by the plots and intrigues of conflicting dynastic pretensions, of reckless, bloodthirsty factions, and conspirators against all orderly government,—against property, against society itself,—may be, and obviously must be, widely dif-

In the "Rêvérées Politiques" (published in 1832), I find the following passages :—

"A government can be a strong one only when its principles are in harmony with its nature. Thus, the nature of the government of the Republic consisted in the desire to establish the reign of equality and liberty; and the feelings by which it was actuated were a love of country, and a desire to exterminate her enemies. The nature of the Empire was to consolidate a throne based on the principles of the Revolution, to heal the wounds of France, to regenerate the people. The passions of that government were love of native country, love of glory, love of honour. The nature of the [Bourbon] Restoration consisted in the restriction of liberty, that men might forget the glory of the past, and its passions in the re-establishment of the ancient privileges of classes, and a tendency to arbitrary power. The nature

ferent from that which it would be *possible*, with prudent regard for public tranquillity, the interests of industry, and the rights of property, to adopt in a country in which these evils abound. To this day the conduct of many of the leading men of the French factions (witness the "Fusionist" negotiations, and such manifestations as the various incendiary "letters" to the Italians) proves the absolute necessity, in the interests of peace, nay, of society, for energetic and vigilant rule. Moreover, it must be remembered that experience often disproves the practicability of measures or systems which may be good in theory. Circumstances, after all, must ever govern the course of human affairs; and true wisdom is shown in deciding on the steps which, under their controlling pressure, is most accordant with the public welfare. In the working of the constitutional systems which were put on their trial after the Revolution of 1848, the President of the Republic strenuously endeavoured to maintain and carry them out in their spirit. The factions rendered this impossible: it proved a physical as well as a moral impracticability. The nation reeled on the verge of the most sanguinary anarchy recorded in history. It awoke to a sense of its frightful peril: it emphatically co-operated with the wise and comprehensive plans by which that peril was averted.

of the Royalty of 1830 consisted in a revival of the glories of France, the sovereignty of the people, and the reign of merit: but its passions were timidity, selfishness, and cowardice.

"The agitation which prevails in all parts of the world, the love of liberty which has taken possession of the minds of all men, the energy which a feeling of confidence and a good cause have begot in all hearts, all these indications of an imperious will cannot but lead us ultimately to a happy result. Yes; the day will come, and perhaps is not far off, when fallen virtue will triumph over intrigue, when merit will be stronger than prejudice, when glory will crown liberty. For the attainment of this end, every one in his dreams has devised various means. For my part, I think we can only arrive at the desired result by a re-combination of the two popular causes of the day,—that of Napoleon the Second, and that of the Republic. The son of the great man, of the First Napoleon, is the sole representative of the highest amount of glory, as the Republic is the embodiment of the greatest amount of natural liberty."

The constant, consistent reference to the restoration of the Empire, as a great national object to which patriotic Frenchmen should aspire, is remarkable; and it will be noticed that, in association with these convictions, the son of the great Napoleon is specially distinguished as the "sole representative" of the Empire. So far was personal ambition, in the vulgar sense of the term, from being a ruling passion with Louis Napoleon. It was only when death had removed those who stood nearer than himself as heirs of Napoleon the First, that he asserted his own position as the personal representative of the Empire. That the recklessness of faction should have made it unavoidable, in view of the paramount object of preventing the total disruption of society, to

postpone the fulfilment of the aspirations which associated republican freedom with Imperial vigour and grandeur, is the fault of a combination, as profligate in purpose, as discordant in its elements, as ever dishonoured the name and principle of representation.

Proceeding in his exposition of principles, the writer appeals to the memory of the Emperor :—

“ Frenchmen! let us not be unjust ; let us be grateful to him who, coming from amongst the ranks of the people, did everything for their well-being ; who spread abroad the light of intelligence, and secured the independence of the country. If, one of these days, the people of France should become free, it is to Napoleon that they will owe it. He it was who habituated men to virtuous actions, the only sure basis of a republic. Do not reproach him for his dictatorial power ; it was that which led to freedom, as the iron plough which breaks the clods prepares the fertility of the soil. It was he who brought true civilisation to the world, from the Tagus to the Vistula ; it was he who implanted in the mind of France the principles of the Republic—equality before the laws, the superior claims of merit, the prosperity of commerce and industry, the enfranchisement of all nations ; these were the objects with which he led us onward. \* \* \* The misfortune of the Emperor Napoleon was, that he was not able to reap all that he had sown — that, having delivered France, he was unable to leave her free.”

Some of the opinions entertained by the Prince, upon the practical question at issue between the monarchical and the purely republican forms of government in their probable bearings upon the welfare of France, may be gathered from the following passages :—

“ In order that the enjoyments of life may be equally spread amongst all classes, it is necessary not only that

taxation should be diminished, but also that the government should wear an aspect of stability, calculated to tranquillise the minds of men, and warrant a dependence upon the future. The government will be stable when institutions are not exclusive ; that is to say, when, without favouring any class, they are tolerant of all, and above all are in harmony with the requirements and the desires of the majority of the nation. Then will merit be the only passport to success, and services rendered to the country the only ground for reward.

“ From the opinions which I have advanced, it will be seen that my principles are republican. And indeed what nobler theme can there be than to dream of the empire of virtue, of the development of the human faculties, and of the progress of civilisation ? If in my scheme of a constitution I give preference to the monarchical form of government, it is because I consider that such a government would be best adapted for France, inasmuch as it would give more guarantees of tranquillity, greater strength and greater liberty, than any other.

“ If the Rhine were a sea — if virtue were the sole motive of human actions — if merit alone attained to authority, then would I have a pure and simple republic. But, surrounded as we are by formidable enemies, who have at their disposal millions of soldiers who might re-enact upon our soil an irruption of barbarians, I apprehend that a republic would not be able to repel foreign aggression and repress civil troubles, unless by having recourse to rigorous steps which would be prejudicial to liberty. As for public virtue and merit, we have frequently seen that under a republic they have only been able to attain to a certain point ; after which they become corrupted by ambition or destroyed by jealousies. For this reason men of transcendent genius

are often rejected, on account of the distrust which they inspire ; and intrigue then triumphs over merit, which might have rendered the country illustrious. I wish to see established a government which should ensure all the advantages of a republic, without having these inconveniences in its train ; in a word, which should be strong without despotism, free without anarchy, independent without recourse to conquest."

The principle that the people alone, in the unsettled condition of France, had the right of deciding on the form of government to be permanently adopted — a principle enunciated and acted on by Napoleon the First, and faithfully adhered to by the present Emperor, whose elevation is emphatically the expression of the national suffrage—is thus enforced ; and on the supposition that the corrupt and undignified government then existing would inevitably fall, an appeal is made to the honest and patriotic of all parties to have recourse to the great ultimate tribunal of decision : —

" Although each one forms to himself a beau-ideal of a government, thinking that such or such a form is most appropriate for France, the consequence of the establishment of the principles of liberty is to acknowledge that, presiding over all partial convictions, there is a supreme judge, which is the people. This is the point at which all good Frenchmen, of whatever party they may be, ought to meet ; all Frenchmen who would prefer the well-being of their common country to the triumph of their particular doctrines. Let those of the Carlist party who do not make common cause with the betrayers and enemies of France, but who participate in the generous sentiments of Chateaubriand — let those amongst the Orleanist party who have not been accomplices in the murders committed in Poland, in Italy, and upon patriot Frenchmen — let all republicans and

Napoleonists unite before the altar of the country to ascertain the will of the people."

---

An attentive perusal of the above passages will show how entirely consistent with his proceedings, when afterwards holding the most important position in France, is the rule laid down for basing a permanent form of government on the expressed suffrages of the people. It was these suffrages that named Louis Napoleon President of the Republic ; these that pronounced, by an overwhelming majority, in favour of a revision of the first crude conception of a constitution ; it was these suffrages that enlarged and prolonged his authority, and that ultimately made that authority permanent, and decorated it with the Imperial insignia.

---

The "Considérations Politiques et Militaires sur la Suisse" appeared in 1833. In this production the author analyses the social, political, and industrial position of Switzerland, pointing out those portions of the Helvetic system which, in his opinion, call for amendment, reform, or abolition, and offering the suggestions which appear to him calculated to increase the happiness of the people and promote the stability of the Confederation. He reminds the Swiss of the extent to which they are indebted, for the improvement that had already taken place in their position, to the good offices of the Emperor Napoleon, "whose intentions," he affirms, "will be viewed with greater and still greater admiration, the more his actions, his principles, and his

tendencies are scrutinised with close impartiality." On the general or abstract principles of political government he makes a few observations, and insists that the system of Napoleon the First was that which most effectually represented the nation, to the exclusion of no class :—

" In almost all governments power has unfortunately ever been in the hands of a single class. In a theocracy it is in the hands of the priests ; in a military government, in those of the generals ; in an aristocratic monarchy, in those of the nobility ; in a monarchy founded on the aristocracy of money, in those of the wealthy ; lastly, even in a republic, authority is too frequently in the hands of a few families, such as those of the Golden Book of Venice, or in those of the lawyers, as is actually the case in the United States of America. During our French Revolution the power was by turns in the hands of a single section of the nation. We may then, probably, affirm with justice that the government of Napoleon, the Emperor of the people, presents to us the first instance of a government where all classes were received, none excluded."

This, in truth, was the proposition enforced again and again, upon every occasion where allusion could be appropriately made to the system of Napoleon. We shall see, by and by, how, in very different circumstances—indeed, in numerous varieties of circumstance,—it is brought forward.

The financial affairs of Switzerland are carefully examined in the "Considérations." The system of Napoleon is held up as a model for financiers; and assuredly the following contrast between the war budgets of Napoleon and the peace budgets of the Restoration and of the Government of July is somewhat startling :—

"Under a wise government, and where the leader takes care that the public revenues are not wasted, great economy may be effected without obstructing the various branches of the administration. The budget of Napoleon, notwithstanding the war, never exceeded six or seven hundred millions. In 1814 alone, it reached 1,076,800,000 francs ; and he met this enormous expense without borrowing. He said that a budget of 600,000,000 francs ought to be sufficient for France in time of peace\* ;—yet at the present time, notwithstanding peace, the budget is 1,160,053,658 francs, or 400,000,000 more than it was under Napoleon, and 500,000,000 more than it ought to be in time of peace.

"The Emperor is often accused of having introduced new taxes. He can at most be accused of having shifted them. He established the droits-réunis, that he might abolish the vexatious tolls at the barriers, and reduce the land-tax by several millions. The force of circumstances, the perpetual wars, obliged him to resort to extreme measures, which would have been, in great part, laid aside with the return of peace."

Proceeding from his consideration of the political condition, necessities, and prospects of Switzerland, whose interests he regards as closely connected with those of France, the author goes on to treat of military organisation, and of the measures best calculated to create an effectual system of armed national defence readily available in emergencies. In these sections he displays an elaborate acquaintance with various branches of the art of war, bestowing particular attention upon the artillery arm. This, the military section

\* In connection with the expenditure under Napoleon the Third, it should be remembered that a large portion of it is occasioned by the extensive works of improvement, which for a long time had been almost totally neglected.

of the "Considérations," has been long regarded by professional men as a valuable addition to the library of strategic literature. But its subject renders it unnecessary for me either to quote or comment upon it.

In the year 1833 the government of the canton of Berne, in recognition of the high degree of practical and theoretical knowledge displayed by the Prince in his work upon artillery, nominated him a captain in that department of the army. To this compliment he responded in modest and becoming terms, still suggesting reflections upon his own position with respect to France. Indeed he never omitted, on any fitting opportunity, to recall and enunciate the principle of which he felt himself to be the representative.

The circumstances connected with the marriage negotiation proposed between the Queen of Portugal and Prince Louis Napoleon strikingly exemplified the fidelity with which he adhered to the hope of being one day able to perform good service for France, and the constancy of purpose that prevented him from taking, for any consideration, no matter how tempting and seductive, any step which could possibly trammel him in his plans for the promotion of the welfare and prosperity of his country. Doña Maria had just lost her husband. There were many competitors for the hand of the fair young queen, and there was a difficulty in the case — a danger that certain alliances which were proposed might entangle the relations between several European courts. In this embarrassment the eyes of many were directed towards a Prince who, whilst having no engagements which could create any complications of the kind referred to, was closely related to Imperial honours. The Queen herself was understood to be well disposed towards the union; it is said that advances were actually made to Prince Louis

Napoleon, and articles in the public journals went the length of announcing the affair as "settled." But, France still uppermost in his thoughts, he put an end, in the following letter, alike to rumours and negotiations :—

"Several journals have noticed the news of my departure for Portugal, as though I were pretending to the hand of the Queen Doña Maria. However flattering to me might be the idea of a union with a youthful queen, beautiful and virtuous, the widow of a cousin who was very dear to me, it is incumbent upon me to refute such a rumour, because there is no circumstance, of which I am aware, which could give rise to it.

"It is due to myself also to add that, in spite of the lively interest which attaches to the destinies of a people who have but recently acquired their rights, I should refuse the honour of sharing the throne of Portugal, should it perchance happen that any persons should direct their eyes to me with that view.

"The noble conduct of my father, who abdicated a throne in 1810 because he could not unite the interests of France with those of Holland, has not left my memory.

"My father, by his example, proved to me how far the claims of one's native land are to be preferred even to a throne in a foreign land. I feel, in fact, that habituated since infancy to cherish the thought of my native land above every other consideration, I should not be able to hold anything in higher esteem than the interests of France.

"Persuaded as I am that the great name which I bear will not always be regarded by my fellow-countrymen as a ground of exclusion—since that name recalls to them fifteen years of glory—I wait with composure, in

a hospitable and free country, until the time shall come when the nation shall recall to its bosom those who in 1815 were expatriated by the will of two hundred thousand strangers.

“This hope of one day serving France as a citizen and as a soldier, fortifies my soul, and is worth, in my estimation, all the thrones in the world.”

After the publication of this letter, we hear little more about a Portuguese marriage. The domestic happiness of the future Emperor was destined to be secured by a union which circumstances rendered more glorious than if the bride had been of the daughters of the oldest and most powerful of the dynastic houses of Europe.

## CHAP III.

STATE OF FRANCE IN 1836.—THE ADVENTURE OF STRASBURG.—EXPOSITION OF MOTIVES AND PRINCIPLES.—THE COMPULSORY VOYAGE.—THE RETURN.—THE CALUMNY.—THE REFUTATION.—DEATH OF QUEEN HORTENSE.—VINDICTIVE PROCEEDINGS OF THE FRENCH GOVERNMENT.—REMINISCENCES OF RESIDENCE IN ENGLAND.

On the 30th of October, 1836, occurred the unsuccessful enterprise historically known as the "Affair of Strasburg." The circumstances preceding and accompanying this movement must be impartially weighed before we can arrive at anything like a fair estimate of the reasons and motives which swayed the principal actor.

The point of time which we have reached is not so remote, but that many of us can refer to our own memories for a picture of the state of feeling prevalent in France with respect to the government of Louis Philippe. It was that of disgust, disappointment, anger on the part of the bulk of the nation—on the part of all who, either in sentiment or overt action, had honestly assisted in consummating the Revolution of July; whilst the small but active section of Legitimists looked on in expectation that in this disgust, in this disappointment, they might find some opportunity to promote the reviving hopes of the expelled branch of the Bourbons.

Prince Louis Napoleon had been, though a tranquil, not an inattentive spectator of this state of national feeling. He had not been unobservant of the indig-

nation with which the noblest minds of France saw all their hopes and expectations of "citizen-kingship" not only disappointed, but systematically warred against. The suffrage was a mere mockery. About a quarter of a million, probably less, might have represented the number of electors throughout the whole kingdom. By the creation of innumerable petty offices at a profligate waste of public money, and by other devices of craft and intrigue, the Court might have been said to carry these votes in its pocket. The national will was an absolute nullity.

The future Emperor knew all this. He knew, moreover, from personal residence amongst ourselves, that the errors and prejudices which had excited us to implacable hostility towards Napoleon the First no longer existed in their former virulence; whilst our course with respect to the Revolution of July had proved that our government had discerned the error of unnecessary interference in the domestic concerns of other nations.

His position at the time has been well sketched by a respectable writer already quoted :—

"He had carefully made his observations upon the scenes around him,—he had studied the history of his own country,—he had seen the vicissitudes through which it had passed, and how the popular will had at last so far prevailed, that a king hostile to the elder branches of the Bourbons had been placed upon the throne. But in what light could he have regarded the throne of Louis Philippe? To have regarded him with esteem was an impossibility: he could but consider him as the representative of the bourgeoisie of Paris, who cared not what became of the honour of France, provided they themselves remained in the position which they enjoyed; and the whole government of the Citizen

King, as he was called, was but the reflection of this idea. The exiled Prince, with the memory of his uncle's glorious reign before him, had perhaps a better idea of the French king's real character than any of the continental statesmen. As to ourselves, we possibly felt more respect for him [the king], because we knew less of the principles on which he had ascended the throne of France. No sooner had Louis Philippe seated himself upon that throne than he required to be recognised as king by the great Powers of Europe. Of those Powers the English government frankly admitted the right of the French nation to choose a king for themselves. They did not thereby express their approbation of the choice,—they did not say that they were pleased to see the Duke of Orléans raised to the dignity of king of France,—but they virtually said, ‘We waged war for the purpose of restoring the elder branch of the Bourbons to power; but finding that they have disappointed the expectation of the nations of Europe, we will recognise the right of the French people to choose a sovereign for themselves, and to expel those who have shown that they are unworthy of the title.’ Hence, a feeling of respect for the French nation, and not a regard for the new king, made the English government recognise him as the ruler of France. Nor was this fact lost upon Louis Napoleon. He perfectly understood why it was that the English people had recognised Louis Philippe, though they had formerly refused to recognise Napoleon the First. He saw the progress which true political philosophy had made in England; and he saw that should he himself ever be called upon to fill the throne of France, he should no longer receive that bitter hostility from the English nation which had pursued his uncle, but that he might, if he had a due regard to

the welfare of humanity, calculate upon the friendship of England."

The House of Orleans has fallen definitively from its high estate—fallen beyond all possibility of recovering the position which the obliquities and delinquencies of its chief member forfeited. I have no wish to heap opprobrium upon the memory of the deceased king; I would willingly forbear allusion to the intrigue of the "Spanish marriage," which many politicians regard as the directly moving cause of his fall. Willingly would I modify the force of the accusations in which it has been said—

"That this nationally-elected king had imposed upon the people; that he had consulted his own dynastic interests rather than those of his subjects; that his sole idea was to aggrandise himself and his family—to make alliances for them amongst all the nations of Europe, and to do this at the expense of all that kings and men are bound to hold inviolable; that his oath was regarded by him as nothing, if a chance appeared by which he could elevate his children to a higher degree of power and splendour than that which they already possessed; that [in connection with the Spanish intrigue] by his attempt to impose upon the English people by saying one thing and meaning another, he lost the small confidence here which he had hitherto possessed, and rendered himself as contemptible to the English as Napoleon had been formidable, until he was regarded with the most profound indifference, and it was apparent that he could under no circumstances look again for the support of this kingdom;" that through the system introduced by him "there was at length hardly any office that was not in the patronage of the sovereign; that corruption rose to such a height that it could only be exceeded in the Russian empire; that

with these fraudulent proceedings on the part of the Crown the French people continued to struggle on in material prosperity and moral disgrace, until Louis Philippe was hurled from the throne with as much indignation, and far greater contempt, than that which attended the expulsion of Charles the Tenth."

Reluctant as we may be to press hardly on his memory — desirous to spare the feelings of surviving relatives—common truth and justice compel the admission that the circumstances attending the expulsion of Louis Philippe *were* far more ignominious, more disgraceful to himself personally, than those which had accompanied the fall of his predecessor. The latter had promised nothing — had made no conditions with the people. He was forced on them by the concentrated and misdirected power of Europe : to force he owed his throne, — by force he endeavoured to maintain it, — by the unconcealed repression of the national will. It was a stand-up fight between him and the people ; — he failed, and fled. With Louis Philippe the case was different. High hope, high expectations of national regeneration, of a government having for its basis the support and confidence of the people of France—expectations assented to and encouraged by the new king—attended the opening of Louis Philippe's reign. And what was the result ? From the first the machinery of intrigue was put in motion, — intriguing, manœuvring, with the specific design of performing as little as possible, of avoiding as much as possible, of what he had solemnly undertaken ; of perpetuating, under a crafty and specious disguise, the system of crushing, ignoring, repudiating the sentiments of the people of France — of maintaining a system even more hateful than the previous one, because it was more mean, more tricky, more sordid, more disingenuous,

more impregnated with the leaven of objects of pecuniary and personal aggrandisement tending to enrich the king and his family.

It was in this state of affairs,—when the dynasty of July, strong only in the mercenary support of those whom corruption had mustered around it, was sunk in the depths of moral abasement, and was alike the object of national hatred and European contempt,—that the public were surprised by the attempt made at Strasburg to rally the voice of France around the Imperial standard. It may be said that the enterprise was a rash, an ill-arranged one. Granted that it was so. Granted that it was but the imprudent manifestation of impulses and convictions that had long revolved in the mind of the chief actor, guiding his acts, engrossing his thoughts, making themselves heard and known by all with whom he held correspondence. Well; it was, after all, a generous and magnanimous experiment—a proof of sincere and profound convictions—one, the failure of which could not compromise the safety of many, whilst success, once initiated, would lead on, by certain, inevitable procession of cause and effect, to a bloodless triumph. And success, too, was an issue which at one crisis of the enterprise seemed far from improbable. It was lost by the clock,—the lapse of half an hour made all the difference.

His own views and intentions, when setting out from his peaceful home, are described in the subjoined extract from a letter to his mother:—

“ To give you a detailed recital of my misfortunes will be to renew your sorrows and mine; but at the same time it will be a consolation both for you and for me to put you in possession of all the impressions which were on my mind, of all the emotions which have agitated me since the close of last October. You know

what was the pretext which I held out on my departure from Arenemberg ; but what you do not know is that which was then passing in my heart. Strong in my conviction, which had long made me look upon the cause of Napoleonism as the cause of the nation in France, and as the only civilising cause in Europe — proud of the nobleness and purity of my intentions—I had firmly resolved to elevate again the Imperial eagle, or to fall a victim to my political belief. \* \* \* I shall be asked what it was that impelled me to abandon a happy existence, to run all the risks of a hazardous enterprise. I answer, that a secret voice led me on, and that for no consideration on earth would I have postponed to another time an attempt which seemed to present so many chances of a successful issue.

" And the most distressing consideration in the matter is, that now that experience has taken the place of suppositions, and that instead of merely imagining I have actually witnessed the circumstances of the case, and am enabled to form a judgment on the matter, the result is, that I remain the more convinced in my belief, that if I could have followed the plan which I had traced out for myself in the first instance, instead of now being an exile beyond the equator I should be in my native country. What care I for the clamour of the multitude who will call me mad because I have not succeeded, and who would have exaggerated my merit if I had triumphed ! I take upon myself all the responsibility of the event, for I have acted upon conviction, and not by inducement of others. Alas ! if I had been the only victim of my act I should have nothing to regret. I have experienced from my friends a devotedness without limit, and I have nothing for which to reproach anyone."

It was on the evening of the 28th of October that

the Prince arrived at Strasburg. On the day following he met Colonel Vaudrey, his principal supporter in the enterprise, to whom he communicated his plan of operations, which was far more practical and matter-of-fact than that which was actually followed. Unfortunately enthusiasm entered somewhat too abundantly into the Colonel's zeal for the Imperial cause. He urged the Prince merely to present himself at the head of his (the Colonel's) regiment to General Voirol, the commander of the garrison, urging that "an old soldier of the Empire would not be able to resist the sight of the Emperor's nephew, and of the Imperial eagle." No doubt this advice was honest, but no doubt it was ill-judged. The Colonel measured everyone's feelings by his own. Generous, manly, confiding, devoted, he was more a soldier than a politician. Perhaps the Prince did not feel perfectly easy in assenting to Colonel Vaudrey's proposal; but this much may be said in extenuation of any imprudence involved in that assent,—that the Colonel, who was in constant communication with General Voirol, might have been supposed to be well acquainted with that officer's sentiments. At this stage of the affair, the Prince observed to his companion:—

"What confidence, what a profound conviction one must have of the truth and nobility of a cause, to face, not the dangers which we are going to meet, but public opinion, which will load us with reproaches, which will tear us to pieces if we do not succeed. Nevertheless, I call God to witness, that it is not to gratify personal ambition, but because I believe I have a mission to fulfil, that I risk that which is more dear to me than life—the esteem of my fellow-citizens."

On the morning of the 30th, the Prince, accompanied by about a dozen officers, proceeded to the quarters of

the 10th Regiment of Artillery, Colonel Vaudrey's regiment, and in which Napoleon the First had in early life served as captain. The men had been drawn up in order of battle by their colonel, and the Prince's address was received enthusiastically. The Imperialists next proceeded to General Voirol's quarters ; but here their reception was very different from that which had been painted by the sanguine anticipations of Colonel Vaudrey. The General peremptorily refused taking part in the enterprise, and was placed under arrest. On leaving him, the Prince addressed a body of soldiers, amongst whom hesitation had manifested itself in consequence of some doubts having been circulated as to his own identity. "As" (to use the Prince's own words) "we were losing time in an unfavourable position, instead of hastening to the other regiments which were expecting us, I told the Colonel that we ought to quit the place. He, however, urged me to remain, and some minutes afterwards it was too late."

The decisive moment had, unfortunately for the enterprise, been allowed to pass without being taken advantage of. A tumult ensued. The General had escaped from arrest, and ultimately the Prince, and several of his friends, were captured. On being conveyed to the guard-house he met M. Parquin, one of his most zealous followers, and offered him his hand. "Prince," observed Parquin, "we shall be shot, but we will die nobly." "Yes," replied the Prince, "we have fallen in a grand and noble enterprise."

General Voirol now arrived. His first remark was, "Prince, you have found only one traitor in the French army." The allusion was to Colonel Vaudrey. The Prince's reply was prompt and characteristic : "Say, rather, General, that I have found one Labédoyère." Never for a moment did he descend from the

dignity of self-assertion, or from assertion of the cause which for the time was unsuccessful. His bearing in defeat filled his followers with as much admiration as could have been inspired by success. One of them, M. Querelles, pressed his hand, and, raising his voice to a high pitch, exclaimed, "Prince, notwithstanding our defeat, I am still proud of what I have done."

In the course of the examination which took place, a number of questions and answers similar to the following were exchanged:—

"What was it that impelled you to act as you have done?"

"My political opinions, and my desire again to set my country free, which I have been prevented doing by foreign invaders. In 1830 I demanded to be treated as a citizen. I was treated as a pretender. Well; I have since conducted myself as a pretender."

"You intended to establish a military government?"

"I wished to establish a government founded upon election by the people."

"What would you have done if you had succeeded?"

"I would have called together a national congress."

---

The following narrative of the events at Strasburg is contained in a letter addressed by the Prince, when in America, to a friend in Europe. The material particulars coincide with those above given, but it may be desirable to give likewise his own account of the affair:—

"At five o'clock in the morning of the 30th of October the signal was given in the Austerlitz Barracks. At the sound of trumpets, the soldiers were aroused, and,

seizing their muskets and swords, they hurried impetuously down into the court-yard. They were drawn up in double line around it, and Colonel Vaudrey took his post in the centre. A short pause ensued, awaiting my arrival, and a dead silence was preserved. On my appearance I was immediately presented to the troops in a few eloquent words from their colonel: ‘ Soldiers,’ he said, ‘ a great revolution begins from this moment. The nephew of the Emperor is before you. He comes to put himself at your head. He is arrived on the French soil, to restore to France her glory and her liberty. He is here to conquer or die in a great cause — the cause of the people. Soldiers of the 4th Regiment of Artillery, may the Emperor’s nephew count on you ? ’ The shout which followed this brief appeal nearly stunned me. Men and officers alike abandoned themselves to the wildest enthusiasm. Flourishing their arms with furious energy, they filled the air with cries of ‘ Vive l’Empereur ! ’

“ If misgivings had ever crossed me of the fidelity of the French heart to the memory of Napoleon, they vanished for ever before the suddenness and fierceness of that demonstration. The chord was scarcely touched, and the vibration was terrific. I was deeply moved, and nearly lost my self-possession. In a few moments I waved my hand, signifying my desire to speak. Breathless silence ensued : ‘ Soldiers ! ’ I said, ‘ it was in your regiment the Emperor Napoleon, my uncle, first saw service. With you he distinguished himself at Toulon. It was your brave regiment that opened the gates of Grenoble to him, on his return from the Isle of Elba. Soldiers ! new destinies are reserved to you. Here,’ I continued, taking the standard of the eagle from an officer near me, ‘ here is the symbol of French glory;—it must henceforth become the symbol of liberty.’ The effect of these

simple words was indescribable ; but the time for action had come. I gave the word to fall into column ; the music struck up, and putting myself at their head, the regiment followed me to a man. Meanwhile my adherents had been active elsewhere, and uniformly successful. Lieutenant Laity, on presenting himself, was immediately joined by the corps of engineers. The telegraph was seized without a struggle. The cannoneers, commanded by M. Parquin, had arrested the prefect. Every moment fresh tidings reached me of the success of the different movements that had been concerted. I kept steadily on my way, at the head of the 4th Regiment, to the Finkmatt Barracks, where I hoped to find the infantry ready to welcome me. Passing by the head-quarters where the commander-in-chief of the department of the Bas Rhin, Lieutenant-General Voirol, resided, I halted, and was enthusiastically saluted by his guard with the cry ‘Vive l’Empereur !’ I made my way to the apartment of the General, where a brief interview took place. On leaving, I thought it necessary to give him notice that he was my prisoner, and a small detachment was assigned to this duty. From his quarters I proceeded rapidly to the Finkmatt Barracks ; and although it was early in the morning, the populace were drawn out by the noise, and, mingling their acclamations with those of the soldiers, they joined our cortège in crowds. An unlooked-for error here occurred, and had a most deplorable effect on the whole enterprise, which had thus far gone on swimmingly. We had reached the Faubourg de Pierre, when, being on foot, the head of the column lost sight of me ; and instead of following the route agreed on, and proceeding at once to the ramparts, they entered a narrow lane which led direct to the barracks. Amid the noise and confusion it was impossible to retrieve this mischance ;

and I took, hurriedly, what measures I could to provide against its worst consequences. Fearing a possible attack on my rear, I was compelled to leave one half of the regiment in the main street we had left, and hastening forward I entered the court-yard of the infantry with my officers and some four hundred men. I expected to find the regiment assembled ; but the messenger entrusted with the news of my approach was prevented, by some accident, from arriving in time, and I found all the soldiers in their rooms, preparing themselves for the Sunday's inspection. Attracted, however, by the noise, they ran to the windows, where I harangued them ; and on hearing the name of Napoleon pronounced, they rushed headlong down, thronged around me, and testified, by a thousand marks of devotion, their enthusiasm for my cause. The battalion of the Pontonniers and the 3rd Regiment of Artillery, with MM. Poggi and Conard and a great number of officers at their head, were all in movement, and on their way to join me, and word was brought that they were only a square off. In another moment I should have found myself at the head of five thousand men, with the people of the town everywhere in my favour; when of a sudden, at one end of the court-yard, a disturbance arose, without those at the other extremity being able to divine the cause. Colonel Taillander had just arrived, and, on being told that the Emperor's nephew was there with the 4th Regiment, he could not believe such extraordinary intelligence, and his surprise was so great that he preferred attributing it to a vulgar ambition on the part of Colonel Vaudrey, rather than credit this unexpected resurrection of a great cause. ‘ Soldiers,’ said he, ‘ you are deceived. The man who excites your enthusiasm can only be an adventurer and an impostor.’ An officer of his staff cried out at the same time, ‘ It is not the Emperor’s

nephew; it is the nephew of Colonel Vaudrey: I know him.'

"Absurd as was this statement, it flew like lightning from mouth to mouth, and began to change the disposition of the regiment, which a moment before had been so favourable. Great numbers of the soldiers, believing themselves to be the dupes of an unworthy deception, became furious. Colonel Taillander assembled them, caused the gates to be closed, and the drums to beat; while on the other hand the officers devoted to me gave orders to have the *général* beaten, to bring forward the soldiers who had embraced my cause. The space we occupied was so confined that the regiments became, as it were, confounded together, and the tumult was frightful. From moment to moment the confusion increased, and the officers of the same cause no longer recognised each other, as all wore the same uniform. The cannoneers arrested infantry officers, and the infantry in their turn laid hold of some officers of artillery. Muskets were charged, and bayonets and sabres flashed in the air; but no blow was struck, as each feared to wound a friend. A single word from myself or Colonel Taillander would have led to a regular massacre. The officers around me repeatedly offered to hew me a passage through the infantry, which could have been easily effected; but I could not consent to shed French blood in my own cause. Besides, I could not believe that the 46th Regiment, which a moment previously had manifested so much sympathy, could have so promptly changed their sentiments. At any risk I determined to make an effort to recover my influence over it, and I suddenly rushed into the very midst; but in a minute I was surrounded by a triple row of bayonets, and forced to draw my sabre to parry off the blows aimed at me from every side. In another \*

instant I should have perished by French hands, when the cannoneers, perceiving my danger, charged, and, carrying me off, placed me in their ranks. Unfortunately this movement separated me from my officers, and threw me amongst soldiers who doubted my identity. Another struggle ensued, and in a few minutes I was a prisoner."

The Prince emphatically insisted that "as he alone had organised the whole affair, and led on and involved the other prisoners, so he alone ought to endure the whole responsibility." He wrote to General Voirol, telling him "that in honour he was bound to interest himself for Colonel Vaudrey, for that it was probably to the Colonel's attachment for him \* , and the consideration with which he had treated him, that the non-success of the enterprise was attributable;" and insisting that the whole rigour of the law should fall upon himself (the Prince), "the leader of the attempt, and from whom alone anything was to be feared."

After the lapse of eight or nine days, the Prince was informed that he must be separated from his fellow-prisoners. Against this measure he strenuously protested. It was then announced to him that he was to be taken to Paris. His emotions on this occasion are described in his correspondence with his mother:—

"When I perceived that my departure from Strasburg was inevitable, and that my lot was to be separated from that of the other accused parties, I experienced a grief which it would be difficult to describe. There I was, forced to abandon men who had devoted themselves to me; I was deprived of the means of making known, in my defence, my views and intentions;

\* In reference to the delay which had occurred whilst parleying with the General.

I felt that I was receiving a pretended favour from one to whom I had wished to do the greatest injury. I wasted my breath in complaints and regrets; but all I could do was to protest."

Meanwhile Queen Hortense, inspired by maternal affection and alarm, had made an appeal to Louis Philippe on behalf of her son. It was one to which the king was not indisposed to listen; but, as on all occasions, he desired *conditions*. He was quite willing to get rid of a "troublesome" business—of a "troublesome" appellant to the suffrages of France—provided that the troublesome personage in question would only pledge himself to absent himself for ever from the country. He even wished to obtain from him a formal renunciation, in writing, of his Imperial aspirations. It would have been a cheap, a most advantageous, mode of getting credit for an act of clemency. No proposition of the kind was made directly to the Prince—probably because it was known that he would have disdainfully rejected it. Indeed, all through the business he demanded, as a matter of justice, that he should share the destiny of his companions. It is, however, not improbable that Queen Hortense, inspired by the strong impulses of maternal affection, had, without his consent or knowledge, given some kind of implied understanding, not at all binding upon him, but which the government, not well knowing "what to do" with the prisoner,—the formal trial and condemnation of whom might produce an "inconvenient" movement in the public mind,—was fain to accept, *faute de mieux*, and of course to interpret adroitly in the manner most accordant with its own wishes. Being made aware of the activity of his mother's intercession, and of its result in his compulsory transportation to America, he

sent her a letter, which, whilst conveying the same sentiments of affectionate regard that marked all his correspondence with her, and manifesting his earnest interest in the fate of his followers in the Strasburg business, shows how remote was the idea of participation in any arrangement for his removal without trial from France:—

“ My dear Mother,

“ I perceive in the step which you have taken all the affection which you feel for me. You thought only of the danger which I incurred, but you did not reflect that honour obliged me to share the fate of my companions in misfortune. It has caused me poignant grief to forsake those men whom I led on to their ruin, when my presence and my depositions might have influenced the jury in their favour. I wrote to the king to beg of him to regard them with lenity ; it is the only favour which can touch my heart.

“ I am about to embark for America ; but, my dear mother, I implore you not to follow me if you would not add to my grief.

\* \* \* \* \*

“ I beg of you, dear mother, to watch over the wants of the prisoners of Strasburg. Take care of the two sons of Colonel Vaudrey, who are at Paris with their mother. I could easily reconcile myself to my fate, if I knew that my companions in misfortune would not be deprived of their lives\* ; but to have on one's conscience the death of brave soldiers is a bitter grief which cannot be effaced.

\* It is pleasant to have to add that the prisoners of Strasburg were acquitted.

"Adieu, my dear mother. Receive my thanks for all the proofs of affection which you give me. Return to Arenemberg; but do not come and join me in America; it would make me too unhappy. Adieu, receive my affectionate embrace. I love you always with all my heart.

"Your affectionate and respectful son,  
"NAPOLEON LOUIS."

In another letter to his mother he writes :—

"I told the Prefect that I was in despair at not being allowed to share the fate of my companions in misfortune; that being thus withdrawn from prison without having undergone a general examination (the first being only a summary proceeding), I was deprived of the opportunity of depositing to several matters which were in favour of the accused; but my protestations proving to be of no avail, I took the step of writing a letter to the king, in which I told him that when I found myself thrown into prison, after having taken up arms against his government, there was only one thing of which I was apprehensive, namely his clemency, since it might deprive me of the sweetest consolation that could remain to me—the possibility of sharing the fate of my companions in misfortune."

To M. Odillon Barrot, whom he had requested to conduct the defence of Colonel Vaudrey and the other prisoners, from whom he was forcibly separated, he wrote as follows :—

"I am deeply affected at leaving my co-accused, as I have an idea that, if I were present with them at the bar of the court, my depositions in their favour might influence the jury, and throw a light upon the case. Denied the consolation of being of service to men whom I have led to their destruction, I am obliged to

confide to an advocate that which I am not able to state in person to the jury.

"On the part of my co-accused there has been no conspiracy. It was nothing but the excitement of the moment that influenced them. I alone it was who planned everything, and made all the necessary preparations. I had indeed seen Colonel Vaudrey previous to the 30th of October, but he did not conspire with me. Up to the 29th, at eight o'clock at night, no one except myself was aware that the movement was to take place the next day. It was later than that hour when I saw Colonel Vaudrey. M. Parquin had come to Strasburg on business of his own. It was not till the night of the 29th that I sent for him. The other parties were aware of my presence in the French territory, but were ignorant of my motives. It was not, then, until the 29th that I assembled the persons who are now under accusation, and then for the first time informed them of my intentions. Colonel Vaudrey was not at this meeting. The officers of engineers came amongst us without being aware of what was going forward. Undoubtedly we are all guilty, in the eyes of the established government, of having taken up arms against it; but I am the most guilty."

It was thus in spite of his earnest and indignant protestations — despite of reiterated demands that he should be tried with those who had co-operated with him in his endeavour to effect that change which, under all circumstances, he predicted must occur sooner or later — that the Prince was deported to America. Yet there have not been wanting persons so replete with the virus of partisan malignity as to insinuate that that deportation, against which he exclaimed so energetically, was accompanied by a promise or under-

taking on his part not to return to Europe for a period of ten years. All the facts and circumstances of the case belie such a supposition. His deportation was a compulsory one. He demanded, he entreated, to remain in France, and to take the chance of life or death. And it required the very essence of ingenuous malice to assume that any man, borne, despite his remonstrances, into a remote place of exile, would have bound himself down by an extraneous and embarrassing pledge as the condition upon which he was to be transmitted to such exile. There is, in short, little need for argument on the matter. The facts and probabilities, on all grounds of reason and consistency, are too clear to require it. It is, however, satisfactory to insert the following letters, in which the Prince himself alludes to and disposes of the question. The first was written in New York, almost immediately on his arrival there, and is addressed to his faithful supporter, Colonel Vaudrey. The second was written in London, in the year 1846, and is addressed to M. Capefigue, who, with strange inadvertence, had repeated what the Prince quietly designates "a very old calumny."

" My dear Colonel,

" You cannot imagine how happy it made me, on my arrival in the United States, to hear of your acquittal. For four months and a half I was incessantly harassed by fears as to your fate. From the moment of my imprisonment up to my departure from France, I never ceased to do all in my power to soften the condition of my companions in misfortune, always taking care, as you will readily believe, whilst making intercessions in their favour, not to do anything to compromise the dignity of the name I bear. Before

embarking, I wrote to you under cover to the Procureur-General Rossé. He did not deliver the letter to you, because it might have been useful in your defence: how shameful! As for me, they took care to send me on my travels to hinder me from having any communication with you before your trial was over. But I do not complain. I was on board a French ship, which is a kind of fatherland afloat. How unaccountable are human feelings! During my ill-fated enterprise, my tears betrayed my grief but twice : first, when, hurried far away from you, I knew that I was not to be put on my trial ; and the second time, when I was leaving the frigate, and was about to be set at liberty. The letter you wrote me gave me great pleasure. I am happy to think that all your sufferings have not altered the friendship you bear me, and which I value so highly. For two months I sailed between the tropics, under the breeze of St. Helena. Alas ! I could not view the historic rock ; but it seemed to me as if the breezes wafted to me those last words of the expiring Emperor to the companions of his adversity :—‘ I have sanctioned all the principles of the Revolution ; I have infused them into the laws — into my acts ; there is not one which I have not consecrated : circumstances, unhappily, were too overwhelming. France judges me with indulgence ; she gives me credit for my intentions ; she cherishes my name, my victories. Imitate her ; be faithful to the opinions which we have supported, to the glory we have acquired. Without this, all is shame and confusion.’

“ These admirable words, Colonel, you have well understood. Here I am in America, far from all that is dear to me. I know not yet what I shall do, or how long I shall stay here. At all events, Colonel, and in whatever country I may be, you will always find in me a

friend on whom you can rely, and who will be proud to give you proofs of his feelings towards you.\*

"Adieu, Colonel; continue to serve France. As for me, nothing remains for me but to pray for her welfare. Adieu! — Do not forget me.

"Your friend,

"NAPOLEON LOUIS BONAPARTE.

"P.S. I have no occasion to clear myself in your eyes of the calumnies heaped upon me. They could not make me sign any engagement, as all I asked was to be left peaceably in prison; besides, they did not endeavour to do so. They accuse me of having intrigued. But M. Thiers will defend me,—he who has said ('L'Histoire de la Révolution,' vol. ii. p. 119), 'All parties, when compelled to act in the dark, are reduced to expedients which are called intrigues when unsuccessful.' Some accuse my enterprise; but M. Thiers will defend me,—he who, speaking of the funeral honours rendered to the remains of Marat, expresses himself thus: 'And if history recall such scenes, it is to teach men to reflect on the effect of casual and fleeting prepossessions; to urge them to examine themselves closely, when they mourn for the powerful or curse the departed of the day.' ('L'Histoire de la Révolution,' vol. v. p. 87., 4th edit.) When the future flies before us, it is in the past we must seek for consolation. Adieu! Adieu!"

The letter to M. Capefigue ran as follows:—

\* On his accession to power, Napoleon the Third showed that these were no empty professions. Colonel Vaudrey has been appointed to a high and dignified post. Napoleon the Third possesses the faculty of well remembering friendship and service; and he has signally proved that he does *not* possess the faculty of so well remembering insult and injury.

" London, Nov. 10. 1846.

" Sir,

" The serious accusation against me contained in the ninth volume of your 'History of Europe' compels me to address you, for the purpose of refuting a very old calumny, which I did not expect to see again brought forward by an historian who, in his 'History of Charlemagne,' had addressed some flattering words to me.

" You believe that, in 1836, when I was suddenly seized and carried off, in spite of myself, out of France, I gave my promise to remain in perpetual exile in America, and that my prompt return to Europe was a violation of my word of honour. I here renew my formal denial, so often given, of the truth of this accusation.

" In 1836 the French government did not even seek to negotiate terms of freedom with me, because it was well aware that I preferred a solemn trial and sentence to liberty. It therefore exacted nothing from me, because it could exact nothing ; and I could promise nothing, because I was asked for nothing. In 1840, M. Frenckarre himself, procureur-général to the Chamber of Peers, was obliged to declare that I had been set at liberty *without conditions*. Such is his own expression, reported in the 'Moniteur' of September 1840. You will, I hope, place faith in the words of the man who, whilst expressing himself thus, read the act of accusation to the Chamber of Peers. I returned, therefore, to Europe in 1837, because no moral obligation prevented me from coming to close my mother's eyes.

" If, engrossed by this pious duty, I had had the weakness to violate a promise given, the French government would not have required, after the death of my mother, to assemble an army in order to force me to quit Switzerland : it would only have needed to call upon me to

keep my word. Had I violated one promise, the French government would not have placed any reliance on a second ; while, on the contrary, it frequently caused me to be informed, during my residence in Ham, that immediately on my entering into an engagement with the reigning dynasty, the doors of my prison should be opened. And had I, as you seem to believe, trifled with good faith, I should have subscribed to all the proposals made to me during my captivity ; whilst, on the contrary, I preferred remaining six years a captive. I preferred running the risks of an escape, to submitting to conditions which I thought dishonourable.

“ Blame, Sir, if you will, my political conduct ; distort my actions ; falsify my intentions ; — you exercise your right to judge. But I will never permit any person to attack my honour, since, thanks be to God, I have preserved it untainted during many cruel trials.

“ I trust, Sir, that you will give to this just refutation a publicity as wide as the circulation of the writings which flow from your pen.

“ Receive, &c.,

“ NAPOLEON LOUIS.”

Had there been a possibility of impugning a single word of these declarations, how gladly would the opportunity have been embraced!—how eagerly would any inaccuracy — much more untruth — have been seized and commented on with “indignant eloquence” by the parties whose occupation it was to “do” that department of business for the ruling power of the day!

The vessel in which the Prince sailed touched first at a South American port. He was finally carried to New York, and, whilst in the United States, employed himself in studying the constitution, the laws, the social and domestic condition and general policy of the

people amongst whom he was sojourning. He won good opinions from all classes; and there was probably no foreign visitor so popular, during his stay amongst the great republican community, as the intrepid and uncompromising assertor of the principles of the Empire, — the advocate and champion of the revival of the Imperial system. There is some reason to believe that his opinions respecting the general working of pure republican institutions did not become more favourable in consequence of the observations made by him during this visit.

I have already inserted some extracts from the letter in which he communicated to Queen Hortense his impelling motives in the enterprise which failed at Strasburg. But in the circumstances in which the Prince found himself after the failure of that attempt — compelled, against his earnest protest, to separate his lot from that of his followers, and hurried on board a vessel, not through motives of humanity or generosity on the part of the government of Louis Philippe, but for the purpose of ridding it of what was regarded as a perilous *embarras*, — he deemed it necessary to give a more public explanation of those motives. In one of his letters we find the following remarkable expressions, well worthy of being remembered in connection with subsequent events: —

“ New York, April 30. 1837.

“ It is time now that I should give you some explanation of the motives which actuated my conduct. I had, it is true, two lines of conduct open to me; the one, which in some sort depended upon myself,—the other, which depended upon events. In deciding upon

Addressed to M. Villaud.

the former, I became, as you very truly say, a means; in waiting for the other, I should only have been a resource. According to my views and my convictions, the first part appeared to me much preferable to the other. The success of my project would offer to me the following advantages: I should have done in one day, and by a *coup de main*, the work of perhaps ten years: successful, I spared France the conflicts, the troubles, the disorders attendant upon a state of general confusion, *which must, I think, occur sooner or later*. ‘The spirit of a revolution,’ M. Thiers observes, ‘consists in an ardent passion for the object in view, and a hatred for those who oppose an obstacle to its attainment.’ Having led the people with us, by means of the army, we should have had all the noble passions, without animosities; for animosity only results from a conflict between physical force and moral force. For myself, my position would have been clear, simple, and easy. Having carried a revolution with the aid of fifteen persons, if I had arrived in Paris, I should have owed my success to the people only—not to any party: arriving there victorious, I should, of my own free will—without being compelled to it—have laid down my sword upon the altar of my country; and then they might well have confidence in me, for it was no longer my name alone, but my person, which became a guarantee for my conduct. In the other case supposed, I could only have been called upon by a fraction of the people; I should have had as my enemies, not only a debilitated government, but a crowd of other parties—themselves, too, perhaps, of a national character.

“In short, it is easier to prevent anarchy than to repress it: it is easier to direct the masses than to follow up their passions. If I had come only as a resource, I should only have been one flag the more

thrown into the *mèlée*, the influence of which, immense though it might be in an act of aggression, would have been powerless for a rally.

"To conclude: under the first supposition, I became, as it were, the rudder of a vessel, which had but one resisting medium to overcome; under the second, on the contrary, I should have been upon a vessel assailed by winds from every quarter, and which, in the midst of the storm, would not have known what route to follow. It is true, that just as the success of the first plan promised me advantage, so its non-success devolved blame on me. But when I entered France, I had not thought upon the course I should have to take in case of defeat. I thought, in case of misfortune, on my proclamation as a testament, and on my death as a blessing. Such is my way of thinking."

Was ever language more accurately indicative of future events! Reconsider these words—"Successful, I should have spared France the conflicts, the troubles, the disorders attendant upon a state of general confusion, which I think must occur sooner or later!" This sentence was written eleven years previous to the Revolution of 1848, and in a foreign country, to which the Prince had been transported as a trophy of the ascendant fortunes of King Louis Philippe, who, notwithstanding the regicidal attempts of Fieschi and others, and the unconcealed dislike of the body of the nation, appeared, so far as dynastic connections were concerned, seated impregnably on the throne. Indeed, the attempts on his life, so far from indicating dynastic weakness, or any feeling on the part of the extreme Republican party that the power of the House of Orleans was in danger, rather showed a conviction, in the minds of his enemies, that nothing but some act of treachery and murder

could shake its position. It was a token that the Red Republicans and Socialists, and other enemies of all regular government, felt that insurrection in the existing state of affairs was hopeless, and that their only chance lay in throwing the community into a state of confusion by the murder of the reigning king.

Yet in this season of Orleanist triumph and supremacy,—when the bureaucratic system of Louis Philippe appeared perfectly consolidated; when any whisper, even in foreign countries, of the possibility of disturbing the existing regime was received not so much with a frown of rebuke as with a smile of derision,—the Imperial exile, never swerving for an instant from the purpose, the object, and the conviction of his life, does not hesitate to express his confidence that the system was one which could not stand; that it was one which, if not abolished otherwise, *must* give way amid confusion and disorder; and that he, the heir and representative of the great Emperor, the impersonation of the Imperial ideas, was the man who above all others would prove most prominently instrumental in restoring the dignity, the influence, the power, the prosperity of France, and imparting to her political institutions the stability so indispensable to the tranquillity and happiness of the whole people.

It is probable that the Prince might have prolonged his stay in America, interested as he was in the process of investigation and observation upon which he had entered with his usual energy when in pursuit of practical information, but that an unforeseen circumstance, of the nature most calculated to arouse his sympathies, called him back to Europe. The immediate cause of his return was the dangerous, and, as it ultimately proved,

fatal illness of his mother, Queen Hortense. The most tender relations of love and reverence had ever existed between mother and son, and were not my business more with the public than with the private character of Napoleon the Third, I might refer to many touching incidents illustrative of these relations. The letter in which the mother apprises the son of her precarious state of health, will be read with respectful interest:—

“ My dear Son,

“ I am about to undergo an operation which has become absolutely necessary. In case it should not terminate successfully, I send you, in this letter, my blessing. We shall meet again—shall we not?—in a better world, where may you come to join me as late as possible! And you will believe that, in quitting this world, I regret only leaving yourself, and your fond, affectionate disposition, which alone has given any charm to my existence. This will be a consolation for you, my dear friend—to reflect that, by your attentions, you have rendered your mother as happy as circumstances would allow her to be. You will think also of all my affection for you; and this will inspire you with courage. Think upon this, that we shall always have a benevolent and distinct feeling for all that passes in this world below, and that, assuredly, we shall all meet again. Reflect upon this consolatory idea; it is one which is too necessary not to be true. And that good Arese! I send him my blessing as to a son. I press you to my heart, my dear friend. I am calm, perfectly resigned; and I would still hope that we may meet again, even in this world.

“ Your affectionate mother,

“ HORTENSE.

“ 3rd April, 1837.”

The terms of this letter sufficiently describe the conduct of the Prince as a son. They are more eloquent than a thousand elaborate eulogiums of filial piety. On receiving it, he sailed for Europe, in the hope of arriving in time to solace, by his presence and attentions, the closing hours of his mother's life. Happily, he was not too late for the fulfilment of this pious wish, and was not on this occasion, as on a subsequent one, precluded by the interference of a debased diplomacy from performing the sacred duty of a child towards his parent. Queen Hortense died, in October, 1837, amid the regrets not only of her immediate connections, but of the many whom fortune had so placed as to enable them to form an estimate of the virtues and graces which adorned her.

After the death of his mother, Prince Louis Napoleon again took up his residence in Switzerland. In 1838, a circumstance occurred which unpleasantly evinced the vindictive jealousy of the French government of the day. The profusion of calumnious misrepresentations which had appeared in reference to the Strasburg affair, had induced Lieutenant Laity, a zealous and single-hearted adherent of the Imperial cause, to publish, with the concurrence of Prince Louis Napoleon, a plain statement of the facts and principles at issue—a statement, indeed, too truthful and plain not to be distasteful to those who thought that their interests were concerned in perpetuating the slanders which had been directed against the Prince. It was pronounced seditious, and a prosecution of the author was undertaken by the government. In a letter to Lieutenant Laity, the Prince thus comments on the proceeding:—

“If, as I would fain believe, a spirit of justice animates the Court of Peers,—if it be independent of the

executive powers, as intended by the constitution, it is impossible that it can condemn you;—for, as I cannot too often repeat, your brochure does not call for a new revolt, but is merely a simple and truthful explanation of an event which has been misrepresented. I have nothing else in the world to depend upon but public opinion—nothing to support me but the esteem of my fellow-countrymen. If it be denied to me and my friends to defend ourselves against iniquitous calumnies, I should consider my fate the most cruel that could possibly be conceived. You know my friendship for you well enough to understand how I am distressed at the idea that you should become the victim of your devotedness; but I also know that, with your devotedness, you suffer with resignation in a national cause. You will be asked, as already some of the newspapers begin to ask, where is the Napoleonite party? Reply to this, the party is nowhere, but the cause everywhere. The party is nowhere, because my friends have not mustered; but the cause has partisans everywhere, from the workshop of the artisan to the council-chamber of the king; from the barrack of the soldier to the palace of the Marshal of France. Legitimists, republicans, disciples of the ‘juste milieu,’ all who wish to see a strong government and constitutional liberty, an imposing attitude on the part of authority—all these, I say, are Napoleonists, whether they avow it or not. For the Imperial system is not a bastard imitation of the English or American system. It is a hierarchy in the midst of a democracy, recognising equality in the law, reward according to merit. It is, in fact, a colossal pyramid, with a broad base, and a lofty head.

“ Say, then, that in authorising you to issue this publication, my object was not to disturb the tranquillity of France, nor to revive passions scarcely sup-

pressed, but to show myself to my fellow-countrymen such as I am, and not such as interested enmity had represented me. But if one day the movements of parties should overthrow the existing powers (and the experience of the past fifty years authorises us in the belief)—and if, accustomed as they have been for the last thirty-three years to despise authority, they should undermine all the foundations of the social empire, then perhaps the name of Napoleon may prove an anchor of safety for all that is noble and truly useful in France."

The issue of the trial was what might have been expected, considering the unscrupulousness with which the constitutional government of July was in the habit of bringing to bear every kind of sinister influence, for the purpose of putting "the screw" on the tribunals, whenever it had an object to carry, spite to gratify, or an opponent to crush. Lieutenant Laity was pronounced guilty of sedition, and sentenced to pecuniary fine and to imprisonment for five years.

The energetic tone in which, on all appropriate occasions, the Prince continued to assert the principles and objects for which he lived, gave much uneasiness to a government which was aware that, however seemingly strong, its foundation was not sound and safe. The ministry of Louis Philippe sent to the Helvetic Confederation a pressing demand for the expulsion of the illustrious exile. The answer was a firm refusal, upon which an army was sent towards the frontier, with the avowed object of forcing the republic into compliance. The Swiss, on their part, presented a gallant and unflinching front, and, disproportionate as were their means of resistance to the colossal military power which threatened them, resolutely prepared to meet force with force. But the subject of this contention determined that the country which had so long af-

forged him a hospitable asylum, and the government of the country which was the object of his love and hope, should not, on his account, be plunged into an unequal conflict—desperate and ruinous, though honourable, on one side, disgraceful, even if successful, on the other. The armies of France, he was resolved, should never draw the sword against a Bonaparte. He decided on retiring to England, and announced his intention to the Council of the Canton of Thurgovia in a letter gracefully acknowledging the “generous protection” offered to him by the canton, and the “marks of esteem and affection” which he had received from the Swiss people.

The residence of Prince Louis Napoleon in England has been adverted to as below, by a writer who evidently possessed means of obtaining authentic information. The parenthetical observations in which the position of the First Napoleon towards Europe, and towards this country in particular, is considered, are recommended to the reader’s attention:—

“ During his residence here, he appears to have carefully studied the English constitution and the English people ; and it was here that he formed those profound views of political philosophy which we find elaborated in his published works.

“ We were so long accustomed to regard the First Napoleon as one who acted solely from the dictates of his own will, and as one who wisely, but tyrannically, governed his own dominions by his spontaneous notions, that we do not even yet give him credit for that profound investigation of political philosophy which really constituted the greater part of his glory. Knowing the value of nationality, he declared that those who perpetrated the crime of abolishing the Polish independence

had laid themselves open to a fearful retribution in time to come. He saw that the remaining nationalities of Slavonian race could not be safely entrusted to one hand ; he saw that it would be at the cost of an unexampled loss of human life and liberty—that it would be at the risk of the vastest misery—that the partition of Poland could be carried out so as to blot the name of Poland from the map of the nations of Europe. This proved that his views of political philosophy were far in advance of his day. \* \* \*

“ These are not solitary instances in the career of Napoleon I., a man who was understood by few in the age in which he lived, but whose benefits to France are acknowledged by the universal respect paid to his memory in that country. We are not surprised, then, to find the heir of this great man residing in England, a country which had been so hostile to his uncle, carefully studying the history and politics of the kingdom, in order to ascertain why it acted as it did towards his predecessor, and also to learn how, in time to come, when he believed he should fill the throne of France, he might best treat with those haughty islanders by whom that predecessor had been overthrown.

“ When in England, Louis Napoleon made many friends, who seem to have been so impressed with the energy of his character, that they remained his fast adherents even in his most adverse fortunes. The English people generally, too, regarded him with a species of respect and admiration ; not merely because of the name he bore, nor of the purpose which he cherished — for his prospects were then far off and indistinct ; but mainly because they saw in him the promise of a great man. It is curious now to note what was said concerning his personal appearance, his manners, and deportment. He has been differently

described. By some he has been spoken of as having a peculiarly expressive countenance. \* \* Others, however, who have perhaps been better able to describe him, state that his looks impressed the spectator with an idea both of benevolence and nobility; but that which was both interesting and remarkable in him was an indescribable shade of sadness and deep thought, which might have resulted from the trials of youth. His tastes and habits were ever grave and simple; always dressing in the morning at once for the day; nor even, when quite young, did he ever employ much of the allowance given him by his mother in dress, having a great contempt for mere vanities; but, on the contrary, spent almost the whole of it in acts of charity, and especially in assisting schools."

This reference to his propensity for "assisting schools," indicates one of the passions of Napoleon the Third. The subject of education in all its branches has always been one of those which engaged a large share of his attention, and has not lost its interest since he has attained Imperial authority.

## CHAP. IV.

THE POLICY OF THE EMPIRE AS EXPOUNDED BY PRINCE LOUIS NAPOLEON.—EXCERPTA FROM “LES IDÉES NAPOLÉONIENNES.”—PROTEST AGAINST SYMPATHY WITH SOCIALIST CONSPIRACIES.

If, in preparing this volume, I occupy much space with authentic reproductions of the opinions of Napoleon the Third at different periods of his career, it is because its design is rather that of an exposition of principles than a narrative of dry facts. Explanation, elucidation of objects and motives, will, in such an undertaking, be more interesting, as well as more informing, than a minute register of occurrences, unaccompanied by an examination of their moral. For this reason I think it desirable to occupy some space with quotations from the celebrated “Idées Napoléoniennes,” which appeared whilst its illustrious author was residing in England, in 1839. The object of the work was to correct misconceptions, and furnish truthful information respecting the actions, the opinions, the projects of the Great Napoleon, both as respected France in particular, and Europe in general; to give a correct general idea of what he had accomplished in the midst of war, and of what he would further have accomplished had not the force of circumstances, too powerful for mortal genius and energy to contend with, precluded him. Identifying himself, as he has ever done, with the policy of Napoleon the First, the prince who was destined to re-establish and develope that policy laboured to impress the world with accurate opinions as to its tendencies and objects.

In the short introduction which precedes the "Idées," he observes : —

"The Emperor is no more, but his spirit survives. Deprived of the possibility of defending his tutelary power with my sword, I can at least defend his memory with my pen. To enlighten public opinion by developing the thoughts which presided over his high conceptions, to recall the memory of his vast projects — this is a task which gladdens my heart and consoles me in exile. The fear of encountering adverse opinions will not deter me. Ideas which are under the ægis of the greatest genius of modern times may be proclaimed without circumlocution ; they cannot be subject to the variations of the political atmosphere."

After preliminary remarks upon forms and principles of government, and references to the state in which, after the revolutionary convulsions, Napoleon found France, the writer proceeds : —

"Napoleon, advancing upon the stage of the world, saw that it was his part to be the testamentary executor of the Revolution. The destructive fire of parties was extinct ; and when the Revolution, dying but not vanquished, bequeathed to Napoleon the accomplishment of its last wishes, it might have said to him, 'Concentrate upon solid foundations the principal results of my exertions ; reunite the divided people of France ; repulse feudal Europe, leagued against me ; heal my wounds ; enlighten the nations ; extend in breadth that which I have done in depth. Be for Europe what I have been to France ; and even though you water with your blood the tree of civilisation, though you see your projects misrepresented, and your family wandering about the world without a native land to own them, never abandon the sacred cause of the French people, but lead it to

triumph by all the means which genius calls into being, and which humanity approves. \* \* \*

"The Emperor Napoleon contributed more than any other man to accelerate the reign of liberty by preserving the moral influence of the Revolution, and by diminishing the fears which it inspired. But for the Consulate and the Empire, the Revolution would have been merely a great drama, leaving behind it grand inmemories, but few traces. The Revolution would have been drowned in the counter-revolution; whereas the precise contrary took place, because Napoleon planted in France, and spread in Europe, the principal advantages of the grand crisis of '89, and because, to employ one of his own expressions, he sobered the Revolution, consolidated the dynasties of kings, and elevated the people. \* \* \* The Emperor may be considered the Messiah of the new ideas; for it must be borne in mind that, in the times that immediately follow a social convulsion, the essential work is not to apply principles in all the subtlety of their theories, but to take possession of the regenerative genius, to identify oneself with the sentiments of the people, and boldly direct them towards the object they desire to obtain. In order to be capable of accomplishing such a task, it is necessary, in his own words, 'that your heart should respond to that of the people; that you should feel as they feel, and that your interests should be so intimately intermingled, that you must conquer or fall together. It was this union of sentiments, interests, and wills which constituted the power of the Emperor.'

And most truthfully it may be affirmed that in a similar union of interests and sentiments resides the secret of the moral power which the present Emperor possesses in the deep convictions of the people of France. Like his great predecessor, he finds his rule

essentially on the will of the nation. In the "Idées Napoléoniennes" he quotes the votes by which the Consulate and the Empire were established:—For the Consulate, assentient, 3,011,007; dissentient, 1562: for the Consulate for life, assentient, 3,568,888; dissentient, 8374: for the hereditary Empire, assentient, 3,521,675; dissentient, 2579.—In a future chapter it will be shown that the present Emperor owes his position to a still more extensive expression of the national wish; and that taking into consideration the numerous factions which lately distracted France (none of the members of which factions was excluded from voting), even the proportion of votes was not less satisfactory than in the case of his uncle.

Vindicating the memory of the Emperor from the imputation of having accepted power from a mere impulse of personal vanity or ambition, he argues that "to obviate the want of fixity and continuity, the absence of which is the great defect of democratic republics, it had become necessary to create an hereditary family, to be the conservator of the general interests;" and thus apostrophises the illustrious memory: "Let his soul be comforted! Long since the masses have rendered him justice; each day that passes, as it shows some one of the social maladies which he cured, of the evils which he removed, amply explains his noble objects; and his great thoughts, glittering all the more brilliantly amid the wavering obscurity of the present times, are as a glorious beacon, showing to us, amidst the darkness and the storm, a beacon of future safety."

The tolerant and comprehensive spirit in which, as argued by the writer, the First Napoleon exercised his enormous power, is dwelt upon with affectionate reverence. He represents to us Napoleon, rising superior to the solicitations of party or personal considerations, opening the doors of employment and distinction to all,—

even to those whom he had least reason to regard as friends—whenever he could discern a probability of their being serviceable to France; forgetting petty jealousies, removing proscriptions, and governing France for the benefit of all the French:—

"Let us admire the Napoleonian spirit—never exclusive, never intolerant. Superior to the petty passions of party, generous as the people he was called to rule, the Emperor always professed this maxim,—that in politics we must cure evils, never avenge them.

"The abuse of the Royal power, the tyranny of the nobility, had produced the immense reaction which was called the Revolution of 1789. This led to other opposite and fearful reactions. With Napoleon all reactionary passions ceased. Strong in the assent of the people, he rapidly proceeded to abolish all unjust laws; he healed all wounds, recompensed merit wherever it was found, adopted all glories, and made the French co-operate towards one single end—the prosperity of France.

"Scarcely invested with power, the First Consul revoked the laws which excluded the relatives of emigrants and the ex-nobles from the exercise of political rights and public functions. The law of forced loans was superseded by an extraordinary subvention in addition to the ordinary contributions of the public. Napoleon abolished requisitions in kind, and abolished the law of hostages. He recalled the writers condemned to transportation by the law of the 19th Fructidor; such as Carnot, Portalis, Simeon. He recalled the conventionalists, Barrère and Vadis. He opened the gates of France to more than 100,000 emigrants, amongst whom were members of the Constituent Assembly. He reinstated in their employments several Conventionists whom it had been purposed to keep out of the public service. He pacified La Vendée, he organised the administration

of the municipalities of Lyons, Marseilles, and Bordeaux. He exclaimed one day in the Council of State, 'To govern by a party is to put oneself, sooner or later, in its power. I will not be so involved. I am national. I avail myself of all those who have the capacity and the will to go with me. It is for this reason I have composed my Council of State of members who were called Moderates (or Feuillants?), as Defermon, Rœderer, Regnier, Regnault; of Royalists, as Devaines and Dufresnes; of Jacobins, as Brune, Real, and Berlier. I love honest men of all parties.' Prompt to honour great memories as to recompense recent services, Napoleon placed in the Hôtel des Invalides, side by side with the statues of Hoche, Joubert, Marceau, Dugomier, and Dampierre, that of Condé, and placed also there the ashes of Turenne and the heart of Vauban. He revived in Orleans the memory of Jeanne d'Arc, at Beauvais that of Jeanne Hachette. In 1800 he made the delivery of a great citizen, La Fayette, the ultimatum of a treaty. Later, he took for aides-de-camp officers like Drouet, Lobau, and Bernard, who had been opposed to the Consulate for life. With similar good feeling he treated the senators who had voted against the establishment of the Empire. Always faithful to the principles of conciliation, the Emperor in the course of his reign gave a pension to the mother of Robespierre, as well as to the mother of the Duke of Orleans. He solaced the misfortunes of the widow of Bailly, President of the Constituent Assembly, and supported in her old age the last descendant of Du Guesclin."

The references to parties and persons in favour of whom the Emperor had relaxed laws which exiled many of them from France, whilst he advanced numbers to positions of trust and influence from which a less generous and self-reliant genius would have ex-

cluded them, may remind us of the courageous magnanimity with which the present Napoleon has overlooked the complices of innumerable individuals who had compromised themselves in actual plots, not merely for the overthrow of his own government, but for the introduction of sheer anarchy, or, the re-imposition upon France of the hated dynasties which she has expelled — men whom, in the strict rule of legality, he would have been justified in shutting up for life, or in perpetually banishing from the soil of France—men who had vied in efforts to traduce, to injure, to destroy him. And of the multitudes whom the absolute requirements of public security rendered it necessary to remove for a while from France, but whom, as soon as the danger caused by their misconduct was overcome, he permitted to resume the enjoyment of all the rights of Frenchmen, how many would now have been so situated, if, under the policy pursued by the Bourbon family, they had taken similar measures against the power and supremacy of that family? Most bravely, most humanely, he has illustrated in practice the conduct which he had applauded in theory.

The writer compares the state of France and Europe with that which was contemplated by the Imperial policy:—

"Having as general, consul, and emperor, done everything for the people, could he fear that he should be reproached with conquests which had for their sole aim the prosperity and greatness of France, the peace of the world? No,—it was not a government resplendent with civil and military laurels that could dread the light of day. \* \* \*

"By means of Napoleon, the nation was approximating, without shock or agitation, to a normal state, wherein liberty would have been the support of power

and the guarantee of the general well being, instead of being a weapon of war, a torch of discord.

"It is with the impression left by an exciting dream, that one pauses to contemplate the future of happiness and stability which Europe would have presented if the great projects of the Emperor had been accomplished. Each country, circumscribed by its natural limits, united with its neighbour by the relations of mutual interest and friendship, would have enjoyed within itself all the benefits of independence, peace, and liberty. The sovereigns, exempt from fear and suspicion, would have applied themselves solely to the amelioration of their people's condition, and to the diffusion amongst them of all the blessings of civilisation.

"Instead of this, what have we now in Europe? Every man, when he seeks his pillow at night, fears the morning dawn; for the germ of evil is everywhere;—and the honest heart almost regrets good, by reason of the sacrifices necessary to obtain it. Disciples of liberty, ye who rejoiced in the fall of Napoleon, how lamentable was your error! How many struggles and sacrifices must there be before you again reach the point to which Napoleon brought you! And you, statesmen of the Congress of Vienna, who have made yourselves masters of the world on the wreck of the Empire, your part might have been a noble part, but you did not comprehend it! In the name of liberty, and even of license, you roused the nations against Napoleon. You placed him under the ban of Europe, as a despot and a tyrant; you proclaimed that you had delivered the nations and secured them repose. For a moment they believed you. But nothing solid can be built upon a lie and a blunder. Napoleon had closed the gulf of revolutions;—that gulf, when you overthrew him, you reopened. Take care lest it swallow you up."

When perusing passages of this kind, the English reader will reflect that they are written by one profoundly, affectionately, reverentially attached to the memory of the great man to whom they relate. Without calling upon Englishmen to endorse the minute details of every opinion set forth, it is desirable to fix their attention on the consistent tenacity of principle and conviction manifested in every allusion to the subject, and the accordance of the writer's sentiments with the course which he pursued when the changes predicted by him were brought about. It was under no mistake as to the objects, the wishes, the convictions, of the nephew of their great Emperor, that the people of France placed power in his hands. These objects—these wishes—these principles—all comprehended in the reconstitution, in its integrity, of the grand Imperial system, he had explained, avowed, insisted on, through all changes and vicissitudes of position. And joyfully the French nation approved and accepted them!

Vindicating the general wisdom of the Emperor's administration, the Prince compares (certainly in a spirit of no limited admiration of his great relative) the social and domestic effects produced upon England by a successful war, with those produced on France by an unsuccessful war. Bearing in mind that the writer is a Frenchman and a Bonaparte, inspired by all the prepossessions involved in these predicates, it is interesting to mark the emphasis with which he adheres to his proposition, that the war was not a war of France in the initiative against England, but of England in the initiative against France:—

"The period of the Empire was a mortal war of England against France. England triumphed; but, thanks to the creative genius of Napoleon, France, though defeated, lost materially less than England.

The finances of France are still the most prosperous in Europe; England bends under the weight of her debt. The impulse given to industry and commerce was not stayed by our reverses; and now the continent of Europe supplies itself with most of the productions which were formerly furnished to it by England. And let us ask, who are the greatest statesmen — those who have governed countries which have gained notwithstanding their defeat, or those who have governed countries which have lost notwithstanding their victory?

"The period of the Empire was that of war against the old European system. The old system triumphed; but notwithstanding the fall of Napoleon, Napoleonian ideas have spread in all directions. The conquerors themselves have adopted the ideas of the conquered, and nations are wearying themselves with efforts to restore that which Napoleon had established among them.

"In France there is an incessant demand, under other names or other forms, for the realisation of the ideas of the Emperor. Whenever a great measure or a great public work is effected, it is generally a project of Napoleon that is executed — or merely completed. Every act of power, every proposition of the Chamber, is made to refer to Napoleon, in order to obtain popularity. On one word fallen from his lips, men now construct an entire system."

Enumerating a catalogue of nations which, since 1815, had struggled for a restoration of the systems introduced amongst them by the Emperor, the writer proceeds:—

"Again, then, let us ask, who were the greatest statesmen — those who founded a system which is crumbling

away on all sides despite their supremacy ; or those who founded a system which survives their defeat, and springs anew from their ashes ?

"The Napoleonian ideas bear the character of ideas which regulate the movement of societies, since they advance of their own force, though deprived of their author, like a mass which, launched into space, arrives by its own gravity at its destined goal. There is no need to reconstruct the system of the Emperor—it will reconstruct itself. Sovereigns and people, all will aid to re-establish it, because every man will see in it a guarantee of order, of peace, and of prosperity.

"And where should we now find [a parallel to] that extraordinary man who dominated the world by the respect due to superiority of conceptions ? The genius of this age requires nothing more than simple reason. Thirty years ago it was necessary to see and to prepare ; now it is necessary only to see and to gather in. \* \* \*

"To conclude,—the Napoleonian idea is not an idea of war, but a social, industrial, commercial idea,—an idea of humanity. If to some it seems ever surrounded by contests, the reason is simply, that it was indeed too long enveloped in the smoke of cannon and the dust of battles. But the clouds have dispersed, and men discern, through the effulgent glory of arms, a civil glory, greater and more enduring."

The political, judicial, financial, educational, and general administrative organisation of the Consulate and Empire ; the measures for the encouragement of agricultural, commercial, and manufacturing industry ; the steps taken by the First Napoleon, immediately on finding himself at the head of affairs, to reopen the portals of France to tens of thousands of exiles and refugees, are considered in elaborate chapters by the author of

the "Idées." He refutes the notion that the rule of the Empire was a military one:—

"Never, in fact," he writes, "was internal power less military than that of the Emperor. In all his actions we see the tendency to give civil order predominance over military order. Under the Imperial rule, no place in the civil administration was filled by a military man. He who created civil dignities to equipoise those of the army; who, by the institution of the Legion of Honour, sought to recompense in the same manner the services of the citizen and the services of the soldier; who, from his accession to power, applied himself to improve the condition of civil servants of the State; who always gave precedence to the latter; who, at home, and even in conquered countries, caused councillors of State to be invested with an administrative authority superior to that of the general,—such is the man whom party spirit has chosen to describe to us as the patron of military rule. \* \* \*

"That was a strange military government where tranquillity was maintained throughout the vast Empire without a soldier\*, whilst the Chief of the State and the army were hundreds of leagues from the capital."

Some of the paragraphs in which the Prince descants upon the foreign policy of the Emperor are peculiarly worthy of attention. He denies that that policy was fundamentally a warlike policy, and asserts that if Napoleon the First prosecuted war vigorously, it was with the object of obtaining a solid and permanent peace:—

"To secure the independence of France, to establish

\* Substantially correct. We are informed by Thibaudeau that when the Emperor Napoleon was at Vienna, the garrison of Paris consisted of only 1200 men! a force infinitely smaller than is usually quartered in the environs of tranquil London.

a solid European peace — such was the aim he was so near attaining, notwithstanding the complication of events and the continual conflict of opposing interests. The more the secrets of diplomacy become revealed, the more will men become convinced of this truth — that Napoleon was led, step by step, and by the force of circumstances, to that gigantic power which war created and war destroyed. He was not the aggressor ; on the contrary, he was incessantly compelled to repulse the coalitions of Europe. If at times he has the appearance of anticipating the projects of his enemies, it is because in the initiative lies the guarantee of success. And as Mignot observes, 'The real author of a war is not he who declares it, but he who renders it necessary.'

Englishmen will read the following passages with painful interest :—

"All our wars came from England. England would never listen to any propositions of peace. Was it that she imagined the Emperor sought her ruin ? He had never any such thought. All that he ever did towards her was in the way of reprisal. The Emperor esteemed the English people, and he would have made every sacrifice to obtain peace — every sacrifice, all sacrifices, save such as would have compromised his honour. In 1800 the First Consul thus wrote to the King of England :—'Is the war which has now, for eight years, been desolating the four quarters of the globe, to be eternal ? Are there no means of coming to an arrangement ? How can the two most enlightened nations in Europe, already more powerful than their safety and their independence require — how can they sacrifice, to ideas of futile grandeur, the extension of commerce, internal prosperity, the happiness of families ? How is it that they do not

feel that peace is the first of necessities, as it is the first of glories ?'

"In 1808, the Emperor addressed to the same sovereign the following words : 'The world is large enough for both our nations to live in it, and reason has where-with to furnish the means of conciliation, if on both sides we provide the will. Peace is the wish of my heart, though war has never been contrary to my glory. I conjure your Majesty not to deny yourself the happiness of yourself granting peace.'

"At Erfurt, Napoleon united with Alexander in an endeavour to bring over the British Cabinet to ideas of conciliation.

"Lastly, in 1812, when the Emperor was at the very apogée of his power, he again made the same propositions to England. He ever demanded peace after victory — he never consented to one after a defeat. \* \* \*

"It were too painful to suppose that the war was kept up merely by the malignant passions or the interests of parties. If so ferocious a struggle was so long maintained, it is doubtless because the two nations were unacquainted with each other, and because each government reciprocally misconceived the state of its neighbour. England, perhaps, only saw in Napoleon a despot, oppressing his country, and exhausting all its resources in the gratification of his warlike ambition : it did not see that the Emperor was the elected of the people, and that he represented all those interests, moral and material, for which France had been fighting since 1789. It may in the same way be supposed that the French government, confounding the enlightened aristocracy of England with the feudal aristocracy that weighed so heavily on France before the Revolution, thought it had to do with an oppressive government. But the English aristocracy is like the Briareus of

fable: it is knitted with the people by a hundred thousand roots; it has obtained from the people as many sacrifices as Napoleon obtained from the French nation."

The ultimate policy of the Emperor is thus interpreted and described by his representative:—

"To substitute amongst the nations of Europe the social state for the state of nature—such was the idea of the Emperor. All his political combinations tended to this great result; but to attain it, it was necessary that he should bring England and Russia heartily to second his views. 'So long as there is fighting in Europe,' said the Emperor, 'that will be civil war. The Holy Alliance is an idea which they stole from me,—that is to say, the Holy Alliance of peoples by the medium of kings, and not that of kings against peoples.' Therein lies the vast difference between his idea and the manner in which it was realised. Napoleon had displaced sovereigns for the actual interest of the peoples: in 1815, the peoples were displaced for the special interest of the sovereigns. The statesmen of that period, consulting only rancour and passion, based the balance of power in Europe on the rivalries of two great powers, instead of basing it on general interests; and, as a necessary result, their system has crumbled to pieces.

"The policy of the Emperor, on the contrary, was to found a solid European association, by resting his system on nationality completed and general interests satisfied. If fortune had not abandoned him, he would have had in his hands all the means of reconstructing Europe. \* \* \* To cement the European association, the Emperor (to quote his own statement) would have caused the adoption of a European code, and a European Court of Cassation, correcting the judicial

errors of universal Europe, as the Court of Cassation in France corrects the errors of the tribunals of France. He would have founded a European institute, to animate, direct, and bring into harmonious co-operation, all the learned institutions of Europe.\* The uniformity of monies, weights and measures, and the uniformity of legislation, would have been secured by his intervention.

"The last great change, then, would have been accomplished for our continent; as, in the beginning, communal interests raised themselves above individual interests, and then civil interests above communal interests, and then national interests above provincial interests, so also European interests would have risen dominant to national interests, and humanity would have been satisfied; for it cannot be the will of Providence that one nation alone should be happy at the expense of the rest, or that there should be in Europe only conquerors and conquered, instead of the reconciled members of one and the same great family."

From the close of the year 1838, down to August 1840, when he left England for Boulogne, not for the purpose of exciting a sanguinary revolution, as has sometimes been absurdly asserted, but frankly with the hope and object of obtaining a spontaneous expression of the national will of France, in reference firstly to the government of Louis Philippe, and secondly to the form and principle of government most accordant with the honour and interests of the country, the Prince

\* In exemplification of the comprehensive nature of the Emperor's views on this subject, the Prince mentions the fact, that "Napoleon had in reality begun a system of European scientific association, by giving European prizes for new inventions and discoveries; and that, despite the existence of war, Davy in London and Hermann in Berlin gained prizes awarded by the Institute."

resided in England. Here those amicable sentiments which, from the first time when he became acquainted with us, had superseded any prejudices that might possibly (though the fact does not appear) have been at one time entertained by him respecting a nation which was the primary and leading cause of the downfall of his family, strengthened into feelings of cordial friendship. He did not live the life of a recluse. True, he passed much of his time in study,—some of it in literary composition, as is shown by the publication of the work which has just been quoted; but he did not confine himself, in his search for practical information, to the kind of knowledge which is derived from books. He studied us through ourselves as well as through our literature; and by mingling in general society, observing men, women, thoughts, habits, and institutions, obtained, in all probability, a more intimate acquaintance with our real state and condition than is possessed by some of those who share in making our laws and giving the tone to our policy, domestic and external.

When, about this time, he declared that one of the objects of the policy of Napoleon the First had been to defeat the Russians and depress the English system, and that he was himself prepared to justify and defend that object, it would have been necessary, in order to obtain a correct understanding of the meaning of the expression, to take time, place, and circumstance into account, instead of jumping to a conclusion that it implied any hostile feeling towards England on the part of the writer. We must consider what had actually been the nature of the “English system” at the period when the policy in question was entertained by the Emperor. Looking back to it, we find that a foremost characteristic was an unrelenting resolution to

drive him from his throne — that resolution, which, after a Titanic struggle, was accomplished, but which millions of Englishmen now believe to have been as little conducive to the interests of our own country as it was to those of France. Well, our policy was one implacably hostile to Napoleon; incompatible with the very existence of the sovereignty which he held by the will of the French people. It was a policy of war to death against his political existence. We have seen that whilst he deplored, and of course resented, he made strenuous endeavours to conciliate, or rather dissipate, the passionate prejudices on which that policy rested: we have seen how entirely alien to fundamental hatred or enmity were his own sentiments towards us; how ardent was his desire for peace and amity; how energetic his representations to our own political authorities — nominally addressed, as they were, to a king whose unfortunate state of mind, combined with the obstinacy of a too famous “War Minister,” had been mainly instrumental in keeping alive the flame of war — how energetic were his representations of the wisdom, the necessity, of peace — his entreaties to the statesmen of this country “not to refuse themselves the happiness of granting peace!” But as peace would not be granted — as nothing less than the annihilation of the Imperial system would satisfy the policy then dominant in England — what alternative had the object of all this uncompromising, and, as most of us now think, wrong-headed enmity, but to endeavour to “depress” that policy?

And did such endeavour imply hostility to us as a people? By no means. It was one mainly of self-defence — of self-preservation; an effort suggested by the necessity of averting ruin, not only from himself, but from the system approved and enthusiastically upheld

by his people. When the present Emperor expressed his concurrence with these views of Napoleon the First, his observations were retrospective — directed to the circumstances under which such views had been entertained; — they fairly and reasonably set forth what he, or any man of spirit and energy, must have done, if surrounded by similar contingencies. — He gave a candid, manly statement of facts, in reference to a subject which had been grievously misunderstood.

It was whilst he was living, thinking, and observing amongst us, that the Socialist *émeute*, or insurrection, under Barbès, occurred in France. It was impossible that a man holding the position of Prince Louis Napoleon in the eyes of Europe — the heir, the representative, the vindicator of the Empire, the denouncer and opponent of the system of corruption by which France was at that time weakened and degraded, — it was impossible that a man holding such a position should not have had enemies, powerful, ingenious and unscrupulous. And he had enemies, — he had many of them. Every one of those who felt themselves personally interested in the maintenance of the system against which his life, words, and actions were standing protests, was his enemy. There could be no more effectual mode of injuring him than to depreciate his “good name,” — his public and private character. Of the effectiveness of a dexterous use of slander, none were more profoundly aware, from experience and indefatigable practice, than the dependents of Louis Philippe’s court; and they had exercised their talents without let or limit, as indeed they continued to do for a long time afterwards, in propagating every description of calumny — specious, audacious, and sometimes, through excess of zeal, simply impudent and ridiculous — respecting a personage so obnoxious, and

whom, even in his exile, they felt to be formidable. The Barbès affair suggested an opportunity not to be neglected. Up rose a vehement outcry, supported by a legion of ribaldrous tongues, and unfortunately, it must be added, by not few mercenary pens, against the deadly, levelling, Socialist, bloodthirsty objects of a movement of which the Prince, it was gravely asserted, was the head and soul! The accusation was so opposed to every reasonable inference deducible from the Prince's antecedents, to the sentiments emphatically enunciated by him on all occasions, as to the paramount importance, to every State and people, of the preservation of order, and the sacred inviolability of the rights of property, that really well-informed persons could scarcely be imposed on by it. But the slanderers, if not wise, were cunning in their generation. They knew well that every community contains a large proportion of individuals too prone to be persuaded by assertions boldly made and followed up.

The Prince, too, in consideration of this fact, thought it necessary to make public a formal denial of any connexion with the affair alluded to. This he did in the following letter, addressed to the editor of a London newspaper, into the "Paris Correspondence" of which some sinister influence had contrived, probably by working on the credulity of the gentleman who conducted that department, to procure the insertion of a defamatory paragraph : —

"SIR,

"I observe with pain, in your Paris Correspondence, that it is sought to throw upon me the responsibility of the late insurrection. I count upon your kindness to refute the insinuation in the most formal manner. The news of the sanguinary scenes

which have just taken place, equally surprised and afflicted me. If I were the soul of a conspiracy, I should be the leader of it in the day of danger, and I should not deny it after a defeat.

“ Receive &c.,

“ NAPOLEON LOUIS BONAPARTE.”

Perhaps it would have been politic for the Prince — so far as respected temporary expediency — to have caused the denial to be made in a manner less direct and explicit. Such a course might have been equally effectual in undeceiving individuals of moderate opinions opposed to such projects as those of Barbès, and, at the same time, been less offensive to the extreme party in France, who were ready to place themselves at the disposal of any combination directed against the existing order of things. In short, to use our English phrase, such a course might have been an astute way enough of “running with the hare and holding with the hounds.” But devices of this sort he despised and cast utterly from him. He ever refused to give countenance, implied or expressed, to principles or objects contrary to those which he had laid down as the rule of his conduct and the goal of his aspirations. The faithful truthfulness and sincerity of the man manifested themselves in this, as in so many emergencies in which, during his eventful career, they were put upon trial. And by truthfulness and sincerity he achieved that grand success which has astonished the world.

## SECTION THE THIRD.

1840 to 1848.

---

## CHAPTER I.

THE ENTERPRISE OF BOULOGNE.—OBJECTS AND PLANS.—RESULTS, IMMEDIATE AND DEFERRED.—THE TRIAL.—DECLARATION OF PRINCIPLES.—THE JUDGMENT.

THE circumstances under which the Boulogne expedition was undertaken have been discussed and re-discussed by writers of all parties and opinions. Long previous preparation, combination, and secret organisation of supporters, have been imputed. No imputation could be less like probability. Had there been conspiracy, preparation, organisation, it would not have been with a retinue consisting of about fifty persons, and without a single individual awaiting him or expecting him, that he would have landed at Boulogne. Had he chosen, for the convenience of the moment, to shuffle and equivocate as to the objects of the expedition, and the measures which he deemed necessary for the regeneration of his country — had he, giving way in the smallest degree to the policy of dissimulation, entered into correspondence with the leaders of the Jacobin and Socialist clubs, and held out to them any hope of countenance from himself in the event of the enterprise being successful, he might have had many looking for

the raising of his finger as the signal for a popular revolt. But on this, as on all occasions, he rose superior to tricky subterfuge.

There was, in fact, no combination, no organisation, no preparation for him. The attempt at Strasburg has been described, and the causes of its failure considered, in a previous chapter. That it was a brave and chivalrous, if not a prudent or well-considered experiment, cannot be denied ; but the landing at Boulogne with a few followers, of the principal of whom were Count Montholon and General Voison, presented an excess of chivalrous valour. Here he had not a Colonel Vaudrey to receive him. Nobody expected him. A few proclamations were scattered, concerning a change in the Government. The little party marched through the town towards the guardhouse, shouting “*Vive l’Empereur !*” The troops at the guardhouse were invited to join them, but the soldiers were taken by surprise at so unexpected a summons—perhaps, too, there arose some doubts as to the Prince’s identity, as had been the case at Strasburg. It was not unnatural that suspicion, or at least hesitation, should arise, when they saw not one of their own officers, with the exception of a young subaltern, Lieutenant Aladenize, appear in support of the movement. The consequence was, that time was again lost. The “National Guard”—at that time the incarnation of the small-office-seeking bourgeoisie so relied on by Louis Philippe—began to muster. The numbers of the Napoleonite party receiving no considerable increase, the Prince retreated towards the Column of Napoleon, a little way out of the town, and there planted the Imperial flag ; but it was so early in the morning, that few persons were about, and the National Guard, with some of the soldiery, began to surround the Prince

and his followers. Under these circumstances — finding that the enterprise had failed even more completely than had that at Strasburg, where, for a while, there did exist some appreciable chance of success — the Prince, who acted all through with the imperturbable intrepidity that never forsakes him in moments of danger, reluctantly confessed to himself that the time for the fulfilment of his long-cherished hopes had not yet arrived. He therefore ordered a retreat towards the sea. But it was too late to effect that operation. A short conflict took place on the beach. Little blood was shed — in fact, the odds were too overwhelming to render anything like regular fighting possible. Though the Prince had, with great gallantry, made his dispositions for resisting capture, and, by the exposure of his own person to serious peril, endeavoured to make a diversion in order that as many as possible of his friends might make their escape, the result was that one or two were shot down, and the others, including their leader, were taken prisoners. The Prince himself, Generals Voison, Montholon, and a few of the more eminent actors in the affair, were in a short time conveyed to Paris, to be tried, on a charge of high treason, before the Chamber of Peers.

I have already devoted some space to a consideration of the motives which impelled Prince Louis Napoleon to the enterprises of Strasburg and Boulogne. That they were the result of profound convictions as to the necessity, for the sake of the best interests of France, of re-establishing the system of the Empire, no one can doubt who has seen with what fidelity the future Emperor had clung to these convictions. But that the measures themselves did not proceed from any long-prepared arrangements, is equally evident from all the circumstances. They were the offspring of

generous, confiding impulses, which would fain have believed that all who entertained the same opinions were inspired with the same devotion. The Prince knew that the hearts of the people of France were with the cause which he represented; but so utterly strange to his disposition were the arts of under-handed intrigue, that he had omitted the necessary precaution of forming a nucleus of organised supporters. He felt (to use one of his own pregnant aphorisms) that his cause was everywhere, though his party was nowhere because it had not been organised. Beyond doubt, the total neglect of organisation was an error, but an error which at all events evidenced the sincere character of the convictions which moved him. His object was not to force upon the community the recognition of the Empire—a step, I may observe, for which little force could have been required, seeing that the aspirations of the vastly preponderating majority had never ceased to look in that direction. What he intended was, by the provisional establishment of a machinery through which the people could clearly express their feelings as to the government which they preferred, to enable them to found a system based on the broadest and most comprehensive principles of nationality. It must be admitted that little doubt can exist as to what the result of that decision would have been.

It would be wrong to take for granted, that though the affairs of Strasburg and Boulogne were unsuccessful with respect to their immediate objects, they were without effect in promoting the ultimate triumph of the principles of their originator. Nothing in the world is more easy than *ex post facto* criticism. It is a task in the performance of which the most obtuse of mortals can exhibit a prodigious fund of satire and acuteness, at the smallest possible expenditure of

reasoning power. What more easy than to say that a given line of conduct was mad, desperate, chimerical, because it did not immediately succeed? But how if it had succeeded? Would it then have been called mad or chimerical? Would it not have been hailed as an emanation of genius and wisdom transcending ordinary capacities? How true an appreciation of the fallibility of human nature, in this respect, is exhibited in the quiet allusion made by the Prince to those who "would call him mad because he had not succeeded, but would have exaggerated his merit if he had triumphed!" These few words open up a whole chapter of human nature, with its sensitiveness to the influence of circumstance in preference to the admonitions of principle.

But, after all, were the two enterprises in question such unmitigated failures, in their ultimate effect, as they were represented to have been? That question deserves consideration — deserves to be considered in connexion with subsequent events. That the cause of the Empire was one which, in the affections of the people of France, had survived all shocks and vicissitudes, has been proved by practical demonstration too patent to be seriously disputed: but a cause, however powerful, however revered in the abstract, can scarcely possess vital efficacy unless it have a living representative. And who was the representative, the acknowledged representative, of the Imperial cause? Surely the man who, in its assertion, had twice confronted death, and had endured prolonged penalties for so doing. And now let the reader ask himself, would the Prince have been so pre-eminently the representative and embodiment of that cause,—would it have risen to people's minds so spontaneously and energetically at the very mention of his name, if he had not been the "hero," as the phrase goes, of the affairs of Strasburg

and Boulogne? Surely not. Did not these affairs, however faulty we may admit them to have been in matters relating to strategy and tactics,—did not these affairs tend powerfully to keep alive the memories of the Empire, to remind France that there was a living heir to its principles? Were they not heartfully remembered when the time came for decision on a permanent and solid system of government for France? And had they not an influence in keeping the attention of the nation fixed on him who, in good report and evil report, had never despaired of the cause, but had found, even in circumstances which appeared to others calculated to strengthen and perpetuate the supremacy of its enemies, elements of hope, grounds for confidence of its future triumph?

We must regard these transactions in their effect on the great events of the future; we must consider them in their meaning and entirety, before we can be justified in pronouncing judgment upon them. Granted all the imputations which have been uttered as to crudeness and rashness of conception, inadequacy, or rather absence, of preparation, mismanagement in execution,—who, after all, can deny their immense influence on the events which followed the Revolution of 1848?

Entire as is my persuasion of the good faith with which Prince Louis Napoleon undertook, in 1848, a task which it afterwards became evident was an impossible one —viz., to carry out the system of government then experimentalised on — I cannot ignore the manifest truth that it was his writings and his *acts*, in support of the Imperial system, that pointed him out to the people of France as the man most fit to be entrusted with power, and him on whom it afterwards became right, and necessary for the salvation of the country, to confer increase and permanence of power.

A French writer, who has published an essay on the genius and fortunes of the present Emperor, has put the point so strikingly that I will venture to extract a few passages from his observations. The reader, making allowance for the dramatic style so popular amongst our neighbours, will attend to the course and substance of the argument, which, amid a superfluity of rhetorical ornament, is logically reasoned out :—

“ Here is a man who has twice conspired against an established government, and who, in the space of four years, has raised the standard of revolt and civil war both upon the inland frontier and on the coasts of his country. This man seeks to become Emperor.\* He enters on the continent ; he disembarks on the shore as a pretender : he causes treasons and provokes rebellion. He engages in a hopeless struggle, and falls at once by the defenceless state of his own cause. He is insulted, judged, condemned ; almost forgotten in America, and in the dungeon of Ham. \* \* \* And yet this is the very man who, some years later, becomes, first, the favourite of the people, and then the chosen of the nation. Scarcely has his name been breathed in public places before it passes from lip to lip, as a dear remembrance, as a hope for the future. A murmur runs along the streets ; passes the gates ; spreads over the country. It is echoed far and wide among the humble villages of the land. It swells like the waves of the ocean, till it takes the

\* An error, or rather, an exaggeration of terms—a fault which the writer is apt to fall into, but which does not affect the force and truth of his main proposition. The Prince did not seek to become Emperor. He sought to give the French people an opportunity of deciding on the establishment of a vigorous, permanent, national government, and of pronouncing whether or not that object ought to be sought through the re-establishment of the Imperial system.

form of a great popular opinion, and speaks by the voice of six millions of votes given without motive, without calculation, and as if by an irresistible and spontaneous impulse of the nation. ‘True ’tis strange, strange ’tis true.’ *Strasburg and Boulogne were the causes of the Election of the 10th of December.* Had not Louis Napoleon Bonaparte put himself forward as a pretender to the Empire, he would probably never have become President of the French Republic. Is it a matter of doubt? Then here is a fact which will dissipate all uncertainty on this head. The Bonaparte family did not await a signal from the prisoner of Ham to appear in France, on the stage of the new Republic. The very day after the Revolution, two young men of the family hastened to take their part in the victory. One, the son of Lucien, a republican like his father, uniting the Corsican intrepidity to a patriotism almost Roman ; the other, the son of Jérôme, active, young, intelligent, clever, the living image of that historical countenance which is engraven on more hearts than medals. But who cared to recognise these representatives, these heirs of an heroic period, in the midst of the storms, agitations, and convulsions of the revolutionary crisis ? What recollections went back to them ? What hopes were founded on their names ? What promise was there imprinted on their brows ? They passed by unknown and unnoticed by the people, from whom nothing escapes, and who see everything. They mounted guard merely as patriotic volunteers at the door of the Provisional Government. They were elected by Corsica, and arrived to take their seats as representatives, without awaking a single emotion, or one presentiment. *They had not appeared either at Boulogne or Strasburg.* Let Reason humiliate herself, but let Conscience reserve her empire. For-

tune, fate, the caprice of the populace, the accident of events, can complete nothing ;— but the designs of Providence must be accomplished ; the mystery which surrounds the means is the secret of His omnipotence. That which appears senseless or culpable is often, in the design of Providence, but means to overrule human reason by defeating all calculation. The Empire had on two occasions fallen in a few days, under the assault of a million of men, headed by the Kings of Europe. The Emperor, hurled from a power the most universal and most glorious ever devolved on a man, seemed to have borne away with him, to a rock in the midst of the ocean, all the hopes of his race. One might have believed that nothing could remain of that epoch save a sublime epic poem.

“Betrayed by those whom he had raised, humbled and martyrised by those whom he had vanquished ; without country, without family, delivered into the custody of an agent of the British Government, he died at St. Helena, without his last agony causing any pang, or his last sigh being noticed by the world. His son, whose cradle was surrounded by so many expectations, so many hopes, died almost before he began to live. He died in the palace of Schœnbrun, a soldier of Austria, after having been the heir of the conqueror of kings and the dictator of nations. Who would believe that this double blow could leave a chance for the Empire ? Who could believe that a new shoot was about to spring up, to quicken again its vital principle and its force, after the trunk had been uprooted along with the branch sprung from its bark ? Nevertheless a young man whom no one knows appears. He attempts enterprises the success of which is impossible. He is scoffed at, tried, sentenced, and imprisoned —

then forgotten. And he, the man who had entered Strasburg and landed at Boulogne,—whom we have beheld sitting between two gendarmes on the bench of the accused, leaving a prison like a criminal, to become a fugitive and a wanderer —this man is Louis Napoleon Bonaparte. Oh Providence! who can deny that you govern the world, and who can doubt, in presence of these lessons given by your hand, that great trials nobly endured are the apprenticeship of great destinies nobly fulfilled ?”

These passages, replete as they are with redundancies of expression, cannot fail to carry conviction of the substantial truthfulness of their general tenor. As to the impulses under which the attempts of Strasburg and Boulogne were made, the writer proceeds to argue that the object of the Prince was to assert a principle, not to excite a civil war: he maintains the impossibility of there having been anything like pre-arranged plot, either at Strasburg or Boulogne; and he reminds us of an act of magnanimity performed after the Prince had obtained power—an act which may take its place amongst the innumerable instances in which Napoleon the Third has shown that indulgence in personal spite or vindictiveness forms no element in his nature:—

“ Now what did Louis Napoleon intend by his enterprises of Strasburg and Boulogne? Did he simply come to overturn a government and take its place? Did he come like Charles Edward, at the head of his partisans, to stake his right and his sceptre on the chances of a battle? Did he come to decide, hand to hand, a party contest in a final duel on the banks of the Rhine, and on the sea-shore? No. He was not a conspirator of an ordinary kind. If you

will have my frank opinion, I will not hesitate to add that his character, his habits, his ideas, his education, his nature, must have profoundly revolted from projects of conspiracy. What proves the assertion is the rashness of the acts, and the absolute impossibility of success\*, which becomes evident on a simple examination of the combinations on which the two expeditions of Strasburg and Boulogne depended. In fact, the hero of these enterprises did not take the trouble of ascertaining whether he had any partisans in France.† He prepares nothing — he organises nothing ; his plans are not warranted by strategy. His efforts are connected with no secret arrangements. He can reckon only on a few inferior officers, who tender their swords and their allegiance. It is not on Paris, the centre of the territory, that he brings his action to bear, to ramify afterwards through the whole of France. No ; he appears suddenly, like his uncle, in a corner of the territory, and he believes that his march will be one long triumphant and popular procession. A few proclamations, a constitution — such are his implements of war. His name, his prestige, a dozen friends, who consent to share his fate, constitute his army. It has been said, I know, that the enterprise of Strasburg, in particular, was connected with a formidable organi-

\* This condition of impossibility is assumed, without being proved by the writer. Success was anything but impossible at Strasburg. It was prevented through a concurrence of some of those exceptional accidents which, insignificant under ordinary circumstances, become of supreme importance when a few moments may decide a great contingency.

† There was no necessity for doing this. The fact was certain enough. It would have been more correct, though perhaps less dramatically effective, to have said that he had taken no measures to prepare or organise his "partisans." These partisans were — the nation itself.

sation, which encircled all the eastern frontier towns, their populations, and their garrisons. It has been said also, that several general officers only awaited a first success to pronounce themselves in its favour, and risk their fortunes in a cause in which they recognised the remembrance and enthusiasm of their youth. The judicial proceedings, so searching and complete, which took place before the magistrates and the Chamber of Peers, the debates that shed light on all the particulars and all the intricacies of this ‘conspiracy,’ have not produced a single indication of the pretended confederacy. I have seen and read everything relating to the subject. Time, which has altered the tide of events, and has converted into a title to govern that which was then a cause of degradation, has proved none of these covert treasons which hide themselves in the time of defeat, and without a blush raise their heads in the time of triumph to receive or claim their reward. An old soldier of the Empire, whose heart might have been moved, but whose conscientiousness could not be shaken, received overtures from the Prince. He declined them with the inflexibility of duty, but with grief for the signal defeat he anticipated for a name he revered. Bonaparte, having become President of the Republic, has not remembered this refusal; or, speaking more correctly, he has remembered it, and has given the baton of Marshal of France to him who, sacrificing the devotion of his feelings to his oath of allegiance, nobly refused to surrender to him his honour and his sword. Thus he did not conspire; for conspiracy implies action and organisation, and neither seriously existed at Strasburg or Boulogne.

“It was not the defection of a few officers, or the devotedness of a few friends, that could secure to him means sufficiently substantial and powerful to impose

himself on a nation. In his mind he reckoned only on moral force — on a revolution of opinion,\* when showing himself unexpectedly on the frontier with a standard and an eagle. This clearly appears from a conversation, curious and authenticated, which he had, some days previous to his first attempt, with Colonel Vaudrey, at a hotel in Baden. ‘If the government,’ said he, ‘have committed faults enough to render a revolution desirable to the people—if the cause of Napoleon have left recollections engraven deeply enough in French hearts, it will be enough for me to show myself alone to the soldiers, and to recall to them their recent wrongs and their past glories, in order to gain them over to my standard. Should I succeed in making one regiment follow me—if the soldiers who do not know me personally take fire at the sight of the Imperial Eagle, then all the chances are in my favour. My cause will have triumphed in spite of any accidental obstacle which may arise to impede it.’

“To obey destiny, to follow his star, to sound France with the sword of Napoleon, to bring to light what feelings of affection it contained for the name of Bonaparte and the Empire; to call upon the people to declare their will upon the system which, as he believed, engrossed all their favour and enthusiasm — this, sincerely and impartially, was what Louis Napoleon Bonaparte had faith in, and endeavoured to bring about, in entering Strasburg, sword in hand, on the 30th of October, 1836, and in disembarking at Boulogne on the 6th of August, 1840.”

\* Or, revolution *through* public opinion. A demonstration of opinion would be the correct phrase. No revolution in public opinion was required: what was wanted was the manifestation of opinions which already existed.

---

The trial of the illustrious aspirant and of his principal adherents in the Boulogne affair, took place before the Court of the Chamber of Peers, sitting in Paris. The details of the proceedings need not be repeated here. But it would be wrong not to mention the fact, that the insulting tone in which the prosecution was conducted by the law officers of the Government, and the extreme measures to which that Government resorted in order to ensure a conviction, excited indignation, not only amongst the Prince's friends, but amongst many of those who, politically speaking, might have been reckoned amongst his opponents.

In 1836, King Louis Philippe's power was not, perhaps, in his own opinion, sufficiently "consolidated" to render it safe to bring the prisoner to trial. But in 1840, the process of "consolidation" was considered sufficiently matured to permit of the experiment being made, and in the most vindictive manner.

The proceedings on the part of the prosecution were of a character revolting to the spirit of what in England we call "fair play." Many English periodicals expressed their feelings in no equivocal terms, and some of the French publications, restricted as they were by Ministerial influence or intimidation, were honest enough to do the same.

In the course of the trial before the Chamber of Peers, the Prince delivered a speech in which, whilst repudiating the intention of attempting a restoration of the Empire by any coercive pressure on the national will, he enunciated the principle that the time had arrived when the people should have an opportunity of deciding whether or not such restoration were required by the honour and interests of France. I quote some paragraphs from this memorable address, strikingly con-

sistent as are the propositions set forth in it with the course taken by the speaker at a subsequent period: —

“For the first time in my life, it is permitted to me to lift my voice in France, and to speak freely to Frenchmen. \* \* \* \*

“Without pride, but also without weakness, if I recall the rights deposited by the nation in the hands of my family, it is solely to explain the duties which those rights have devolved upon us.

“Since the principle of the sovereignty of the people was asserted fifty years ago by the most powerful revolution which ever occurred in the history of the world, never was the national will so solemnly proclaimed, never was it asserted by suffrages so numerous and so free, as on the occasion when it adopted the constitution of the Empire.

“The nation has never revoked that grand act of its sovereignty, and the Emperor has declared it — ‘Whatever is done without its authority is illegal.’

“At the same time, do not allow yourselves to believe that, led away by the impulses of personal ambition, I have wished by these acts to attempt a restoration of the Empire in France.\* I was born the son of a king who descended without regret from a throne on the day when he had reason to believe that it was no longer possible to reconcile with the interests of France those of the people whom he had been called on to govern.

“The Emperor, my uncle, preferred to abdicate the Empire rather than accept by treaty curtailed frontiers, in doing which he must have exposed France to the insults and menaces in which foreign nations to this

\* In the sense of forcing himself on the throne.

day presume to indulge. I have not been a single day forgetful of such lessons. The unmerited and cruel act of proscription under which for twenty-five years I have endured a lingering existence — beginning at the steps of the throne, where I was born, and now stopping at the dungeon from which I have just come — has been alike powerless to irritate as to fatigue my heart. It has not been able for a single day to estrange from me the glory, the rights, and the interests of France. My conduct and my convictions sufficiently attest the fact.

“ In 1830, when the people reconquered the sovereignty, I had expected that the policy of the succeeding period would have been as loyal as the conquest itself, and that the destinies of France would have been established for ever. Instead of this, the country has undergone the melancholy experiences of the last ten years. Under such circumstances, I considered that the vote of four millions of fellow-countrymen, which had elevated my family to supreme power, imposed upon me at least the duty of making an appeal to the nation, and inquiring what was its will. I thought, also, that if, in the national congress which I intended to convene, certain pretensions should have made themselves heard, I should have had the right to revive the glorious memories of the Empire; to speak of the elder brother of the Emperor; of that virtuous prince, who, in precedence to me, is his sole heir; and to contrast face to face France as she now is, enfeebled and passed over silently in the congress of sovereigns, with the France of that day when she was so strong at home, and so powerful and respected abroad. The nation would then have replied to the question, ‘ Republic or Monarchy; Empire or Kingdom?’ And upon the free discussion of the nation upon this question

depends the termination of our sorrows and of our dissensions.

“With respect to my enterprise—I repeat it—I had no accomplice. It was I alone who determined everything. Nobody knew beforehand my plans, or my resources, or my hopes. If I am guilty as against anybody, it is against my friends only. Nevertheless, I hope that they will not accuse me of having lightly trifled with courage and devotion such as theirs. They will understand the motives of honour and of prudence which prevent me from revealing, even to themselves, how widely based and how powerful were my reasons for hoping for a successful result.

“One word more, gentlemen. I represent before you a principle, a cause, and a defeat. The principle is the sovereignty of the people; the cause is that of the Empire; the defeat is that of Waterloo. The principle—you have recognised it; the cause—you have served it; the defeat—you would revenge it. No, then, there is no discord between you and me. \* \* \*

“Representing a political cause, I cannot accept a political tribunal as the judge of my intentions and of my acts. Nobody will be imposed on by your forms. In the struggle which is taking place, there will be but one conqueror, one vanquished. If you are in the ranks of the conqueror, I cannot expect justice at your hands, and I will not accept your generosity.”

In the opening section of this work, special reference has been made to the Prince's allusion to Waterloo, and to the misconception which led to the assumption that his mention of that event indicated a feeling hostile to England. I will not in this place reiterate the proof that the language used by him might have been uttered with a meaning very different from

that which was attributed to it by persons whose interest it was to keep up the delusion that the Empire meant conquest, war, and war against England in particular. It may be worth the reader's while to refer to the chapter in which this point is fully treated; and I do not doubt that the conclusion arrived at by unprejudiced intelligence will be, that thoughts not inimical to our country were revolving in the Prince's mind at the moment when he made use of the words which have been tortured into a hostile meaning.

The defence of the Prince and of General Montholon was conducted by M. Berryer, the celebrated Legitimist lawyer, who, notwithstanding his political opinions, had acted as the advocate of various persons prosecuted by the Government of the Restoration as well as by that of Louis Philippe. On this, as indeed on all other occasions calling for the exercise of forensic talent, M. Berryer acquitted himself with great ability. Addressing the assembled peers — many of whom had been in the service of Napoleon the First, and were indebted to that great man for their present prosperity — M. Berryer made an appeal which must have gone home to the hearts and consciences of some of those who listened to it: —

“ Standing where I do, I do not think that the claims of the name in which this project was attempted can possibly fall humiliated by the disdainful expressions of the Procureur-General. You (turning to that official,) make remarks upon the weakness of the means employed, upon the poverty of the whole enterprise, which made all hopes of success ridiculous. Well, if success be anything, I will say to you who are men — you who are the first men in the State — you who are members of a great political body — there is an inevitable and an eternal Arbitrator between every judge and every

accused who stands before him. And now, before giving your judgment, being in presence of this Arbitrator, and in the face of the country which will hear your decree,—tell me this, without regard to weakness of means, but with the merits of the case, the laws and the institutions before your eyes, and with your hands upon your hearts, as standing before your God, and in presence of us, who know you,—will you say this?—‘If he had succeeded — if his pretended right had triumphed, I would have denied him and it; I would have refused all share in his power,—I would have denied and rejected him!’ — For my part, I accept the Supreme Arbitration which I have mentioned;— and whoever there may be amongst you, who, before their God and their country, will say, ‘If he had succeeded, I would have rejected him!’ — such a one will I accept for judge in this case.”

After some days' delay, the Court delivered its judgment and sentence. No doubt there had been differences and disagreements in the deliberations of that high tribunal. No doubt, amongst its component members were men who felt how truthful and magnanimous — how pregnant with the elements of France's real honour and dignity — were the principles so candidly avowed by the prisoner. No doubt, too, there were amongst them men who felt, in the depths of their consciences, that the test suggested by the eloquent counsel was one which they would not care to encounter. But an influence was at work which neutralised their better emotions, and ensured the requisite majority for the Crown. On the principle of “the greater the truth, the greater the libel,” it would perhaps be libellous — but assuredly it would not be false — to affirm that, long before the trial commenced, the nature of its result was as well known to the Government as forthcoming

verdicts in political trials used to be some fifty years ago, during the days of packed juries and “crammed” witnesses, to the Crown prosecutors in Great Britain and Ireland. The Prince and his companions were, *of course*, convicted, with only three exceptions. The heaviest sentences were those passed on the Prince, on General Montholon, and on Aladenize, the young officer who had endeavoured to excite a movement in favour of the Prince at Boulogne. The sentence on Aladenize was transportation; on M. Montholon, imprisonment for twenty years; and the principal personage in the affair was sentenced to imprisonment for life in a French fortress. The first words of the Prince, on hearing the sentence, are said to have been: “At least, I shall have the happiness of dying in France!”

## CHAP. II.

THE IMPRISONMENT.—FAITH, COURAGE, AND ENDURANCE.—THE DYING PARENT.—PATERNAL SOLICITUDE AND FILIAL REVERENCE.—THE GAGE OF HONOUR: ITS REJECTION—THE TEMPTATION: ITS REPULSE.

THE Prince's prolonged imprisonment in the fortress of Ham brought into conspicuous manifestation his constancy, fortitude, and resolute fidelity to principle. He entered the fortress in the autumn of 1840. For a seclusion which threatened to be a life-long one he prepared himself, if not with content at least with dignified resignation, declaring that the knowledge that, though a prisoner, he was living on the soil of France, would be ample consolation in his solitude. His active and well-disciplined mind soon found occupation in pursuits worthy of one who was the representative of a great cause, and who, even in this extremity, was far from relinquishing the hopes and aspirations of that cause. Here he composed the treatises, *Considérations sur la Question des Sucres*, *L'Extinction de la Paupérisme*, the *Fragments Historiques*, and essays on various subjects of national and general interest. In a letter to a friend\* he gives an interesting account of his studies and occupations, amongst which that of gardening is specially mentioned. The spirit of his exclamation on his sentence being communicated to him,—“At least, I shall have the happiness of dying in France!”—upheld him in his monotonous privacy. In his communications with

\* Quoted in Section L

friends outside, he did not fail to make frequent allusions to the cause and the principle which he felt to be in his keeping, and the triumph of which he never omitted from his expressed anticipations of the future.'

Certain annoyances and indignities to which he found himself subjected, induced him, in May, 1841, to address a protest to the French Government, from which I propose to make an extract or two. The tone of this protest is eminently characteristic. Requiring, as a matter of right and justice, that the vexations which he complains of should be removed, he does not omit, even when engaged on a subject connected directly only with his personal convenience in prison, to introduce some remarks of another kind—pertinent and emphatic—which could not fail to speak to the feelings of any man retaining sentiments of regard for the honour of France. The great idea was never absent:—

"In the nine months during which I have now been in the hands of the French Government, I have submitted patiently to indignities of every description. I will, however, be no longer silent, nor authorise oppression by my silence.

"My position ought to be considered under two points of view—the one moral and the other legal. Morally speaking, the Government which has recognised the legitimacy of the head of my family is bound to recognise me as a prince, and to treat me as such.

"Policy has rights which I do not dispute. Let Government act towards me as towards its enemy, and deprive me of the means of doing it any harm; so far, it would be justified. But, on the other hand, its conduct will be dastardly if it treat me, who am the son of a king, the nephew of an emperor, and allied to all the sovereigns of Europe, as an ordinary prisoner.

"In referring to foreign alliances, I am not ignorant that they have never been serviceable to the conquered, and that misfortune severs all bonds; but the French Government ought to recognise the principle which has made me what I am—for it is through that principle it exists. The sovereignty of the people made my uncle an emperor, my father a king, and me a French prince by birth. Have I not, then, a right to the respect and regard of all those in whose eyes the voice of a great people, glory and misfortune, are everything?

"If, for the first time in my life, I perchance boast of the accident which has presided over my birth, it is because pride suits my position."

After touching, in a tone of dignified remonstrance, upon some of the annoyances to which he had been exposed, the prisoner continues, never ceasing to call to mind the proper position of his family in relation to the French people, and the veneration of that people for the cause of which his family were the "representatives":—

"The simplest civility of look is regarded as a crime; and all who would wish to soften the rigours of my position without failing in their duty, are threatened with being denounced to the authorities, and with losing their places. In the midst of this France, which the head of my family rendered so great, I am treated like an excommunicated person in the thirteenth century. \* \* \*

"The insulting inquisition which pursues me into my very chamber, which follows my footsteps when I breathe the fresh air in a retired corner of the fort, is not limited to my person alone, but is extended even to my thoughts. My letters to my family, the effusions of my heart, are submitted to the strictest scrutiny;

and if a letter should contain any expressions of too lively a sympathy, the letter is sequestered, and its writer is denounced to the Government.

" By an infinity of details too long to enumerate, it appears that pains are taken, at every moment of the day, to make me sensible of my captivity, and cry incessantly in my ears, *Vae victis!*

" It is important to call to mind that none of the measures which I have pointed out were put in force against the ministers of Charles the Tenth, whose dilapidated chambers I now occupy. And yet these ministers were not born on the steps of a throne: and, moreover, they were not condemned to simple imprisonment, but their sentence implied a more severe treatment than has been given to me; and, in fine, *they were not the representatives of a cause* which is an object of veneration in France. The treatment, therefore, which I experience is neither just, legal, nor humane.

" If it be supposed that such measures will subdue me, it is a mistake. It is not outrage, but marks of kindness, which subdue the hearts of those who suffer."

There is reason to believe that this remonstrance was not quite ineffectual. Perhaps it was policy — perhaps it was generosity; but the seclusion of the Imperial captive was rendered less irksome than it had been.

Two years thus passed away. In the year 1843, the continued imprisonment of the Prince became the subject of uncomplimentary remarks by many foreign and by some French journals; and there were public men, not the least influential, who expressed the opinion that in this lengthened incarceration of the nephew of the great Emperor, the Government of King Louis Philippe did not evince much consciousness of strength or security. It is possible that the Prince may have been sounded

on the subject of an amnesty, of liberation "on certain conditions." However this may have been, he wrote a letter, from which I reprint the passages in which he states the conditions on which he would accept an offer of liberation :—

" If to-morrow the doors of my prison were to be opened to me, and I were told, ' You are free; come and seat yourself as a citizen amid the hearths of your native country—France no longer repudiates her children ' — ah! then indeed a lively feeling of joy would seize my soul. But if, on the contrary, they were to come to offer me to exchange my present condition for that of an exile, I should refuse such a proposition, because it would be, in my view, an aggravation of punishment. I prefer being a captive on the soil of France to being a free man in a foreign land.      \*      \*      \*

" In a word, I should repeat—supposing that the occasion presented itself to me—that which I declared before the Court of Peers—' I will not accept of generosity, because I know how much it costs.' "

Still true to the France of his love! — to the objects of his mission!

Even in those moments of despondency which will cross the strongest minds, as year after year of prolonged captivity rolls by in dreary monotony, he looks far into the future, and derives hope and consolation from the vision. In 1845, he writes:—

" Ham, 6th Jan., 1845.

" Years roll on with a discouraging uniformity, and it is only in the promptings of my conscience and my heart, that I find strength to stand up against this

leaden atmosphere which surrounds and suffocates me. Nevertheless, the hope of a better future never entirely abandons me."

But a time was approaching when the captive's fidelity to the principle on which he had staked fortune, liberty, and life, was to be put to the sternest trial. It has already been remarked that intense filial affection formed a marked feature in his character. His father, who had been long living in retirement, was rapidly declining. In the year 1845 he felt that death was approaching, and caused an appeal to be made to King Louis Philippe not to withhold from him the consolation of seeing his son before he died. The request was not complied with. But from all the circumstances of the negotiations which ensued, it is apparent that the crafty king and his ministers would have been glad to part with one whose residence in France, even as a prisoner, for so long a period, was becoming "a difficulty," — that they would have been happy to release him, and, by so doing, obtain credit for an act of generosity, if they could at the same time entangle him in such *terms* as would suit their own views, and tie him down, by the bonds of honour, from any future proceedings that could be troublesome to them. Terms of the kind were certainly proposed. It was a powerful temptation,—a temptation which few men in the world, so sensitively alive to the emotions of filial tenderness as the captive, could have resisted. But he *did* resist it. He sunk the individual in the cause. No inducement—not even this, probably the strongest that could have presented itself—could cause him to swerve from the course which he had marked out for himself, or to commit himself to a pledge which might compromise him in the fulfilment of the mission

which he felt to be his own. He would not make a declaration amounting to an acknowledgment of a foregone offence : he would not promise to look on passively at the proceedings of a dynasty which, he believed, was betraying the honour and interests of his country : he would not renounce his high objects and aspirations. But he took just such a step as would have occurred naturally to a man of high, honourable, chivalrous feelings, situated as he was. It is explained in the following letter to the Minister of the Interior :—

“ Sir,

“ My father, whose age and infirmity require the attention of a son, has requested the Government to allow me to go to him. His application has not been attended with a favourable result.

“ The Government, as I am informed, required a formal guarantee from me. Under the circumstances, my resolve cannot be doubted, and I am prepared to do everything compatible with my honour, in order to be allowed to offer to my father those consolations to which he has so many claims.

“ I now therefore declare to you, Sir, that if the French Government consent to allow me to go to Florence, to discharge a sacred duty, I will promise, upon my honour, to return and to place myself at the disposal of the Government whenever it shall express a desire that I should do so.

“ Accept, Sir, &c. &c.,

“ NAPOLEON LOUIS BONAPARTE.”

But neither the monarch nor his ministers were men on whom an appeal of this kind, emanating from the spontaneous impulses of a generous mind, was likely

to produce the desired effect. Napoleon the Third possesses a reputation for the quality of seeing deeply into character, but he had no close acquaintance with Louis Philippe. He did not "know his man." The object of Government was to drive a hard bargain ; to make what they, we may presume, would have called a good bargain—to make "a good thing" of the natural emotions of father and son. They had no idea of allowing the old chivalric principle of honour to enter into the transaction. The Prince's proposition was therefore declined by them ; and upon this intimation being made to him, the prisoner wrote to the King himself : —

"Sire,

"It is not without deep emotion that I approach your Majesty, and ask, as a favour, permission to quit France, even for a very short time. For five years I have found, in breathing the air of my country, ample compensation for the anguish of captivity ; but my father is now aged and infirm, and calls for my attentions and care. He has applied to persons known for their attachment to your Majesty, in order to obtain my liberation ; and it is my duty to do everything which depends upon me to meet his desires.

"The Council of Ministers has not felt itself competent to accede to the request which I made, to be allowed to go to Florence, engaging to return, and again to become a prisoner as soon as the Government might desire me to do so. I approach your Majesty with confidence, to make an appeal to your feelings of humanity, and to renew my request by submitting to your high and generous interposition.

"Your Majesty will, I am convinced, appreciate a step which, beforehand, engages my gratitude, and,

affected by the isolated position in a foreign land of a man who upon a throne gained the esteem of Europe, will accede to the wishes of my father and myself.

“I beg your Majesty to receive the expressions of my profound respect.

“NAPOLEON LOUIS BONAPARTE.”

Still the same result. The King wanted his terms, and these terms the captive was not prepared to concede.

Many of the most eminent men in France now interested themselves actively to obtain the liberation of the Prince on some conditions which he should consider consistent with his duty. But all was in vain. He must give *quid pro quo*. He must bind himself down in such a manner as to render it impossible for him ever again to do or say anything disagreeable to the House of Orleans. The letter in which the Prince announces his final and entire repudiation of any such conditions, deserves to be reproduced *in extenso*.

“Sir,

“Before replying to the letter which you have been good enough to address to me, allow me to thank you, as well as your political friends, for the interest you have shown, and the spontaneous steps which you have thought it consistent with your duty to take, in order to lighten the weight of my misfortunes. Be assured that my gratitude shall never be wanting to those generous men who, in such painful circumstances, have extended towards me a friendly hand.

I now proceed to state to you, that I do not think it consistent with my duty to attach my name to the

letter of which you have sent me a copy. The brave man who finds himself alone face to face with an adversary, alone in the presence of enemies interested in depreciating his character, ought to avoid every kind of subterfuge, everything equivocal, and take all his measures with the greatest degree of frankness and decision. Like Caesar's wife, he ought not to be suspected. If I signed the letter which you and many other deputies have recommended me to sign, I should, in fact, sue for pardon. Without avowing the fact, I should take shelter behind the request of my father. \* \* I consider such a course unworthy of me. If I thought it consistent with my position and honour merely and simply to invoke the royal clemency, I would write to the King, 'Sire, I ask pardon.'

"Such, however, is not my intention. For six years I have endured, without complaining, an imprisonment which is one of the natural consequences of my attack upon the Government; and I shall endure it for ten years longer, if necessary, without accusing either my destiny or the men who inflict it. I suffer; but I say to myself every day, 'I am in France.' I have preserved my honour unstained. I live without enjoyments, but also without remorse; and every evening I go to repose in peace. No steps would have been taken by me to disturb the calm of my conscience and the repose of my life, had not my father signified an earnest desire of having me near him again during his declining years. My filial duty roused me from a state of resignation, and I took a step of the gravity of which I was well aware, and to which I imparted all that frankness and honesty which I wish to exhibit in all my actions. I wrote to the head of the State, to him who alone had the legal power to alter my position; I asked to be allowed to go and see my father, and spoke to him of

honour, humanity, generosity, because I have no hesitation in calling things by their proper names.

“ The King appeared satisfied, and said to the worthy son of Marshal Ney, who was good enough to place the letter in his hands, that the guarantee which I offered was sufficient; but he has as yet given no intimation of his decision. His Ministers, on the contrary, enclosing their resolution in a copy of my letter to the King, which I sent to them with respectful firmness, taking advantage of my position and their own, caused an answer to be transmitted to me, which was merely an insult to misfortune.

“ Under the blow of such a refusal, and still unacquainted with the King’s decision, my duty is to abstain from taking any step, and above all not to subscribe a request for pardon under the disguise of filial duty.

“ I still maintain all that I said in my letter to the King, because the sentiments which I expressed were deeply felt, and were such as appeared suitable to my position; but I shall not advance a line farther. The path of honour is narrow and slippery, and there is but a hair’s breadth between the firm ground and the abyss.

“ You may, moreover, be well assured, Sir, that, should I sign the letter in question, more exacting demands would be made. On the 25th of December, I wrote a letter to the Minister of the Interior, requesting permission to see my father. On the 14th of January, I determined on a very serious step: I wrote a letter to the King, in which I spared no expression which I thought might conduce to the success of my request. The answer was an impertinent one.

“ My position is clear: I am a captive; but it is a consolation to me to breathe the air of my country. A sacred duty summons me to my father’s side. I say to the Government, ‘Circumstances compel me to entreat

from you, as a favour, permission to leave Ham. If you grant my request, you may depend on my gratitude, and it will be of the more value, as your decision will bear the stamp of generosity; for the gratitude of those who would consent to humiliate themselves in order to gain an advantage, would be valueless.'

"Finally, I calmly await the decision of the King, a man who, like me, has lived through thirty years of misfortune.

"I rely on the support and sympathy of generous and independent men like you; I commit myself to destiny, and prepare to resign myself to its decisions.

"Accept, Sir, the assurance of my esteem.

"NAPOLEON LOUIS BONAPARTE."

This letter, having been seen by M. Louis Blanc, the well-known ultra-Democratic partisan, elicited the one below, which expresses admiring approval of the heroic constancy with which the illustrious prisoner rejected every overture tending to compromise his position with respect to France, or to bind him down in any way as to his future proceedings towards the Government of that country. I insert it not so much on account of any intrinsic importance ascribable to the "sympathy" expressed by the writer, and shared by men of all parties save the immediate dependents of the Bourbonite interests, but in order to put in its true light the nature of the correspondence which about this time took place between the Prince and several of the most active of the French politicians. It was not unnatural that many of them should visit in his seclusion a man who (whether wisely or unwisely, the future alone could prove) had rendered himself so remarkable, had made his name a household word in France, and whom, it was quite certain, any disturbance of the existing order of things would bring into an active and prominent

position. Equally natural it was that written communications should occasionally pass on the subjects which mainly engrossed his thoughts. In fact, the maintenance of such correspondence he regarded as at once a duty, a recreation, an agreeable and necessary task. The tone in which he is addressed by the vehement Communist partisan indicates the groundlessness of the accusations which, in accordance with the systematized policy of direct and indirect calumny, have been brought against the Prince, of having coquettled with extreme opinions,—of having yielded so far to temporary expediency as to have given an implied assent to views contrary to those propounded in his written works, insisted on in his public addresses, adhered to by him in all his authenticated conversations with men of whatsoever party, and afterwards carried out to successful completion. We find Louis Blanc signifying his regret that the Prince could not be persuaded to surrender his faith and reliance on the traditions of the Empire; expressing his hope that "those who loved the Prince without embracing his opinions," would one day bring him over to their own. But this hope, it will be perceived, is but a faint and shadowy one—rather a formal than an affirmative expression. Had any ground for entertaining it been afforded by anything that had dropped from the Prince during his intercourse with Louis Blanc, the latter—who if not blessed with much political sagacity or (as was made but too convincingly evident in 1848, if his administrative capacity, even in the execution, with ample means, of his own projects, be of no very high order), is scarcely equalled in acuteness as a controversialist, and not exceeded for the rapidity with which he can perceive an advantage in the conduct of a written or spoken argument—was about the least likely man in France to omit reference thereto, or to neglect the op-

portunity of making the most of it. But no such advantage, no such opportunity, was afforded to him. The tenor of the Prince's words, thoughts, and writings, was too consistent, too straightforward, too superior to subterfuge or equivocation, to leave room for any question as to what he wished, what he meant, and what he would do, whenever time and events should enable him.

“ Prince,

“ One of our mutual friends, M. Poggioli, has handed me a letter which you were good enough to write to me. It is needless to say how much I am touched with the expressions of sympathy which it contains, and which my feelings so completely reciprocate.

“ M. Poggioli, whom we are both fortunate in being able to call a friend, and whose attachment to your person is not less enlightened than ardent, has furnished me with a copy of your letter to M. Odilon Barrot. Although you are, at this moment, a captive and in misfortune, I should hesitate to express towards you the sentiments of esteem and compassion which the perusal of your letter has awakened in my mind, had I not had previous opportunities of knowing you. You remember, perhaps, Prince, the visit which I had the honour of paying to you at Ham, and with how much frankness I explained to you in what respects my opinions differed from yours. An independent man and a republican, guided wholly by conviction, and without expectations from any quarter, I have little fear that anything which falls from my mouth or flows from my pen, however eulogistic, can be suspected of flattery. I confess, therefore, sincerely, that your answer to M. Odilon Barrot affected me to the bottom

of my heart. The resolution which it contains was the only one worthy of you, and you are the very last person, in my opinion, who ought to have sacrificed what you owe to your character, as the price of opening your prison doors. Be assured that by a course of conduct so noble you have filled all your true friends with joy and your enemies with great vexation.

“ If you could decide on devoting to the greatness of your country, to equality, and to the Republic, what you think you owe to the traditions of the Empire and to a sort of family veneration for your name, with what eagerness would my heart fly towards you. Let us hope—all of us, who love your person without embracing your opinions—that in favour of these democratic tendencies a conviction will one day possess your mind, in combination with the disinterested inspiration which it already exhibits. Nothing can more fairly justify us in indulging this hope, than the constancy and dignity with which you bear your misfortunes.

“ Receive, &c.

“ LOUIS BLANC.”

Further attempts were made to induce the King to relax the crafty and selfish rigour of his conditions; but the result was failure. In communicating this issue of the matter to the captive, M. Odilon Barrot does not conceal the disgust with which the conduct of the ruling powers had inspired him. His letter to the Prince runs as follows:—

“ Your Highness,

“ Our renewed negotiations have proved a failure; and if I have delayed to inform you of the fact, it was because, up to yesterday, I still retained some hope. The Government speak of present difficulties

—the state of Italy, of Switzerland. Those circumstances would nevertheless have been overlooked, had a more comprehensive guarantee been given in your letter, because then they could have dispensed with the Council of Ministers; but politics not having been put out of the question, it was found necessary to yield to the considerations relating to public order which prevailed in the Council; and for the present, considering the circumstances, no liberation is to be looked for.

“It is with great pain that I inform you of this result. I had begged Valmy to say to the King, that, if we had completely differed, since 1830, in political opinions, I hoped that at least we agreed in sentiments of humanity and generosity. I now see that this is another of my Utopian ideas, which I shall be compelled to renounce.

“Accept, &c.

“ODILON BARROT.”

Even the proverbially cautious Monsieur Thiers—always nervously bent on the policy of “not committing himself”—ventures the opinion that the request ought, under all the circumstances, to be conceded on the honest, manful condition set forth by the Prince. He writes:—

“Prince,

“I have received the letter which you have done me the honour to address to me, in order to make me acquainted with the refusal which has been given to your request. It seems to me that the desire of seeing a dying father, accompanied by the promise of returning to prison on the first requisition of the Minister of the Interior, ought to have been regarded as sufficient. In my opinion, such a measure might have been adopted without inconvenience, upon the responsibility of the

minister who had sanctioned it. I am sorry, Prince, not to have it in my power to serve you in these circumstances. I have no influence with the Government, and publicity would serve you little. On every occasion on which I can possibly contribute to solace your misfortune without contravening my duty, I shall be happy to have it in my power to give fresh proofs of my sympathy with the glorious name you bear.

“A. THIERS.”

The whole course of these correspondences and negotiations, coupled with the dignified reserve with which the Prince had previously abstained from the faintest whisper of any complaint that could have been construed into an appeal for release, exhibits at once the strength of the ties which bound him in love and reverence to his dying father, and the force of that engagement into which he had entered with himself never to swerve from the high purpose of redeeming, regenerating his country, and replacing her in that position of influence and regard amongst the nations, which had been so deplorably compromised as to appear in danger of being irretrievably forfeited. When the first request was made by the aged Count St. Leu, through individuals who held friendly and confidential relations with the court of Louis Philippe, an excellent opportunity—an opportunity not to be allowed to pass without being made full use of — an opportunity to obtain certain ends by working upon filial tenderness, and “turning the screw” tightly upon honourable misfortune— seemed to present itself. And no means were left untried to turn that opportunity to advantage. But the guileful craftiness of plot, design, and execution were signally disappointed by the firmness and sagacity of him

upon whom those “ingenious devices” were played off. King Louis Philippe would have profited more, if he could have persuaded himself, for once, to be generous. We shall presently see that, instead of the temporary release which was refused to honour and generosity, the captive obtained a permanent release by means which exempted him from any obligation to the King, though that release was, by a proceeding as mean as it was foolish and unnecessary, rendered ineffectual for the pious purpose which had chiefly dictated the bold proceeding that procured it.

## CHAP. III.

THE ESCAPE.—THE INTERDICT.—DIPLOMACY WARRING WITH NATURE.—DEATH OF THE EX-KING OF HOLLAND.

THE tone of Louis Philippe's Ministers had rendered it evident to the Prince, that no concession reconcilable with honour—with the high duties which he had undertaken as the object and business of his life—could obtain for him, in that quarter, permission to attend his dying parent. There was, then, no alternative but to endeavour, by some means irrespective of the grace or power of the Government, to effect compliance with the reiterated and earnestly expressed desire of the Count St. Leu. It was May, 1846, after an imprisonment of six years, that the Prince's escape took place — alike to the disgrace and mortification of those who, if they had been only less meanly jealous, might have granted temporary instead of permanent liberation, and in a manner honourable to themselves.

The Prince's escape was graphically described by himself in a published letter:—

“ My desire to see my father once more in this world made me attempt the boldest enterprise I ever engaged in. It required more resolution and courage on my part than at Strasburg and Boulogne; for I was determined not to submit to the ridicule which attaches to those who are arrested escaping under a disguise, and a failure I could not have endured. The following are the particulars of my escape:—

" You know that the fort was guarded by four hundred men, of whom sixty soldiers acted daily as sentries outside the walls. Moreover, the principal gate of the prison was guarded by three gaolers, two of whom were constantly on duty. It was necessary that I should first elude their vigilance; afterwards traverse the inside court, before the windows of the commandant's residence; and, on arriving there, I should still have to pass by a gate which was guarded by soldiers.

" Not wishing to communicate my design to any one, it was necessary to disguise myself. As several rooms in the part of the building which I occupied were undergoing repair, it was not difficult to assume the dress of a workman. My good and faithful valet, Charles Thélier, procured a smock-frock and a pair of sabots, and, after shaving off my moustaches, I took a plank on my shoulders.

" On Sunday morning I saw the workmen enter at half-past eight o'clock. Charles took them some drink, in order that I should not meet any of them on my way. He was also to call one of the turnkeys, whilst Dr. Conneau conversed with the others. Nevertheless, I had scarcely got out of my room before I was accosted by a workman, who took me for one of his comrades; and at the bottom of the stairs I found myself in front of the keeper. Fortunately, I placed before my face the plank which I was carrying, and succeeded in reaching the yard. Whenever I passed a sentinel or any other person, I always kept the plank before my face. \*

" Passing before the first sentinel, I let my pipe fall, and stopped to pick up the bits. There I met the officer on duty; but as he was reading a letter he paid no attention to me. The soldiers at the guardhouse appeared surprised at my dress, and a chasseur turned round several times to look at me. I next met some

workmen, who looked very attentively at me. I placed the plank before my face ; but they appeared to be so curious, that I thought I should never escape, until I heard them say, ‘Oh ! it is Bertrand !’

“ Once outside, I walked quickly towards the road to St. Quentin. Charles, who had the day before engaged a carriage, shortly overtook me, and we arrived at St. Quentin. I passed through the town on foot, after having thrown off my smock-frock. Charles procured a post-chaise, under pretext of going to Cambrai. We arrived, without meeting with any obstacles, at Valenciennes, where I took the railway. I had procured a Belgian passport, but I was nowhere asked to show it.

“ During my escape, Dr. Conneau, always so devoted to me, remained in prison, and caused them to believe that I was unwell, in order to give me time to reach the frontier. Before I could be persuaded to quit France, it was necessary that I should be convinced that the Government would never set me at liberty, if I would not consent to dishonour myself. It was also a matter of duty that I should exert all my efforts, in order to be enabled to solace my father in his old age.”

The affectionate and generous devotion of Dr. Conneau, who, by remaining in the prison after his sentence for implication in the Strasburg affair had expired, was enabled to become a principal instrument in effecting the Prince’s liberation, cannot be too much admired. It has been truly described as an instance of “ noble disinterestedness ” rarely paralleled — the more complete and exalted, too, because, after the Prince’s departure, Dr. Conneau might, without any question, have himself left the fortress ; but, on the contrary, he chose, in the words of a contemporary

writer, to remain, "in order, by every manœuvre that ingenuity could suggest, to conceal, until the latest possible moment, the fact of the Prince's escape." By this conduct the Doctor put himself once more in the power of the authorities; but it is pleasant to state that his sentence (with what honest pride and self-respect — with what perfect assurance of the esteem of all true hearts — he must have heard it!) was not more severe than three months' imprisonment. On the faithful valet, Thélier, who, it has been seen, accompanied his master, and of course did not make his appearance, the form of a sentence *en contumace*, of six months' imprisonment, was passed.

Dr. Conneau's terse, straightforward, and gallantly self-approving account of the affair, on his examination before the local tribunal, when interrogated, as is customary in the French law courts, is interesting. Zeal and affection furnished ingenuity with pretexts and devices which otherwise might not have occurred to it:—

"I tried," replied Dr. Conneau, in answer to the questions put to him, "to conceal the departure of the Prince, in order to give him time to escape. I was anxious to gain, in this way, at least twenty-four hours, if possible. First of all, I closed the door leading from the prisoner's chamber into the saloon. I kindled a strong fire, although the weather was really very hot, to support the supposition that he was indisposed. About eight o'clock a packet of violet-plants arrived by the diligence. I told the keeper to fill some pots with earth, and prevented him from entering the Prince's saloon. About half-past eight o'clock the man-of-all-work came and asked me where we would breakfast. 'In my room,' I replied. 'I shall fetch the large table,' said

he. ‘It is unnecessary,’ I answered; ‘the General is unwell, and will not breakfast with us.’

“ My intention was, in this manner, to push off further knowledge till the next day. I said the Prince had taken medicine. It was absolutely necessary that it should be taken, accordingly I took it myself. I then took some coffee, and threw it into a pot of water, with some crumbs of bread, and added nitric acid, which produced a very disagreeable smell; so that the man-of-all-work might be persuaded that the Prince was really ill.

“ About half-past twelve I saw the commandant for the second time, and informed him that the Prince was somewhat easier. \* \* \* Every time that I came out of the small saloon, in which the Prince was supposed to be lying on a sofa, I pretended to be speaking to him. The man-of-all-work did not hear me. If his ears had been at all delicate, he would have been quite able to hear me speaking.

“ The day passed on very well till a quarter past seven o’clock. At this moment the commandant entered, with an air somewhat stern. ‘The Prince,’ said I, ‘is a little better, Commandant.’ ‘If,’ replied he, ‘the Prince is still ill, I must speak to him—I must speak to the Prince.’

“ I had prepared a large stuffed figure, and laid it in the Prince’s bed, with the head resting upon the pillow. I called the Prince, who, *naturally enough*, made no reply. I retired towards the commandant, and indicated to him, by a sign, that the Prince was asleep. This did not satisfy him. He sat down in the saloon, saying, ‘The Prince will not sleep for ever—I will wait.’

“ He now remarked to me, that the time for the arrival of the diligence was passed, and expressed his wonder that Thélier was not returned. I stated to

him that he had taken a cabriolet. The drum beat, and the commandant rose, and said, 'The Prince has moved in the bed — he is waking up.'

"The commandant stretched his ears, but did not hear him (the supposed Prince) breathe. I did the same, and said, 'Let him sleep on.' He drew near the bed, and found a stuffed figure. He immediately turned towards me, and said 'The Prince is gone! At what time did he go?'—'At seven in the morning.' 'Who were the persons on guard?'—'I know nothing!'—These were the only words which were interchanged between us. The commandant left the room."

The preparations for the escape of the Prince were conducted with such secrecy, that even the brave and devoted De Montholon had not been apprised of what was about to take place. It was prudent and necessary that no one should know anything respecting the business in hand, save those who were to take part in it. Monsieur de la Guerronnière, in his essay upon Napoleon the Third, has the following paragraph:—

"No one except Dr. Conneau and the Prince's valet-de-chambre was cognizant of the Prince's intention to escape. The evening before the Prince had visited De Montholon in his room. \* \* \* The Prince had affectionately embraced both him and the Countess de Montholon, a noble and generous woman, who reminded one of another heroine, a former prisoner, the Princess de Polignac. Such external marks of affection were not habitual to Louis Napoleon. General de Montholon and his wife observed it — they conceived a vague suspicion. The next day they learned that the prisoner of Ham had escaped, passed the French frontier, and embarked for England, via Belgium."

The character of Dr. Conneau is thus outlined with vigour and truth :—

“ The prisoner had accepted his position with dignity. Not a complaint escaped him. He seemed to follow his destiny wherever it led him. The dungeon of Ham, far from appalling or saddening him, appeared to him as a destiny in his life, and perhaps as a halting-place in the march of his fortunes. \* \* \* Ham was to him a resting-place on the road to the Elysée. The interior of the prison, like its exterior, was gloomy and silent. Prince Louis Napoleon resided within the fortress with a faithful friend, devoted to his country, who is, at the present hour, the sincere and disinterested friend of his prosperity. Dr. Conneau is gifted with one of those lofty and refined natures which science quickens and enlarges instead of drying up. He had attached himself to this cause without selfish views, without arrogant claims. \* \* \* He watched night and day over the son of Queen Hortense, with all that solicitude so assiduously tender, which the heart alone can inspire, and which is rather a feeling than a duty. He was more than a companion in adversity — more than a medical attendant — he was a friend. He it was who subsequently prepared, and assisted to execute, the plan of escape which was crowned with such signal success. Summoned to trial for this noble fault, he was acquitted [?] because he was absolved by the conscience and the hearts of his judges the moment he appeared in Court.”

This complimentary tribute is eminently deserved by its object. The fortitude and devotion of Dr. Conneau win our warm admiration — are more emphatically entitled to it than was the heroism of Madame de Lavalette, who, it will be borne in mind, incurred no personal danger at all approaching that which devolved

on Dr. Conneau. Madame de Lavalette was a woman and a wife,—she had not been previously compromised with Government. Dr. Conneau, on the contrary, was already a “marked man.” The responsibility, the amount of punishment, which he confronted by his part in the Prince’s escape, could not be calculated on. The most extreme measures might have been brought into force against him.\*

If the circumstances just related have been perused with interest and pleasure, the next fact to be recorded will be read with pain. It will hardly be believed that the French Government of the day could have had the meanness to use its influence with foreign courts for the purpose of preventing the Prince from carrying into effect the prime object which had impelled him to escape. Too true, however, it is. It was certainly in great measure through the representations of the French Government, that Count Dietrichstein, Austrian ambassador at the Court of London, and who likewise represented Tuscany in a diplomatic capacity, refused to sign the passport necessary to enable the Prince to visit his dying father. The Austrian embassy would not have perpetrated

\* It is obvious that no disrespect to the Count de Montholon was involved in the fact of his not having been admitted to a knowledge of the Prince’s intention to escape. The essence of the plan was, that it should be known only to those who had conceived, arranged, and were to take part in it. General de Montholon would, without doubt, have cordially co-operated had it been necessary, and would never have paused to calculate the danger in which such co-operation might have involved himself. But it was not necessary to ask him. If the object could not be accomplished by the aid of Dr. Conneau and Charles Thélier, it could not be facilitated by the introduction of a larger number of co-operators; and this being the case, it would have been exceedingly wrong—opposed to all the fundamental rules of good policy—to have enlarged the circle of confidants.

so obvious a piece of oppression were it not for representations coming from Paris. The venerable parent of the future Emperor was, through this intrigue, deprived of the consolation of seeing his son before he died, and the immediate object of the Prince's escape from Ham was thus frustrated. This proceeding on the part of the authorities was the more wanton and oppressive, inasmuch as, almost immediately on his arrival in London, the Prince had forwarded to the Count de St. Aulaire, at that time diplomatic representative of Louis Philippe at our Court, the following letter, the promise contained in which he scrupulously kept, notwithstanding the insolent and continued provocations which he received — the incessant fire of ribaldrous calumny of which he was made the subject by persons systematically employed and paid to do so, and acting in the interests of his enemies: —

“ M. le Comte,

“ I hasten to declare frankly to one who was the friend of my mother, that in quitting my prison I have not been actuated by any design to renew against the French Government a war which has been disastrous to me, but that my sole object was to be free to attend on my aged father.

“ Before taking the step in question, I had used every effort to obtain from the Government permission to go to Florence, and I offered every guarantee consistent with my honour; but at length finding that my applications were fruitless, I resolved to have recourse to the last measures, such as those which, in the reign of Henry IV., had, in similar circumstances, been adopted by the Duc de Némours, and the Duc de Guise.

"I beg you, M. le Comte, to inform the French Government of my pacific intentions; and I trust that this spontaneous assurance from me may shorten the captivity of the friends whom I have left in prison."

A moment's reference to what subsequently occurred, will remind the reader how faithfully and substantially—like all pledges entered into by the Prince—this promise was kept by him, even when he had reason to believe—indeed, when he well knew—that the agents of the existing dynasty, then so near that fall which he had foreseen and confidently predicted, were putting into exercise every device of perverted ingenuity to injure his reputation, to depreciate him in the eyes of the world, to distort and misrepresent his every act and word.

Contemplating the respective positions of Louis Napoleon in May, 1846, and in February, 1848, a French writer, remarkable for the vivacity of his style, draws the following duplicate picture. It cannot fail to remind us of the hard measure dealt out by Louis Philippe, when at the apex of his fortunes, to the man who was within a few years to occupy the palace furtively quitted by the disgraced King:—

"On the 26th of May, 1846, a man of marked features, with a clouded and melancholy brow, with a deep and thoughtful look, with a stiff gait, and attired like a labourer, descended the staircase of the fortress, crossed the prison yard with a steady step, passed through the ranks of soldiery, went by the sentinels, and in an instant reached the country adjacent to Saint Quentin. This man was Louis Napoleon Bonaparte, nephew of the Emperor, the future President [and future Emperor].

"On the 24th of February, 1848, another personage

escaped clandestinely, not from a prison but from a palace, and entered a hackney-coach on the Place de la Révolution, the spot where Louis XVI. had perished on the scaffold. He hastened away, a fugitive wanderer, in a disguise not much unlike that put on by the prisoner of Ham, to find a small vessel to convey him to England. This man was Louis Philippe d'Orleans, King of the French.

“What a mockery of fortune! What a caprice of destiny! or, speaking more correctly, what a lesson from above! Let us bow down our heads, and humble ourselves in the dust. Let us acknowledge that the acts of Providence are something more than mere chance! Can we avoid acknowledging that mysterious and providential conjunction of causes and effects which proves the eternal reign of right and justice, even in the most unexpected accidents, and in revolutions the least foreseen?”

The Prince, prevented from visiting his dying parent, continued to reside in England. “In that country,” observes one of his friends, “whose customs and manners he admired, he awaited with quiet patience and confident anticipation the advent of his destinies. Captivity had neither changed himself, nor vitiated the goodness of his heart or the power of his mind. He came from his painful trial such as he had been, without personal enmity, without rancour; neither accusing man, nor distrusting Providence. This attitude was neither affected nor strange. In showing himself thus, he showed himself naturally. Such as he was in England he had been in the solitudes of Arenemberg, in the perils of Strasburg, in America, at Boulogne, upon his trial in the Chamber of Peers, and in the prison of Ham. His firm trust in his destiny had never deserted him.”

The characteristic here alluded to was exemplified on

several occasions. Illustrative of it, a curious anecdote is related :—

“ A few days after his arrival in England he went to see his cousin, Lady Douglas\* (a princess of Baden). ‘ Well,’ said the young princess to him, ‘ at last you are free. Will you now be quiet? Will you lay aside those fallacies which have cost you so dear, and the cruel delusions of those dreams which have brought such misery on those who love you.’ ‘ My dear cousin,’ replied the late prisoner of Ham, ‘ I do not belong to myself—I belong to my name and to my country. It is because my fortune has twice betrayed me that my destiny is nearer its accomplishment. I bide my time.’

“ This,” continued the relator of the anecdote, “ at the time appeared ludicrous enough. The star of Louis Napoleon was veiled from all eyes, even from those of his relations who best loved him. He, alone, saw and followed it.”†

The Count St. Leu, deprived of the consolation of embracing his son, did not survive long. In his will, he expressed an earnest desire that his remains, as well as those of his son Charles, who had died in Italy, should be conveyed for interment to the village of St.

\* This lady is now Duchess of Hamilton.

† From the same quarter I borrow another illustration of the unswerving constancy of hope and confidence which never forsook him. The period referred to is that of the first days of the Revolution of 1848:—“ The name of Bonaparte was never mentioned in that Revolution, save with taunts, obloquy, and demonstrations of dislike. ‘ Down with Bonapartism! ’ cried the Provisional Government, in one of its proclamations from the Hôtel de Ville. Louis Napoleon perceived at once the tendency and results of this great agitation. On learning the dethronement of Louis Philippe, he said to his cousin, Lady Douglas, ‘ Before the year is out, I shall direct the government of France.’ On the 10th of December this prediction was fulfilled.”

Leu, situated near Paris, and the spot from which he had adopted the title borne by him after abdicating the throne of Holland. "I have," said he, "borne the name of that village for forty years, and I liked the place better than any other in the world." The French Government could scarcely refuse compliance with this modest and affecting request; it was accordingly granted, and the concession was trumpeted by the Orleanist party as a great act of grace. A guard of honour, consisting of veteran soldiers of the army of the Empire, attended the funeral. A large concourse of the public, and of soldiers old and young, was likewise present, and testified, by their demeanour, their profound respect for the memory of a man whose amiable private qualities, not less than the great associations connected with his name and antecedents, had rendered him an object at once of affection and esteem. In the following letter to Captain Le Comte, the officer who acted in command of the guard of honour at the funeral, the Prince thus expressed his feelings of thankfulness, of regret, and filial duty:—

"Sir,

"The testimonies of respect offered to the memory of my father on the 29th of September\* have deeply affected me; and I was, above all, touched on learning that a great number of the ancient warriors of the Empire had assisted at this pious ceremony.

"Through the medium of their worthy leader, I thank those glorious veterans of our army, for the tribute of respect which they have bestowed upon an old companion in arms.

"It is not the man whom chance and the fortune of war made king for a brief period, that you have

\*

\* The day of the funeral.

honoured with your regrets, but the old soldier of the Republican armies of Italy and Egypt,—a man who remained but a short time upon the throne, and who paid for a few years of glory by forty years of exile, and died in a foreign land. The sympathy which has attended his obsequies is something more than an act of homage—it is a reparation for the past.

“ Permit me, therefore, to thank you for attending; for you have expressed my own sentiments of gratitude towards the deceased; have mitigated the bitter grief which I experienced at not having had an opportunity of kneeling before the tomb of my family; have made me forget, for a moment, that I am condemned, in appearance, to remain for ever separated from the men whom I love best, and from the objects which are most dear to me.

“ Receive, &c.,

“ LOUIS NAPOLEON BONAPARTE.”

## CHAP. IV.

THE REVOLUTION OF 1848.—THE ATTEMPTED BAN.—THE NATION'S REPLY.—LOUIS NAPOLEON IN THE ASSEMBLY.—THE DIN OF Factions.—THE INSURRECTION OF JUNE.—A SPECIMEN OF POLITICAL FORESIGHT.—ELECTION OF THE PRESIDENT.

In February, 1848, the government of Louis Philippe was overthrown by the indignation of the people whom it had deceived. Prince Louis Napoleon was in London. It will be believed that he was not the man whose pulses beat least quickly at an explosion which he had long foreseen. He lost no time in adopting the conduct of a good Frenchman. The 24th of February was the great day of the Revolution,—the Prince was in Paris on the 28th. The sentence of banishment against the Emperor's family had not been removed,—there was, in fact, no regular authority in existence,—but it had become suspended and placed in abeyance by the astounding events of the week. The Prince proceeded to pay his respects to the Provisional Government, such as it was. But the Provisional Government, for reasons best known to two or three of its members who are yet living, caused it to be intimated to him that they feared his presence might possibly lead to tumults. Always a friend and supporter of order, he at once withdrew, and returned to London, where, in the month of April, he gave a new pledge of the opposition of his views to those of the friends of anarchy, by enrolling himself as a special constable on an occasion when it was apprehended that the public

peace would be disturbed by organised bands of incendiaries.

Meanwhile, however, the secret friends or employés of the Bourbon dynasties, with the "Red" or Socialist factionists—all whose wishes were centred, not in the establishment and maintenance of good government, but in the attainment of their respective partisan views,—all these, however discordant on every other point, were united on this,—that Prince Louis Napoleon, the living embodiment of a national and therefore powerful government—of a government which, founded firmly on the people, could not be upset by an intrigue or a mere partisan combination—that this man, the man of the French nation, was dangerous to the success of all their plots, cabals, and counter-plots; that, however they might tear each other to pieces, they must make common cause against him. A proposal was made in the National Assembly, that the law of banishment against the Imperial family should be retained against him alone.

This proposal elicited from the Prince the following communication to the National Assembly:—

"Citizen Representatives,

"I learn by the newspapers of the 22nd inst., that it has been proposed in the *bureaux* of the Assembly to retain against me alone the law of exile which has been in force against my family since the year 1816. I now apply to the representatives of the people, to know how I have merited this penalty?

"Can it be for having always publicly declared that, in my opinion, France was not the property either of an individual, or of a family, or of a party?

"Can it be because, desiring to accomplish the triumph, without anarchy or license, of the principles of

national sovereignty, which alone can put an end to our dissensions, I have been twice the victim of my hostility to a government which you have overthrown?

"Can it be for having consented, out of deference to the wish of the Provisional Government, to return to a foreign country, after having hastened to Paris upon the first news of the revolution?

"Can it be because I disinterestedly refused seats in the Assembly which were proffered to me, resolved not to return to France until the new constitution should be agreed upon, and the Republic firmly established?

"The same reasons which have made me take up arms against the Government of Louis Philippe would lead me, if my services were required, to devote myself to the defence of the Assembly, the result of universal suffrage.

"In the presence of a king elected by two hundred deputies, I might have recollect ed that I was heir to an empire founded by the consent of four millions of Frenchmen. In the presence of the national sovereignty, I cannot and will not claim more than my rights as a French citizen; but these I will demand with that energy which an honest heart desires, from the knowledge of never having done anything to render it unworthy of its country."

Some of the phrases in this letter require a brief remark, because, like other expressions which from time to time have fallen from Napoleon the Third, they have been the subjects of much misrepresentation. The Prince's conviction that the system of the Empire, in all its integrity, was that most accordant with the interests of France, he never denied; but he was now, as he had always been, ready to accept and support any government really founded on the national will; for

which he claimed the right of pronunciation and decision. The excessive, rancorous, unappeasable malignity of factions, making any efficient government absolutely impossible so long as they remained unrepressed, was as yet unproved ; the raging passions, the mutual hatreds, the greed, and recklessness, and disregard of the proper objects of legislation—these evil characteristics of the leaders of parties, rendering the Assembly a nullity for good—the impracticability of an orderly republic, with such passions and such factions let loose, had not received the damning proofs which subsequent events brought with them. Nor did they ever reach the height in the National Assembly which they obtained in its successor, the “Constituent Assembly.” Any government really French, really national, proceeding from an explicit declaration of the will of the whole people, and acting accordantly therewith, the Prince was ready to adopt and support. But of what elements the Assembly called “National” was at that time composed—how far it was disposed to act equitably and fairly—may be partly judged from the fact, that its members actually refused to permit the above letter to be read, though, at the very same sitting, they had listened, some of them with laudatory demonstrations, to various epistles and protests from the princes of Louis Philippe’s family.

In fact, the work of intriguing for rival dynasties and factions had already set in, and he whose position and principles were antagonistic to such manœuvres was of course the common object of hatred from the intriguers.

The discord which prevailed in the Assembly itself rendered it meanwhile the object at once of contempt and dislike. Scarcely a day passed without some

"scene" between the representatives, not of the people, but of factions.

The Prince had now been elected by several constituencies as their representative; it was towards the middle of June, just previous to the dreadful "Red" Revolution, when the Executive Committee gave a curious illustration of its capacity for discerning where lay the real source of danger to public order, by bringing forward an edict which declared that, although three members of the Imperial family had been allowed to take their seats as representatives, the law of exile should still be maintained against Prince Louis Napoleon.

In order to form a correct judgment of the quality of the political wisdom by which this edict was inspired, dates here become important. It was between the 10th and 13th of June that the exceptional decree of banishment against the Prince was propounded, and on the 22nd of June took place the sanguinary revolt which the Prince, had he been in France, would have been amongst the first to denounce. The Government directed all its energies towards repressing an imaginary danger from "Napoleonism,"—it could not see the real, immediate danger—the danger actually pressing and present from the Socialist, Communistic, "anti-property" factionists—from the factions whose maxim was that the very word "property" meant robbery.

The Prince, who had already set out for Paris, again returned to London when this manifesto was made known to him. He did so in order to preclude any possibility of being the cause of disorder. But he took care to address to the President of the Assembly, as well as to the Departments which had elected him, a forcible expression of his sentiments on the occasion.

His letter to the President of the Assembly contained the following passage:—

“If the people were to impose duties upon me, I should know how to fulfil them. But I disown all those who attribute to me intentions which I do not hold. My name is a symbol of order, of nationality, of glory ; and it would be with the liveliest grief that I should see it made use of to augment the troubles and dissensions of my country. In order to avoid such a misfortune, I shall prefer remaining in exile. I am ready to make any sacrifice for the happiness of France.”

It is possible — it is probable — that, had the anarchic leaders witnessed the presence in Paris of the man who was really the representative of the cause which the people had at heart — that, had they witnessed the cause of order supported, as it would have been, heart and soul, by the Prince, they would not have ventured, reckless though they were, on the attempt which led to such dire bloodshed. The Executive Committee sought to prevent disorder, and it set about doing so by excluding the very man, above all others, whose name and presence, identified as he was with the whole nation, would have most effectually discouraged the machinations of the disorderly.

---

I will here insert, from a contemporary work, the author of which is anything but friendly to Napoleon the Third, a short narrative of the Red and Socialist insurrection of June. It will illustrate the manner in which the Republican Government of that day “averted” disorder. Twelve days previously an edict had passed, exiling a particular individual, lest his pre-

sence should endanger order. And now came the movements of the factions in which M. de Lamartine could see no danger,—was it because, above all others, they were hostile to the principles of Louis Napoleon?—

“ The battle was begun by the National Guards at the Portes St. Denis and St. Martin, from which the barricaders were repulsed after considerable loss on both sides. The fighting continued all day on both sides of the river, with great slaughter, but little practical result, the insurgents being only driven from their more advanced positions to rally again in other places. About five o'clock, Cavaignac, accompanied by Lamartine, Pierre Bonaparte, and other representatives, led an attack in person against the Faubourg du Temple. For three hours the barricades withstood the fire of four pieces of cannon; and two generals and 400 soldiers were killed or wounded in the conflict. The troops behaved with admirable steadiness throughout the day, and the young soldiers of the Garde Mobile especially distinguished themselves. At four o'clock on Saturday morning the battle began again, and raged with intense violence on both sides of the river. Both parties had been reinforced during the night. Barricades ten or twelve feet high, and of great strength, crossed the streets at every dozen paces. The houses, too, were for the most part in the hands of the insurgents, and covered with mattresses, bags of sand, and other protections against musketry, from behind which showers of missiles were poured down on the assailants. At eleven o'clock, the National Assembly passed a resolution declaring Paris in a state of siege, and appointed General Cavaignac dictator, with unlimited power, civil and military. The Executive Committee

instantly resigned. Orders were then issued that the National Guard should occupy the streets, prevent the assembling of crowds, and watch over the safety of private property. The rest of the inhabitants were to remain at home, and keep their windows closed, as a security to the soldiers in the streets that they should not be fired on from the houses. Every person out of uniform, who was found abroad without a written pass, was searched, and either taken prisoner or led by a National Guard to his own door. In pursuance of this plan, many persons were arrested conveying ammunition and other aid to the insurgents. At noon Cavaignac sent a flag of truce to the insurgents, offering a general amnesty if they would yield before two o'clock. The offer was rejected without hesitation, or a moment's interruption of the firing. During the earlier part of the day, the fight raged chiefly in the city and on the southern bank of the river. To obtain possession of the Hôtel de Ville and the Préfecture of Police was a cardinal point with the insurgents. In Parisian warfare, the loss of the Hôtel de Ville is what the loss of its colours is to a regiment in the field; it was therefore a matter of primary importance to the Government to pierce the enemy's lines at that central point, towards which all his efforts converged. The church of St. Gervais was carried after a heavy cannonade; next the bridges were carried with great slaughter, and thus the means of communication between the insurgents on the two banks were completely cut off. Pursuing their success, the troops possessed themselves of the church of St. Séverin, the head-quarters of the insurgents on that side. Their stronghold, the Pantheon, was carried at one o'clock at the point of the bayonet, after the great iron doors and railings had been broken by cannon. By four o'clock the Government was master of the

whole left bank of the river. For four days, altogether, the fight continued to rage with furious bravery. The number of killed and wounded on both sides, as ascertained by actual reckoning, exceeded 8000 ; but, besides these, many perished of whom no accurate account could be taken. Multitudes of dead bodies were cast into the Seine before they were yet cold. The remains of others were found by the reapers in the fields around Paris. Nearly 14,000 prisoners were made by the Government, and of these more than a thousand died of jail fever. Of eleven generals who commanded, two—viz., Generals Negrier and Bréa—were killed, and six were wounded, five of them mortally ; whilst the Archbishop of Paris was also amongst the victims of the barricades. At the end of four days Cavaignac had triumphed, and was absolute ruler of the destinies of Paris and of France."

The last few words contain an exaggerated figure of speech ; but they do really represent, in substance, the prostrate condition of the country at the time.

It would be a painful and somewhat repulsive task to portray the anarchy of which the Assembly was the scene, from the period when the insurrection was thus suppressed until it was decided that new elections should take place, and another Assembly be convened. Fortunately, the subject does not immediately concern that of this volume, and I am, therefore, spared the necessity of dwelling on it.

The day appointed for the elections was the 17th of September. In reply to a communication from General Piat, the Prince, who was still in London, wrote the following letter :—

"London, August 28, 1848.

"General,

" You ask me if I would accept the post of representative of the people, if I were to be re-elected. I unhesitatingly reply, 'Yes.'

" Now that it has been demonstrated beyond contradiction, that my election by five Departments simultaneously was not the result of intrigue, and that I have kept myself apart from all demonstrations and political intrigues, I should consider myself wanting in my duty, were I not to respond to the call of my fellow-citizens.

" My name can now no longer be made a pretext for political commotions. I am anxious, therefore, to re-enter France, and to take my seat amongst the representatives of the people, who desire to organise the Republic upon a broad and solid basis. In order to render impossible the return of the governments which have passed away, only one thing has to be done—and that is, to do better than they;—for you are aware, General, that we have not really abolished the past until we have replaced it by something else.

" Accept, &c.,

" LOUIS NAPOLEON BONAPARTE."

That the Prince's confidence in the people's attachment to the cause which he represented had been well founded, was strikingly manifested by the result of the elections. Five different Departments returned him, in each case by large majorities over the other candidates proposed to them. On the 26th of September he appeared in the Assembly, and delivered a short address in conformity with the views which he had previously indicated in his letters. Very soon, however, the new Assembly became, like the old one, a scene of

violent commotions, in which dignity and order were utterly lost amid the accusations and recriminations of violent partisans. The future Emperor discerned the true character of the motley assembly. He saw that it was wanting in all the more important elements of that representative character which it affected;—he saw it, in fact, converted into an arena for the conflict of factions. His own name, being that which alone presented the possibility of annihilating discord, by reconciling parties and interests, was the frequent object of impertinent allusion. His “pretensions,” as they were called, were frequently dwelt on,—indeed, so loudly and persistently, that if the memory of the Empire, and the presence of the Imperial heir, had not been already firmly fixed in the mind of the nation, these reclamations would have been enough to recall them. Only once he condescended to allude to these outbursts of ribaldry,—it was on the 12th of October, a day following an explosion of unusual violence. This, with the exception of his short opening address, was, I believe, the only occasion on which he addressed the Assembly; and it has been observed, that his silence was more eloquent than any language could have been. A passage or two from this address will be read with interest. It should be remarked that such was the chaotic disorder of the state of things caused by the June insurrection, and the unnatural governmental machinery existing, that it had been determined to elect a President of the Republic; Cavaignac, who had laid down his dictatorship, having in the interim acted under the title of President of the Council, with power to choose his own ministers. The 12th of October was the day fixed for the election of a President

of the Republic. The name of Louis Napoleon was that which at once occurred to the majority of the nation as that of the man most fitted for the highest office in the State; he had, naturally enough, been proposed as one of the candidates, and M. Clement Thomas had risen in the Assembly, and delivered a violent invective against the Imperial family in general, but against Prince Louis Napoleon in particular, inquiring why he assumed to present himself as a candidate for the Presidency of the Republic,—as if the Prince, who had *not* presented himself, but been nominated by others to the candidature, were not as free in the matter as any other man in the country!

Amongst the observations made by the Prince, in reference to these indecent scenes, occur the following:—

“ I deplore being obliged to speak again of myself, because it is painful to me to see the Assembly constantly engaged with questions of a personal nature, when we have not a moment to lose for the discussion of the great interests of the country.

“ I will not speak of my sentiments, or of my opinions; I have already manifested them to you; and nobody has ever yet doubted my word.

“ As to my parliamentary conduct, in the same way that I would never pretend to call to account any of my colleagues for what they may have thought proper to do, so I will recognise the right of no man to bring me to account. This is an account which I owe to no man but to my constituents.

“ Of what am I accused? Of having accepted, without having sought it, a candidature for the Presidency? Well, yes! I accept that candidature, by which I am honoured,—I accept it, because the result of these numerous elections, and the unanimous decree of the

Assembly reversing the decree of proscription against my family, authorise me to believe that France regards the name which I bear as one which may conduce to the consolidation of society, which has been shaken to its foundation, and to the stability and prosperity of the Republic! \* \* \*

“Is there no other way [besides words] of serving one’s country? What it is in want of, above all things, is deeds. What it wants is a government—firm, intelligent and wise—which will think more of healing the wounds of society than of avenging them—a government which shall put itself boldly in the van of sound ideas, in order to repel, with a thousand times more efficacy than could be done by means of bayonets, theories which are not founded upon experience and reason.

“I know there are some who wish to beset my path with snares and ambushes; but I shall not fall into them. I shall always follow the line of conduct which I have traced out for myself, without troubling myself with anxieties, and without halting. Nothing will deprive me of my calmness,—nothing will make me forget my duties. \* \* I declare, therefore, to those who would wish to organise against me a system of provocation, that henceforward I shall not reply to any attacks, or to anything which may be done to excite me to speak when I choose to remain silent. Strong in the approval of my conscience, I shall remain unshaken amidst all attacks, impassible and unmoved by calumny.”

The voice of the chosen of the French nation was heard no more in that assemblage of clashing factions.

On the 10th of December, 1848, took place the elec-

tion of President of the French Republic. General Cavaignac might be described as the official candidate. He brought a respectable name, the recollection of public services, the powerful influence attached to his position at the head of the existing Government. Prince Louis Napoleon brought with him a principle—the principle for which he had lived and suffered; the principle of which his name was a symbol, and which was planted deep in the affections of the nation. The issue, stated in numerical order, was as follows:—

|                          |   |   |   |           |
|--------------------------|---|---|---|-----------|
| Louis Napoleon Bonaparte | . | . | . | 5,434,226 |
| General Cavaignac        | . | . | . | 1,448,107 |
| M. Ledru Rollin          | . | . | . | 370,119   |
| M. Raspail               | . | . | . | 36,900    |
| M. de Lamartine          | . | . | . | 17,910    |
| General Changarnier      | . | . | . | 4,790     |

Now here was a decision sufficiently convincing in itself as to the sentiments of France upon the principles avowed by the Prince. In order fully to appreciate the force and meaning of this decision, it is necessary to bear in mind all the circumstances under which it was given. We have seen how rancorous was the conduct of the factions in the Assembly, with respect to the motives and character of the illustrious elect. Pains were not spared to propagate unfavourable prejudices; and without disparagement of so respectable a man as General Cavaignac, it may be taken for granted that numerous individuals, connected, directly or indirectly, with the actual Government, consulted their private interest by voting in such a way as to disfavour any change in the *personnel* of the Executive. That there were many honourable exceptions—many persons who voted *against* the imme-

diate suggestions of private interest, rather than compromise their duty to their country—I am happy to have reason to believe. But there still remains the self-evident fact, that General Cavaignac “went to the country” with numerous incidental circumstances in his favour. Still how pre-eminently triumphant proved the principle, the hope, the conviction, represented by the heir of Napoleon !

## SECTION IV.

1848—1851.

THE REPUBLICAN EXPERIMENT.—THE PRESIDENCY.

## CHAP. I.

VIEW OF THE PRESIDENT'S POSITION. — HIS LABOURS FOR THE SUCCESS OF THE EXPERIMENT OF 1848. — THE FACTIONS IN THE ASSEMBLY. — BOURBONITE INTRIGUES AND SOCIALIST CONSPIRACIES. — RIOTINGS IN THE CHAMBER. — FEROIOUS AVOWALS OF THE REDS. — FOREIGN AND NATIVE EXPRESSIONS OF OPINION. — PARDONS AND AMNESTIES. — CLEMENCY AND PRUDENCE. — CHARITIES AND BENEFAICIENCES. — LOUIS NAPOLEON FACE TO FACE WITH THE DISAFFECTION. — FRANCE AND ITALY. — THE ROMAN EXPEDITION. — REFLECTIONS ON ITS POLICY AND MORALITY.

If, in the foregoing chapters, I have dwelt at much length upon sundry passages in the earlier life of Napoleon the Third, which appear unimportant when compared with the grand events of his matured career, it is because they indicate, eloquently and significantly, the object and principle which actuated him from the first, and to which he has adhered with unexampled constancy. They may be briefly described. The object was the greatness, happiness, dignity of France, which he saw degraded in the eyes of all Europe beneath the incubus of dynasties effete or perfidious: the principle was the national will, — the will of the

whole French people, expressed freely and universally—as the fulcrum through motion of which the object was to be accomplished.

These aspirations are everywhere recognisable. With respect to his views on GOVERNMENT, the system of the Empire,—the system founded by Napoleon the Great—that which was the parent of so many beneficial institutions, which not even the corruption and imbecility of a subsequent epoch could wholly neutralise—was that towards which, he frankly avowed, his own convictions tended. Intimately conversant with the history of his country, he could trace back to the days of the Empire the germs of all those branches of peaceful, ameliorative, elevating progress which, despite after difficulties, have fructified so abundantly. His long-cherished opinion—an opinion set forth frankly, when two stood between himself and the heirship to the rights of the Emperor—was, that the Imperial system, with the heir of the great Napoleon at its head, would constitute, executively and administratively, the government most accordant with the genius of the French, and beyond comparison most conducive to the happiness of the people.

These were Prince Louis Napoleon's opinions. They were not concealed from the world. He had made them well known. Never for a moment, upon any occasion in his life, in the greatest emergencies, when subjected to the most pressing temptations in view of temporary convenience, expediency, or popularity, had he ceased to avow them. There was not a man in the National Assembly who was not aware of his sentiments in this respect. There was not a man in that Assembly—unless we suppose, which is not improbable, that pre-eminent stupidity was a characteristic of some

of its members — who did not well understand the simple, honest, substantial sense in which the Prince gave his adhesion and promised his support to any system founded on the universal suffrage of Frenchmen. His previously expressed opinions he never compromised by act, word, or insinuation. His opinion was as complete as ever, that the Imperial system, with an imperial head, was the government best suited to France. But he found a republican form existing ; and his desire was that that form should be administered in its reality and integrity, independent of factions, irrespective of persons. This it was that he bore in mind, when he protested against the time of the Assembly being wasted in personal altercations. This it was that he had in view, when he expressed his hope that he should be able to "assist in the consolidation of society, which had been shaken to its foundation." This it was that he pointed to, when he called on all Frenchmen to prefer national interests to party interests, and to unite in endeavouring to promote "the stability and prosperity of the Republic."

But it was not to be expected that these great objects could be effected, unless by the union of influential men,—unless he who was charged with the chief executive authority should be honestly supported by the leading men in the Assembly. It was vain to expect it if he were to be thwarted, hampered, defied, conspired against,—by Orleanists on one hand, who, whilst claiming a share in the councils of the State, were flitting backwards and forwards between France and England, concerting measures with Louis Philippe and his family for the restoration of one branch of the Bourbons; by Legitimists on the other, passing into Germany to consult with *their* master, the Comte de Chambord, in relation to his prospects of ruling over a

resurrection of the *ancien régime*; by ultra-levellers from another side, desirous of nothing so much as to throw all things—property, laws, institutions—into the boiling cauldron of anarchy. The possibility of maintaining a republic practically realising its name implied an abandonment of hostile plots and combinations against it, a willingness to co-operate with the head of the Executive,—at least, the absence of any systematic plan of obstruction and hostility.

Without such conditions a republic was impossible in France. It was on the supposition that they might be fulfilled, that, unconnected as he was with party, and acting without reference to it, his own example might arouse the more generous emotions of those around him, might assuage angry passions, and impart union, strength, and cohesion to the governmental power, that Louis Napoleon promised, in good faith and sincerity, adhesion to the republican experiment.

Were these conditions fulfilled ?

They were not.

There was not a man in France who worked so hard as the President to procure the fulfilment of the conditions,—not a man in France who so strenuously exerted himself to procure success for the experiment,—to maintain the integrity of the Republic, so long as there existed the shadow of a chance of preserving it. And when his exertions failed, when the malignity of factious conspiracy had shown that it would not rest, that it would not be pacified whilst it possessed the power of disturbance,—then there remained but one course for any man having at heart the welfare of society, and endowed with courage to give effect to his desires.—That course was to deprive faction of its sting by depriving it of its power, and appealing to the nation for its decision as to the mode of government

which would give peace and security to society, and act for the benefit of the universal people.

The years 1849 and 1850 were years of trial of the system introduced in the haste, confusion, and terror caused by the insurrection of June. They were years of experiment as to the possibility of composing the furious passions of factions, of maintaining order and security by the exercise of the powers given to the President under the terms of the Constitution of 1848. From the first, sinister omens attended this experiment. We find the President entreating the Assembly to co-operate with him in measures of practical improvement for the success of which cordiality and unity of action were indispensable,— we find the Assembly replying, through its most prominent speakers, with taunts, upbraiding, ridicule, accusation. One of the leading party politicians, instead of applying his energies to the service of the country, occupied himself in writing a work purporting to demonstrate the impossibility of good government for France on any principle not involving a restoration of the Bourbons. The Assembly was the scene of disgraceful tumults, of “disgusting brutalities,” to use the too accurate phrase of a spectator. “Liar,” “perjurer,” “coward,” were epithets commonly interchanged between its members; even the President of the body was himself denounced as a liar by some of the gentlemen amongst whom he vainly strove to keep order. And these violences were not confined to words. In the very Chamber blows were exchanged, the effect of which at least attested the pugilistic prowess of debaters. But whilst the war raged fiercely between contending parties, and even in the councils of these respective parties, they were often seen uniting when a ne-

gative had to be pronounced upon any practical proposal emanating from the head of the Executive. Then they could all agree that the proposition, whatsoever its nature, was a dangerous one, an ambitious one, one prompted by his desire to court popularity, to establish himself in the affections of the people. And no doubt one of his objects was to deserve and retain the national affection by a course calculated to promote general happiness through the encouragement of industry. The inconsistency of the Assembly, and of its partisans in the press, would have been amusing if its consequences were not so seriously mischievous. Whilst presenting vexatious obstructions to all measures of improvement, they continually reproached the President for not accomplishing improvements of all kinds, for not doing that which he was most anxious to do, but which they themselves, abusing the power entrusted to them, obstinately prevented him from doing.

The "Red" or Socialist party was active in the Chamber, in the press, and in the clubs. The motto that "property is robbery" was openly promulgated by many of its members; programmes of policy were considered, including such rules as "refusal to pay taxes; disobedience to all decrees and ordinances emanating from the Government; disobedience to all citations of courts of justice," and so on. On the walls of Paris, and of several of the provincial towns, placards were posted, invoking "Blood, blood! extermination of the rich, and of all government officials; houses to the flames, the rich to the guillotine or the gibbet!" In some of the clubs, doctrines substantially affirming these views were avowed amid loud applause, and sympathisers in the Assembly adopted language scarcely more moderate.

These distractions and menaces, threatening not only

the tranquillity of France but of all Europe, could not fail to attract attention in England :—

“ Whilst (it was observed) sedition and conspiracy are allowed to muster in their recognised strongholds, the clubs of Paris, government of a stable and permanent nature, no inatter what its form, is impossible in France. It is a patent fact, which no one denies, that the clubs of the French capital are not mere peaceful assemblies from which resolutions embodying the sentiments of the meeting, or petitions addressed to the Legislature, emanate in a manner suitable to the modesty that ought to characterise memorials. \* \* \* \* It is not resolutions, but revolutions ; it is not petitions, but insurrections ; it is not addresses, but barricades, which have systematically and notoriously emanated froin these clubs ever since their appearance. They are sinks and pestholes from which an intermittent evil of incurable and fatal malignity has at frequent intervals, and with frightful precision, arisen and seized the body politic and the body social. This is a fact recorded in very legible characters of blood and devastation, and scarred and seared into the condition of France—scarred indeed, and seared so deeply, that through the sides of that tortured country all Europe has been marked and impressed with the signs thereof. \* \* Louis Napoleon has practically experienced that he cannot carry on his government while these clubs are suffered to exist ; he probably sees also that NO GOVERNMENT could be carried on which sanctioned obstructions so systematic and so formidable in its own path.”

That there is no exaggeration in this description, that the clubs and their connections were not merely hostile to a particular government, but to any government which recognised the sacredness of family ties and of property as a national institution — had been fearfully

exemplified by the insurrection of June against the democratic government of Lamartine and Cavaignac. The anarchic faction, even in Paris, where it was strongest, was numerically insignificant when compared with the vastly preponderating majority of honest and well-disposed persons. On a national poll, its leaders were aware, it had not the shadow of a chance: in sudden acts of violence lay the only possibility of its acquiring an ascendancy; but it had already been proved that it possessed regular organisation, and on a scale so extensive as to be always dangerous. The infatuation of the Legitimists and Orleanists in the Chamber, bent solely on damaging and annoying the President, and too frequently playing into the hands of the enemies of all order, rendered that body absolutely unmanageable. Even in this country it was already prophesied that Louis Napoleon would be ultimately compelled—not only for objects personal to himself, but by his duty to France—to take energetic measures for putting an end to the incongruous state of things existing. Commenting on the position in which the President was placed, an English writer remarked:—

“On the question of moral and public right, this point may be considered—Would not Louis Napoleon be *justified* (we say nothing of expediency and policy, which in this case are seemingly more determinably legible and simple to understand),—would he not be justified in forcibly dissolving the National Assembly? We put this question on the hypothesis of the continuance, stability, and consistency of republicanism itself in France. There are two incorporations of power—the President on the one hand, and the Legislature on the other: they are equally expressions of

universal suffrage, except that the President represents a more concentrated and more enthusiastic sentiment than the Assembly. Each is now in fixed opposition to the other. The Government cannot proceed with such an entanglement unresolved. It is a deadlock.

\* \* Neither power can effect what is desired by either; neither can do *anything*. Were they created to do nothing? Has universal suffrage stultified itself, and stultified itself in the hands of Frenchmen? Surely this is what no Frenchman would allow. Well, Louis Napoleon might fairly say, ‘Let us appeal to universal suffrage again: go before the country with my disapproval on your heads. If a different legislature be returned, I remain in power; if *you* be again returned, I will at once resign. Only let us appeal to our common parent and common arbiter in this matter, since we cannot settle it between ourselves. I was chosen last; I may fairly conclude that I am the expression of more recent, more mature, and now actually prevalent, sentiments. If you doubt this, or dislike my conclusion, falsify and refute it by appealing yourselves to that criterion on the authority of which I stand.’

“What reasonable answer could be given to this language? Can an assembly which professes to be the impersonation of the national sentiment, betray, without ruining its own credit, any dread or hesitation respecting an appeal to the power on which its credit depends?”

The President was, however, unwilling to proceed to extremities. He desired that in his hands the experiment of 1848 should have a full and fair trial. He laboured with patience and fortitude to secure it such a trial. And when, after the lapse of two years more, the effort

became evidently hopeless, it was his own policy and motives that he submitted to the ordeal of approval or disapproval by the country. Holding firmly to the course which he had chosen—that of independence of all party influences—he found himself necessarily in opposition to many of those who had been engaged in the overthrow of Louis Philippe. A judicious remark was made on some of the reproaches uttered against him on this score:—

“Of course he does, and of course he must. Authority, at all times and under all forms, if it wish to exist and to last, must accept the conditions of authority, one of which is stern and uncompromising resistance to anarchy.”

Whilst thus maintaining a resolute—fortunately, in the long run, a successful—struggle to preserve France from the horrors into which the reckless violence of some, and the flagitious dishonesty and infatuation of others, would have plunged her, he could not resist those impulses of clemency, his indulgence in which has more than once elicited remonstrance. A considerable number of the “prisoners of June” were liberated by his order. These men, on obtaining freedom, did not evince much gratitude for the leniency with which they had been treated, or inclination to behave honestly and peaceably for the future. The curses and imprecations, the vows of deadly vengeance on the rich, uttered by them on their route to Paris, were described as something hideous. The republican general, Cavaignac, under whom the insurrection had been repressed, and by whom thousands of the insurgents had been imprisoned and transported, was the especial object of their maledictions. Amongst those who protested that in giving freedom to such persons the President was

acting too boldly, was General Lamoricière, who declared that the insurgents were treated far too gently, that it was a hazardous thing to let them loose upon the society which they had attempted to upset, and to which their enmity was implacable. In these objections there may have been much truth. Certainly the President, on this as on other occasions, carried mercy, forgiveness of offence, to the utter verge of prudence. If he erred in so doing, the only defence which need be set up for him is, that the error was of the kind to which generous and noble natures are prone.

Not content with riotings in the Assembly, invectives in the press, and plottings in the clubs, the "Reds" exhibited a disposition to try the patience of the public and the Executive by demonstrations in the streets. In the early part of 1849, they got up an *émeute* on a somewhat extensive scale; the result of which, however, tended to prove how little they represented the sentiments of the general body of the people. Whilst the movement was at its most critical stage, and great alarm pervaded the minds of the Ministers, the President took a step, which, if a bold and perilous one, tended effectually, by its very boldness, to discomfit the plans of the disturbers. This gallant proceeding was thus described:—

"Louis Napoleon told them (the Council of Ministers) that he should put on his uniform and take a canter up the Boulevards and along the quays. Vain were the efforts to dissuade him from his resolve, and accordingly at half-past ten, the Prince, accompanied by Edgar Ney and another aide-de camp, his *fortemque Gyan fortemque Cloanthum*, and with an escort of twelve cuirassiers, left his palace, and trotted up the Boulevards. His reception was most enthusiastic, and this display of personal bravery, which in no country is more

highly esteemed than here, will secure him more popularity than all the millions which were scattered by Louis Philippe in bribery and corruption. Along the whole route the Prince was accompanied by a 'running accompaniment' of the mob, who greeted him with the deafening cheers of devotion and affection which were heard by Bolingbroke as he rode into London.  
\* \* \* Whether he will or no, you may rest assured that greatness will be thrust upon him." \*

This was far from being the only instance in which, by the display of that unflinching personal courage,

\* There is much point in the subjoined remarks, made by a periodical print, in reference to the coolness and resoluteness displayed by the President during crises which would have unnerved a man not possessing consummate courage and fortitude. The brave respect the brave. The French people would despise and spurn a man who shrank aghast from them. They could respect and admire one who would reason with them—who would confront them—who would withstand that which he considered wrong, and hold to his conviction of the right, even though the former should seem, for the moment, the stronger and more popular cause. It so happened, however, that the policy of Louis Napoleon was always the popular one—the one approved by the nation, as contradistinguished from factions, which, numerically, were weak in the aggregate, and insignificant individually, though capable, by organisation and intrigue, of causing serious and mischievous disturbance of the public peace:—

" We may safely say, that any ruler who wishes the French to love him must not fear the French. Nor does this arise from a spaniel-like or abject character, but perhaps from the very opposite disposition in the people. They would feel it a sort of insult to ask them to bow to a timid or faltering authority. They could not obey a power which they saw trembling before them. They must admire their ruler, and they never could admire faint-heartedness. The man of their affections—the man who will enthrall their loyalty permanently,—must have a gallant bearing, a prompt spirit, and a fearless heart. If a man were to approve himself such, theories and abstractions and artificial minutiae, and mechanical, self-working forms of constitution and government, would pale before him as artificial lights pale before the sun."

which is amongst the most eloquent passports to the respect of a Frenchman, the President threw a complete damp upon projects of disorder, and nipped in the bud quite a promising *émeute*. Frequently, almost unattended, he has mounted his horse, penetrated the very depths of the recesses which were looked on as the head-quarters of disaffection ; and whilst timid spirits prophesied his death, he has ridden back, not only unharmed, but accompanied by the cheers of many of those who, a little while previously, had imagined that nothing could have given them more pleasure than to shoot him down incontinently. The calm, immovable intrepidity of the man disarmed them ; practically illustrating the rustic philosophy, that if you look a raging bull in the face he will not harm you, but that symptoms of fear will make him rush upon you.

And whilst clemency and courage thus signally exhibited themselves in the more public proceedings of the President—whilst he was occupied by a multiplicity of important duties, and by the onerous task of steering “the vessel of the State” safely through the innumerable dangers which surrounded her—he contrived to find time for the exercise of a virtue, less demonstrative in its character, but not less entitled to esteem. Those who have best and longest known Napoleon the Third, concur in describing him as one of those men whose hands are “open as day to melting charity.” From his earliest youth this has been one of his passions ; and in circumstances when such a disposition could not be indulged without inconvenience, he has frequently chosen the latter alternative, rather than see want unrelieved, or distress unsolaced. His accession to power, and the cares, labours, and responsibilities which his position imposed upon him, so far from diminishing the force of this natural

tendency, probably rather added to it. The man whose endeavours to secure happiness for a nation were so constantly and systematically obstructed, would be apt to take more than ordinary pleasure in doing that which was in his power — giving happiness to individuals. Notwithstanding the private and unostentatious manner in which his acts of kindness were performed, they were so frequent, and brought him inevitably into contact with so many — for he loved to be himself the messenger of good tidings to the bereaved and afflicted, — that they would sometimes become publicly known. Alluding to instances of the kind, and to the happy and kindly grace with which, by his manner of bestowing, he enhanced the value of his gifts, an English literary man paid him the following well-merited tribute : —

“ We call that a great destiny which largely influences the position of fellow creatures, and which is bound up with the destiny of millions of human beings. Of such a destiny, therefore, that man shows an intrinsic presentiment, whose mind is, even in the most distracting personal misfortunes, incessantly directed to the condition of others, to the condition of a whole people in its largest and most suffering classes. This is not only a gentle feeling, in that sense of the word ‘gentle’ which probably originated the word ‘gentleman,’ but it is a feeling even princely. Nations want not and need not, for governing the State, those who are absorbed in *themselves*; — nations need those who care for nations, and those who so care are not inappropriately placed, when they are raised to the most exalted stations.

“ We are glad that the fine qualities which Louis Napoleon exhibited in adversity have stood the far harder test of dazzling success. We are glad that, even

in the smallest and most unpremeditated acts, he has evinced an unparalleled consistency. We are glad that he displayed such fortitude and bravery in misfortune; we are still more glad that he shows such moderation, firmness, and gentleness in power. We are glad of this for the sake of France, and indeed for the sake of the world.

"We now feel confident, that whatever future betides him, he will act a dignified, a true, and an honourable part. He has been tried by the two great ordeals, and has passed them both unscathed. Had he been cowardly, we should have seen it long ago; were he insolent, we should see it now."

Without question, the personal qualities of courage, gentleness, and generosity, greatly contributed to convert into affection for himself the feeling of approval of the principles which he represented, and of veneration for the name he bore. For example, it may be admitted — it can hardly be disputed — that the attempts of Strasburg and Boulogne were not merely daring, but extremely rash. He has himself, standing near the scene of his lengthened imprisonment, acknowledged that they were so, and has not hesitated to accept that measure of blame which, to some extent, attaches to all who, even with the most virtuous motives, attack any established government, and incur the danger of civil war. Yet it is undeniable that the very rashness, — the supererogative bravery displayed in these enterprises, contributed essentially to strengthen him in the good-will of the French. In correspondence with his own relatives, during his residence at Ham, he remarked that one of his impelling motives was the conviction, that even if he should perish, his proceedings would keep the cause alive,

would awaken glorious memories, and recall the contemplations of France to the beneficent features of the Imperial system. Is it to be supposed that this total merging of self was lost upon the people of France? Is it to be supposed that they did not remember it on the 10th of December? It is quite reasonable to argue that the attempts of 1836 and 1840 would not have been made by an older man; but it would be ignoring historical facts to infer that these affairs were, in the long run, unaccompanied by an effect favourable to the objects of their promoter.

Few public acts excited more comment, or led to greater differences of opinion amongst the friends as well as the foes of the President, than the intervention in the affairs of Italy. The policy and motives of the expedition to Rome have been discussed and re-discussed in all the moods and tenses of political prejudice and predilection. Perhaps the most accurate mode of estimating it would be, to consider whether there were anything in the relations between Paris and Rome which caused events transpiring in the latter place to have a peculiarly active influence in the former. Now, the parties who at the time had the ascendant in Rome were avowed propagandists of their extreme doctrines. They never ceased from exciting the passions of European populations, and they were especially energetic in their efforts to arouse the ultra-Democratic and Socialist sections in France to demonstrations of sympathy with them — demonstrations which, beyond all doubt, would have been tantamount to open insurrection. They wished and expected to entangle France in their quarrel. It is scarcely unjust to them to say, that they set up the trade of general

incendiaries — of agitators against neighbouring governments ; and already their disturbing influence was seriously felt in France.\* The point is, whether, with due regard to the interests of France, the President could any longer have abstained from interference. His position was thus described : —

“ Now, France is but one of the great human family of nations, interested in maintaining the internal forms of order subsisting in each of her kindred states, whatever species of constitution they may have assumed. She no longer seeks to pull down the authorities established in other countries, that she may set up new powers after her own model. \* \* \* She holds that there may be in other countries good and honourable institutions, though different in character from those at which she sprang frantically through blood and ruin in the last century, and which in the present she accepts at the hand of the chapter of accidents. \* \* \* If we cast away the merely external associations of past history, there is every reason that the French republic should enlist itself in the cause of European order. No other European government is so much interested in asserting the principle of the visible presentation of a national authority. This being the case, we can readily conceive and

\* It has been remarked that, in addition to the attitude assumed by the Roman incendiaries, “the nature of the connexion existing between the Pope and Roman Catholic powers gives, to all governments recognising that religious persuasion, a special interest in the temporal as well as spiritual affairs of the Pontiff, conferring on them certain rights, and imposing on them certain duties, of interference for the protection of his authority.” Into this argument I will not enter : the consideration of it would lead to a digression foreign to the subject of this book ; and I confine myself to the fact of justification on the ground of necessity, in view of the actual position of affairs, and of the efforts made by the Roman dictators to obtain support through means gravely menacing the internal peace of France.

acknowledge the reasonableness of the proposed or supposed intervention, on the part of France, in the restoration of the violated rights of Italy, that France may at once interpose a gap between her own agitators and those of foreign countries, and prevent the hands of the former from being strengthened by the identification of the national cause with the trading disaffection of the latter. As a republic, France is compelled to assume a most decided position, in order to spurn the solicitations of demagogues like Mazzini and his compeers, who, fabricating wrongs which they would not dare to redress if they existed, endeavour to advance their private ends by involving her in their quarrel. \* \* \* \*

\* \* "France has already incurred the indignation of the extreme parties, by unequivocally showing that she prizes order more than any particular form of government. The vast majority of the French people have declared that their aim is to construct, and not to destroy, and their present Government has shown itself fully prepared to carry out this policy, both in its domestic and foreign relations. Such being the case, neither the Government nor the people of France can do otherwise than repel with scorn any attempt to link them with the imbecile and butcherly knaves, whom the chances of disturbance, as if in mockery of revolutions, have made tyrants over the fair cities of Italy."\*

\* Monsieur Emile Girardin, the celebrated editor of "La Presse," stated confidently that the British Foreign Minister, Lord Palmerston, regarded the Italian revolutionary party with distrust and dislike; that he had instructed his agents to declare that "England would consider the Italian Constituent Assembly as an inexhaustible source of political troubles and disasters to Italy, and that he should contend against it with all his moral influence; that Great Britain would never acknowledge, in international relations, the legality of the

Italian Constituent Assembly, not being able to admit the principle of a league between the different peoples of Italy without the spontaneous concurrence of their respective governments." It also appeared that his Lordship had instructed our diplomatic representatives in Italy to exert themselves strenuously to prevent the Italian governments from sending agents to the Roman Constituent Assembly. Now, if the minister of a country so little in danger of disturbance from Italian disorders found himself called on to take so decided a course as this, it may reasonably be presumed that the chief magistrate of a state situated as France was—the constant object of incendiary appeals and intrigues emanating from the most active members of the demagogue party,—would have considered himself bound to adopt still more energetic measures. Mazzini's utter recklessness as to the consequences which his proceedings might entail on Europe, has, since 1850, been but too abundantly proved by the proclamations in which that incendiary has endeavoured to renew the horrors of bloodshed and civil war, to sow sedition, and stir up populaces against governments. There may come a time when a pains-taking and truth-telling historian, looking back at all the circumstances preceding and accompanying the French occupation of Rome, will recognise in it a proceeding which averted a terrible train of disasters. The stabbers, the assassins, the atheists, who constituted so large a portion of the "vitality" of what was miscalled the liberating party in Italy, will, doubtless, never forgive the French Government for stepping forward so actively—taking upon itself the responsibility and unpopularity of interference. But this resoluteness in pursuing the course which he believed to be that of duty, without being turned aside by calculations of popularity or unpopularity, has been frequently manifested by Napoleon the Third; and time has generally vindicated his conduct and motives. Amongst these motives, besides the necessity of protecting France from the contagion with which the frantic declamations and bloodthirsty intrigues of persons of the Mazzini class would have infected her, might be mentioned the wish to preserve Italy herself from being, throughout her whole length and breadth, laid utterly prostrate at the feet of Austria. If a French army had not been sent to Rome, the Austrians would have advanced, and settled matters exactly in accordance with their own views of expediency. The movement of the French was, in reality, a counter-check upon Austria: it rendered impossible the execution of such designs as those which, a few months previously, had, justly or not, been attributed to the Court of Vienna by the same persons who turned round and abused the French Government for the very measure which removed the danger of Italy being, in their own

phrase, "absorbed" by Austria. The French occupation was, of all things which could have occurred, that most disagreeable to Austria; and what added to her dislike of it was the declaration, soon afterwards made by Louis Napoleon, that, on his part, there was no idea of stifling Italian liberty, and that no parties need look to him for abetting abuses or tyrannical exercise of power. It is quite in the chapter of possibilities that, but for the policy pursued by France, Italian nationality would, by this time, have almost disappeared under the pressure of Austrian concentration.

## CHAP. II.

LEGISLATIVE ELECTIONS.—WISE FORBEARANCE OF THE PRESIDENT.—INCREASING IMPRACTICABILITY OF THE ASSEMBLY—ANTI-BRITISH INTRIGUES.—FIDELITY OF THE PRESIDENT TO FRIENDLY RELATIONS WITH ENGLAND.—PROVINCIAL TOUR.—ENTHUSIASTIC RECEPTION.—POSITION AND PRETENSIONS OF GENERAL CHANGARNIER.—PERSISTENT SLANDERS AGAINST THE CHIEF OF THE STATE.

IN 1849, after innumerable memorials calling for the dissolution of the Assembly, the election of a new Chamber was impending. The Socialists were on the alert; a committee consisting of the most prominent members of that faction drew up certain articles, the acceptance of which was to be a test of the eligibility of candidates. The spirit of these articles may be judged from the following:—"The most severe of tyrannies is that of capital. The national representation can and ought to pursue the abolition of that tyranny." Monsieur Prudhon's maxim, that property is robbery, was enunciated in its substance, only with somewhat more ambiguity of phraseology. On the other hand, the President received requisitions from the people, expressing entire confidence in him, and asking him to indicate persons whom he regarded as likely to be useful members of the new Assembly. To these requests he replied, in an official paragraph:—"The President of the Republic daily receives from different parts of France, and particularly from the Departments of the Meurth and the Vosges, letters requesting him to indicate candidates for the Legislative Assembly, or committees with whom the electors may correspond.

Thanking for their confidence the several parties who have addressed themselves to him, the President declares that he is a perfect stranger to all electoral committees, and that he cannot designate any candidate for the suffrages of the electors. Penetrated with a sense of his duties, he cannot, as President of the Republic, exercise any influence, direct or indirect, over the electors."

It would, perhaps, have been conducive to the election of an Assembly really disposed to aid in carrying on the business of the country, if the President had not so rigidly observed the principle here laid down. But, in the ultimate result, his policy was, doubtless, the most beneficial one, inasmuch as it left no pretext for saying that the Constitution of 1848 had been tampered with, or impeded in carrying out the objects of its constructors. Hitherto he had himself been constantly thwarted and obstructed by the different factions in the Assembly. He had disappointed them all, for he had coalesced with none. Holding his power from France, he recognised no interest save hers, and denied the right of any particular clique to set itself up as exclusively representing the country. "His firmness and consistency," it was remarked, "have belied the predictions of his enemies, open and disguised. He has carried out in every part the principles on which he accepted power, despite the alternate threats and cajolery of those who had imagined that in the Chief Magistrate they would find but a puppet and a tool." If France were not yet prosperous and contented, if she had not a sense of security, if she did not yet venture to put trust in the future, it was because she saw no end of strifes, intrigues, conspiracies, seeking to make the executive power a nullity, and to re-open that "era of revolutions"

which the President declared it was his object to close. The effect of the feeling of insecurity thus created was well described by himself:—"The want of security at present, and of faith in the future, destroys credit, puts a stop to public works, diminishes public and private incomes, renders loans impossible, and dries up the sources of wealth." He did not disguise the state of the case. He said plainly that the conduct of the factions in the Assembly indefinitely postponed the accomplishment of many plans of public utility, and that until a different course was adopted the elements of national prosperity could not be developed. Nevertheless, the country had recovered, or was recovering from, the industrial paralysis of 1848. This partial recovery was owing to the general feeling that there was at the head of affairs a mind capable of appreciating all the difficulties of the situation, and of initiating the measures necessary to meet them. The operation of the recuperative energies of the country on one hand, and the antagonistic effect of factious obstructiveness on the other, were alluded to in a Paris journal:—"On one side we behold the whole country recovering that vitality which springs from confidence, industry, and prosperity; in one word, from order. On the other side we see a faction, feeble in numbers, in virtue, and in enlightenment, exhausting itself, struggling against the tendencies and hopes of society." The writer might have added that there were more factions than one. There was on one side a source of confidence, tending to prosperity; on the other side were sources of fear and mistrust, opposing that tendency and operating for confusion and alarm.

On the eve of the elections, a relative of the President had made observations imputing to the Chief of the State a policy of manœuvring, which the latter felt

called on to repudiate. He did so in the following letter, addressed to the personage in question :—

“ It is pretended that in passing through Bordeaux you held language of a nature to sow division among the best intentioned persons. You are reputed to have said, ‘that hampered by the chiefs of the reactionary movement, I did not freely act upon my inspirations ; that impatient of the yoke, I was ready to throw it off ; but that in order to assist me to do it, it was necessary, at the approaching elections, to send to the Chamber men hostile to my government, rather than men of the moderate party.’ Such an imputation from you may well astonish me. You know me sufficiently to be sure that I will never submit to any ascendancy on the part of any body, and that I will constantly endeavour to govern in the interest of the masses, and not in that of a party. I honour the men who, by their capacity and experience, can give me good counsel. I daily receive advice of an opposite nature, but I obey only the impulses of my reason and my heart. It was for you less than any other person to blame in me a moderate policy ; you who disapproved of my manifesto because it had not the entire sanction of the chiefs of the moderate party. \* \* \* To bring closer together all the old parties, to unite, to reconcile them, is the object of my efforts. This is the mission attached to the great name which we bear. It would fail if it were to tend to divide instead of rallying together the supporters of the government. \* \* In future I hope that you will exert yourself to enlighten, as to my real sentiments, the persons who may be in relation with you, and take care not to accredit, by inconsiderate language, the absurd calumnies which state that my policy is influenced by sordid interests. Nothing, repeat this aloud, nothing will disturb the calmness of my judgment or

shake my resolutions. Free from control, I will pursue the path of honour, with my conscience for my guide ; and when I shall relinquish power, if I may be reproached with faults which were inevitable, I shall have at least performed what I sincerely believed to be my duty."

To the people he said, when the periodical recurrence of attempts at disturbance made it necessary to take stringent and vigilant measures for the repression of rioting in Paris :—

"Elected by the nation, the cause which I defend is yours, is that of your families and your property, and that of the poor as well as the rich,—that of all civilisation. I shall not shrink from anything that may cause its triumph."

At Amiens, responding to the toast, "To the elect of six millions, who has taken for his motto 'God, family, and property' :" —

"I do not know how to express to you the gratitude which is inspired in my mind at the reception which I have met with from the town of Amiens. Up to this time I have done too little not to attribute this reception to the name that I bear. The inhabitants of this Department desire order and peace. It is to that object that my conduct has been, and ever will be, directed. My efforts will constantly tend to give you order and peace. Gentlemen, it was the desire of the Emperor Napoleon to establish a durable peace. It was in this very hall, the name of which has become historical, that was signed the peace of Amiens ; that treaty by which he wished to establish a lasting peace between the two greatest powers of Europe — England and France. These views of peace are also mine. But understand well, gentlemen, it is an honourable peace that I desire ; a peace which, by rendering security certain abroad,

shall be in no one point of a nature to compromise the dignity of France."

On few subjects did the President dwell more frequently, when in presence of large bodies of Frenchmen, than on the necessity—for the sake of both nations—of maintaining a good understanding with Great Britain. The importance of a friendly international policy he took every opportunity of impressing on the minds of his countrymen. It is right that this should be remembered, in correction of the idea that his friendship for us is merely one of temporary expediency, and referable to circumstances connected with the late war. Long before the contingency of a collision between the Western Powers and Russia was thought of, his opinions upon this point were as explicitly declared as they have since been. They were as energetically set forth when he formed a stock-subject of vehement abuse to many in England, as when he was welcomed by us as "our true and faithful ally." The calm, moderate, conciliatory, but resolute tone of his replies to public addresses, elicited such expressions as the following:—

"The feeling of every man of calm good sense, after reading the addresses, must doubtless be, that France is very fortunate in having fallen into hands so prudent, so careful, so moderate, so anxious to make the best of untoward circumstances, and to restore order and security to their position as the guardian principles of society."

The tours of visits which the President made through many of the provincial districts, brought out strongly those exhibitions of popular sentiment with regard to him, which marked him as the true representative of the nation. Nor were they idle visits—mere visits of pageantry. The "inauguration" (to use the French

phrase) of railroads and other works of public utility was an office eminently congenial to his own views of the interests of France, and he never appeared more pleased than when performing the principal part in these celebrations. Whilst the country resounded with the acclamations which met him everywhere, Europe witnessed with amusement, perhaps not quite without disgust, sundry attempts of the factions to concoct counter-demonstrations. The Comte de Chambord, the Legitimist pretender, received deputations purporting to come from the "working men of France," and made speeches which, no doubt, were worthy of "the mission" of his auditors, and perfectly adapted to their capacities. It afterwards turned out that these "deputations" were got-up affairs, bought and paid for with the money of the party whose objects they were intended to promote — peradventure with the money of the Comte himself. One of their effects was, to expose the ridiculous numerical weakness of the faction, but at the same time to prove, if proof had been wanting, the existence in France of elements of trouble, confusion, and danger, which it would require vigorous and judicious councils to deal with. The Chambord deputations were doubtless as absurd as they were impudent and deceptive ; but they showed the restlessness of the spirit of perfidious intrigue. Members of the Republican Assembly were the concoctors of these "hommages" from a few boors and cast-off artisans, pretending to represent "the working men of France."

The Socialists and Orleanists were, of course, not idle. The latter were occupied in constant communications with Claremont : the former were detected in attempts to organise armed bodies, under the pretext of engaging labourers for the cultivation of unreclaimed lands. The more decided the national expression of

confidence in the President, the more persistent were the intrigues to bring about a state of things in which the will of France might haply be set aside by subtle tactics. The intriguers knew that a regular appeal to the people would effectually baffle their schemes, and they left no device untried to preclude the possibility of any such appeal being made. For already the necessity of resorting to it, and thus putting an end to the anomalous relations between the Executive and the Legislature, was presenting itself to the minds of reasonable persons in France, and, indeed, out of France, as the only mode of solving the riddle of pernicious perplexity created by the impracticability of the Constitution of 1848. The President was pressed by innumerable petitions to make use of the power imparted to him by the support of the people, without fastidious observance of forms in an emergency when plots and conspiracies formed the occupation of persons taking a prominent part in the political arena. Forbearance, however, forbearance until forbearance threatened to degenerate from self-abnegation into culpable tardiness, marked the conduct of Louis Napoleon. On suitable occasions he expounded his own views of a government beneficial to France,—a government strong and energetic in the discharge of its proper functions, but not undertaking "to do everything," or to become a substitute for private energy and enterprise. Addressing the holders of prizes awarded at the Paris Exhibition of 1849, he said:—

"It belongs to the Government to establish and to propagate new principles of economy, to encourage, to protect, and to honour national labour. Its office should be to investigate all that tends to elevate the condition of man. But the greatest benefit which it can give, and that from which all others flow, is to

establish a good administration, which creates confidence and secures the future. The greatest danger of modern times arises from the false notion that a government can do everything, and that the essence of any system whatever is to meet all exigencies and to remedy all evils. Improvements are not accomplished in a moment; they are produced by those which have preceded them. \* \* \* Let us not, therefore, give birth to vain hopes, but endeavour to accomplish all that it is reasonable to expect. Manifesting a constant solicitude for the interests of the people, let us realise, for the benefit of those who labour, the philanthropic wish of a better share in the profits, and a more prosperous future."

He desired his hearers to tell their workmen, that the foundation of his policy was that of "love of everything good,—antagonism to error and falsehood;" and thus concluded:—

" Regardless alike of calumnies and seductions, without weakness as without boasting, I will watch over your interests, which are my own, and will maintain my rights, which are also yours."

At a banquet at the Hôtel de Ville, in commemoration of the election of the 10th of December, he said:—

" What gives irresistible vigour even to the most humble of mortals is to have before him a great cause to attain, and behind him a great cause to defend. For us, this cause is that of universal civilisation."

And amongst the objects comprehended in that cause, he indicated the maintenance of order and national credit, the encouragement of industry, the welfare of the labouring classes, the protection of morality and religion. He called on Paris and all France to assist him in his labours for the attainment of these objects. It was time to do so, for the agents and emissaries of

the anarchists were actively engaged in the dissemination of sedition, in attempting to excite popular passions against all who possessed property — all who did not say “aye” to the doctrines of the Fourriers and the Prudhons. Pardons had been again granted to a large number of the culprits of June. Even Liberal journalists thus remonstrated against “too often renewed” acts of clemency :—

“ The amnestied prisoners have scarcely quitted their pontoons, when they seem to desire to justify the opposition made to their being set at liberty. Their gratitude is shown in a manifesto written in the most violent terms. If the head of the State reckoned for a moment on better sentiments, he ought now to be undeceived. He sowed benefits, and reaps insult and ingratitude.

\* \* \* If he is aware of the sentiments which they have expressed relative to him, he must now be edified as to the result of the measures which his generosity led to. The hearts and projects of these men remain the same ; there is merely more irritation and thirst of vengeance. \* \* \* It is true that these experiments, *too often renewed*, should serve as lessons for the time to come. One may well fall into an error once in a way ; but when the public tranquillity is compromised, it would be to play the part of a dupe to listen further to the counsels of clemency. When society is in presence of irreconcilable enemies, it is a duty to combat them sternly. Generosity may be thought of when only oneself is concerned ; but when the fate of thirty-five millions of individuals is at stake, all vain hesitation must be put aside, and the end steadily proceeded to. \* \* There are two principles in a state of open opposition ; and so long as the struggle lasts, it is at least useless for the Government to furnish arms and means of action to the parties leagued against society.”

An eloquent French statesman, after describing the leaders of the Socialist plots as a set of "wretched, petty haranguers," powerful, however, for mischief, gave the subjoined outline of their objects. It is to be regretted that, instead of coquetting with impossible dynastic pretensions, he did not himself give a more hearty and consistent support to the Government which was defending society against the projects he so vigorously denounced :—

" Is it merely some refinement in civilisation which they aim at ? No ; it is the very A B C of social life which they attack. It is property and family ties which France has seen herself obliged to defend for the last two years. The Academy of Moral and Political Sciences has been actually called on to reply to these men, who dare to call in question principles which are acknowledged even by savages."

The English correspondence from France described the activity of Socialist propagandists in the provinces. The working population of Paris had become disgusted with their schemes, and a plan was accordingly formed to act in the provinces. The plan failed, not through want of diligence on the part of the emissaries of its concoctors and agents. The lower class of rural and village schoolmasters were the individuals to whom they specially addressed themselves, as likely, in consideration of petty bribes, to become zealous auxiliaries :—

" The clubs have disappeared in the towns ; but believe me that such is not the case in the country. The rural club is installed at the wine-shop. It is there that the agitators of the Red Republic, taking advantage of the numerous meetings which take place on the Sunday, open a class of anarchical policy. They there read aloud papers and pamphlets, with which the

Socialist propagandists inundate the country. \* \* \* Declamations against the bourgeoisie and the rich, diatribes against the Government and against social order, calumnies about reaction, apologies for the acts and tenets of the heroes of the demagogical party,—such is the usual theme of Peter the Hermit of the Socialist crusade. This work of proselytism is renewed every Sunday and every fête day. Is it astonishing, then, that such mischievous doctrines, with which the working classes in the towns are becoming disgusted, should now begin to affect the labouring population of the country?"

Meantime, the newly elected Assembly had become the scene of excesses more frantic, declamation more fierce, obstruction more obstinate, than its predecessors. One day the members would amuse themselves with discussions about the "impeachment" of the President,—for what cause did not appear, unless it were that he had adhered to the duties of his position, whilst they hourly violated theirs. Another day, the "Mountain," or Socialist leaders, would give an exposition of their plans, one of the most notable being that, on the day after their triumph, they would set about the pleasant work of confiscating property; "they would examine into the origin of the fortunes and capital existing." Staggering to and fro amid the din of contradictory doctrines, the Assembly unfitted itself for the discharge of the most ordinary functions of legislation, and sealed, by its own language and conduct, the condemnation of the parliamentary system in France. The motion brought forward by M. Larochejaquelin may convey an idea of the unsettlement of men's minds: —

"That on the first Sunday in the coming month of June, the nation should be called upon to pronounce definitely on the form of government which it should

choose to select; that every elector should be called upon to inscribe upon his bulletin, "Monarchy," or "Republic;" that if the Republic should acquire the majority, it should be proclaimed in the Chamber by the President of the Republic; and if the Monarchy obtained the majority, it should be proclaimed by the President of the Assembly."

The Chamber continued to occupy itself with every subject save those connected with the practical objects of government. The hybrid Constitution of 1848 had so confounded executive and legislative functions, as to render efficient administration an impossibility. Memorials were forwarded in hundreds to the President, beseeching him to interpose with a strong hand and strong will, and exercise the plenary authority which he had proved himself so capable of worthily wielding. English writers commented in this tone:—

"Louis Napoleon is bound to elaborate, if possible, from the elements placed in his hands, such a principle of government as shall be adapted to the times, compatible with the powers allotted to him, and with the *prestige* he may rightfully claim, and, above all, suited to the necessities of the French people. \* \* We cannot but admire the judgment and firmness which he has hitherto displayed, and by which he has, we think, entitled himself to the good wishes and assistance of all Frenchmen under present circumstances."

A favourite project with some of the Orleanist leaders (perhaps suggested by the discussion which arose upon the affairs of Greece) was that of embroiling France and England — fomenting discord and war notions, in the expectation, peradventure, that "something would turn up" advantageous to their purposes. Possibly they imagined that, by pandering to national prejudices, they would obtain a modicum of the popularity of which

their cause was so sadly in need. It was a species of popularity which the President despised and disowned. Replying to a toast at the Cherburg banquet, in which the mayor associated his name with a hint that Government aid, in completing unfinished public works, would be acceptable in that locality, he took notice of the presence of the numerous English guests:—

“The further I travel in France, the more do I see how much is expected from the Government. I do not traverse a single town, department, or even a village, without being asked by the mayor, municipal authorities, or representatives, for means of communication, canals, railroads, or the completion of public works and enterprises—in short, measures which might rescue suffering agriculture or lend spirit to drooping commerce. Nothing can be more natural than the expression of these desires, nor do they fall, believe me, upon an inattentive ear; but at the same time I should tell you that these results are not to be attained unless you give me the means of accomplishing them, and it is in your power, by your assistance, to give me the means of strengthening the existing power, and warding off danger for the future.

“How was it that, in spite of war, the Emperor was enabled to cover France with those imperishable works which one meets at every step, but nowhere in such wonderful force as here? It is because, independently of his genius, he lived in an age when the nation, harassed by revolutions, gave him the necessary power to crush anarchy, put down factions, and enable him to triumph abroad by means of peace and tranquillity at home, and by the vigorous impulse given to the national interests. \* \* \* Is not this port, created by such gigantic efforts, a striking testimony of French unity, fostered in the teeth of revolutions—

unity which has made us a great nation ? But we must not forget that a great nation maintains its position only so long as its institutions are in accord with the exigencies of its internal condition and its national interests. \* \* The presence of our numerous English guests here to-day shows that, if we desire peace, it is not from motives of weakness, *but from a feeling of that community of interests and mutual esteem which keeps the two most civilised nations closely bound to each other.*"

When opening a line of railway at St. Quentin, he explained his policy, opinions, and convictions, and referred to the source from which he had derived them : —

" Had I been free to carry out my will, I would have come among you without pomp or circumstance. I would have liked to have mingled among you, unknown and unnoticed, in your factories as well as your fêtes, in order that I might have been able to form a correct opinion of your real feelings and sentiments. But it seems that fate has raised up a barrier between us, and I deeply regret that I never had the opportunity of being a simple citizen of my country. You know that I passed six dreary years of my life within a few leagues of this town ; but moats and walls separated me from you, and even now the duties of my official position again separate me from you. Thus it is that I am scarcely known to you, and there are those who seek to misrepresent to you all my words and actions ; but the name which I bear is happily a sufficient guarantee to you, and you know the source from which I derive my opinions and convictions. Forty-eight years ago the First Consul came here to inaugurate your canal, —my mission is now similar. I come here to inaugurate your railroad. He then said to you, ' Remain

quiet. The storm has swept onwards. I will cause the triumph of the great battle of the Revolution, but I will repel with a strong hand ancient prejudices as well as new-fangled errors, by re-establishing security and encouraging useful enterprises. I would improve the condition of the people by the cultivation of your fields and the formation of new branches of industry.' Such were the words of the First Consul, and I have only to look around me to see that he has kept his word—his duties as well as prophecies have been fulfilled. And my task is the same. I must avail myself of the good instincts of the Revolution, and boldly combat those which are bad. The people must be benefited by means of institutions which good sense and reason would approve, and they must be convinced that order is the source of all prosperity. But order is not to me a mere empty word, which any one may interpret after his own fashion. It is the maintenance of that which has been chosen and consented to by the people — it is the national will triumphing over all factions. Courage, then, inhabitants of St. Quentin ; continue in your present course, and assist the government in its efforts to protect your enterprises and improve the condition of the poor."

Addressing the workmen, he said :—

"I am happy to find myself in the midst of you, and I seek with pleasure those opportunities which place me in contact with the great and generous people who elected me ; for my most sincere and devoted friends are not in palaces: they are under the thatched roof. They are not in gilded halls, but in the workshops, in public places, in the country. I feel, as the Emperor said, that the fibres of my heart respond to yours, and that we have the same instincts and the same interests. Persevere in the honest and industrious path

which leads to content, and let these *livrets*, which I am glad to offer you as a feeble mark of my sympathy, remind you of the too short sojourn which I made amongst you."

**At Dijon:—**

"The acclamations of which I have been the object tend to prove to me that the revolutionary torrent is returning to its bed, and that the population of this district, a short time ago so agitated, appreciates the efforts of us all to re-establish order. The governments which come after revolution have an ungrateful task before them—namely, that of repressing at first, in order to ameliorate afterwards; of dissipating deceptive illusions, and of substituting the language of cool reason for the wild, disorderly accents of passion. Accordingly, many a government has worn out its popularity in this great and difficult undertaking; and when I find that my name still preserves its influence over the masses—an influence due to the glorious chief of my family—I congratulate myself on the fact, not for myself, but for you, for France, for Europe."

The inauguration of the "Mutual Aid Society Pension Fund" at Lyons gave the President an opportunity to express his views upon one of the objects which had always profoundly interested him—that of elevating the condition of the workman by bringing him into friendly communication with the more wealthy classes. How different these plans from the sanguinary dreams and impracticable theories of Socialism!

"The institution which you have invited me to inaugurate is one of those which ought to produce the most salutary effects on the position of the labouring classes. For I cannot believe that there are men so

perverse as to preach up evil, knowing that they do so. But when men's minds become excited by social convulsion, pernicious ideas are disseminated amongst the people, and lead to wretchedness. The cause of visionary schemes is ignorance, and systems the most attractive in appearance are often quite inapplicable in practice. The exercise of reason is sufficient to overcome false doctrines, and it is by the application of practical ameliorations that they are most effectually put down. Mutual aid societies, as I understand them, have the advantage of uniting together the different classes of society, of putting an end to any jealousies which may exist amongst them; of neutralising in a great measure the effects of want, by causing the rich man to co-operate from the superfluity of his fortune, and the working man from the produce of his economy, in an institution where the industrious workman will find counsel and support. In this way an object of emulation is given to the different communities; classes are reconciled and individuals rendered moral. It is, consequently, my intention to make every effort to establish throughout France mutual aid societies; for, in my opinion, these institutions, once established everywhere, would be amongst the best means, not of solving problems which are unsolvable, but of succouring real sufferings by stimulating alike probity in the working man and charity in the wealthy one."

Talking to the people of Strasburg about advice which he had received not to visit their district, in consequence of rumours of disaffection, he tells them :—

"I said that it was my duty to go wherever there were dangerous illusions to dispel, or honest men to reassure."

Acknowledging, at Rheims, the enthusiastic welcome given to him on his visit, he dwells on the infinite numerical preponderance of the friends of order and peace :—

“ The reception which I have met with confirms that which I have myself seen during my journey through France, and which I have never for a moment doubted. Our country wishes for order, religion, and rational liberty. During my journey I have been convinced of this fact, that the number of agitators is infinitely small, whilst the number of good citizens is infinitely great. God grant that they do not disagree amongst themselves ! ”

Several of the passages just quoted are taken from speeches delivered by the President during an autumnal visit to the Departments, in the course of which he received convincing proofs that the Socialist propagandists had made but little way in corrupting the minds of the people at large. Gratitude, esteem, and affection spoke in the addresses which poured in from all classes, and effectually rebuked the ebullitions of factious malignity. But whilst the people were thus pronouncing, gentlemen calling themselves Legitimists were flocking to Wiesbaden, where the Comte de Chambord was holding a little court, and there they enacted quite a “ demonstration ” of re-actionary predilections. The excursions to Wiesbaden by one section of Bourbonite intriguers, the trips to Claremont by the other section, were not without object. And the President took care to make known that *his* journeys through France, and face-to-face communications with the people, had likewise an object :—

“ My object, said he, “ in these journeys, is to become acquainted with the populations, to place myself in direct communication with their real organs, and to

learn thoroughly their wishes and their interests. Religion and family are, with authority and order, the bases of all durable society. The constant object of my efforts is to consolidate these essential elements of the happiness and prosperity of the country."

In fact, these meetings, and the interchanges of sentiment to which they led, caused the President and the people of France to "understand each other better" than they had previously done. The name which he bore, the principles which he had enunciated in his published writings, the trials which he had gone through in vindicating them, had created a prestige which was elevated and strengthened by these personal meetings. The nation began to value him more than ever for himself. The more they knew and saw of him, the more this feeling grew and spread, as was afterwards well manifested by the successively augmenting majorities giving increase and durability to his power.

In connexion with the active and scarcely disguised intrigues of the Bourbonite partisans, the following observations were made on the course which might probably become the duty of the President :—

"The menacing attitude assumed during the last few months by the monarchical party has excited much feeling both in Paris and in the Departments. The country has a right to know what are the projects of Louis Napoleon, in the event of the Royalists, united or disunited, of the two branches, seeking to prevent the necessary prolongation of the Presidential power.

"We think we are acquainted with these projects, and will state them in a few words. \* \* His object is the re-establishment of order, confidence, and credit — in a word, to close the era of revolution. But to accomplish the mission of peace, which has been reserved for him by Providence, it is necessary that the power of the

President should have stability and duration. Louis Napoleon would not be carrying out the wishes of the 6,000,000 of his fellow-citizens, who chose him as the symbol of the ideas of order and progress inaugurated in 1789, if he were to bow his head humbly to the Royalist coalition which now impudently agitates the country. Louis Napoleon hopes, then, that when the moment shall arrive for the discussion of the future condition of France—that is to say, to consolidate power definitively or to decree anarchy—the National Assembly will comprehend the duty imposed on it by circumstances, that vast responsibility which would be laid upon it in the pages of history, if it were to hesitate to vote the immediate revision of the Constitution. If the National Assembly forgets that France requires, above all things, to be tranquillised, and refuses to adopt a measure imperatively required by the public safety, Louis Napoleon should not hesitate to make an appeal to the entire people, from whom he has received his mission, and that appeal would decide whether the President should adopt for his motto, *Abnegation* or *Preservation*."

The good which had already been effected by the President, notwithstanding the obstructions, insults, and vexatious opposition which met him at every step, was thus referred to :—

" Whether there exist Bonapartists or not, we know not ; but we do know that there are men who wish for peace, for security, and for order, and who accept these blessings with gratitude, from whatever government or whatever man will assure them ;—we do know that in eighteen months the government of the President has stifled faction [?] at home and raised the name and honour of France abroad. We do know that whatever demand for labour, whatever confidence, whatever pros-

perity exist at present, are due to him ; we do know that in France there are millions of men in whom, especially since the last eighteen months, the name of Napoleon inspires ideas of liberty, of public order, of religion, of property, of family. Such men will repeat that name in every one's hearing. Let one be found more deserving, more popular, and more glorious."

To some words in the above passage, an exception might have been taken. No doubt the courage and honesty of Louis Napoleon had already conferred important services on the country. But on one point the writer was too sanguine. The President had *not* stifled faction, though by his energy and fortitude he had succeeded so far in keeping it in check. How long he could maintain that check remained to be seen. He had hitherto preserved order ; but the fearful contemplation of what would happen should the reins of government either be wrenched violently from him, or drop passively from his hands, disturbed the minds of those who recognised in a stable and pacific government—a government cultivating substantial interests to the exclusion of chimeras—the only hope for the salvation of France. A conviction of the absolute necessity, for the sake of the vital interests of society, of prolonging a power which had been so nobly and beneficially exercised, was establishing itself in the minds of the people. The following passage was merely the echo of the national sentiment upon this subject :—

" The marvellous prestige which has already, on one occasion, produced in the country districts miracles of enthusiasm, can belong only to the heir of the name of Napoleon. All other candidature would inevitably fall to pieces before the indifference of the rural populations. That prestige is so powerful that, in 1852, as in 1848, the President of the Republic, whether eligible or not,

will be re-elected. The interests of the country ought consequently to induce all prudent men to rally round him. That conviction, deciding our conduct and inspiring our policy, naturally induces us to look for the revision of the Constitution and the prolongation of the President's power as the only means of ensuring to France years of calm and stability, leaving the chances of the future to each of the great parties of order. If the parties opposed to the President had another combination, of a practical character, to offer us, we would examine its chances of success. But these parties only hold out to us the prospect of a revolution more frightful than those which have been seen for the last sixty years,—they can only lead to the triumph of the demagogical principles, to public ruin, pillage, and incendiaryism, the scaffold, proscription, and universal misery—in fine, to the reign of the Communists. We love our country too well ever to consent to play that terrible and sanguinary game."

In fact, the impracticable conduct of the Legislature was bringing public business of every kind to a standstill, neutralising the ameliorative plans of the President, paralysing government, rendering improvement impossible. The year 1851 was destined to witness an aggravation of these symptoms of "something rotten" in the theoretical system which had been patched up with little regard for the interests of France, with little consideration for the real wants and disposition of her people. It has been seen with what difficulty order was maintained in 1849—50. In May of the last-named year, a new electoral law—drawn up by a committee, many of whose members were the leaders of the re-actionary Royalist party—had been passed. The Government hoped that this law would baffle the Socialist tactics of triple and quadruple voting. The chief clause in it

enacted that settled residence for three years, instead of only six months, as before, should be required to qualify for voting. The intention of the Government was chiefly to defeat a fraud which, it had been ascertained, was contemplated by the Socialist "managers" — viz., causing one individual to vote three or four times over in different electoral districts. But the reactionary gentlemen on the committee, versed as they were in the mysteries of electioneering manœuvres, foresaw an effect which was about the very last thing that the President would knowingly assent to. Three years' fixed residence is a far longer average than is maintained by a large proportion of the population of France; and it was soon discovered that the substantial operation of the change would be to strangle the principle of universal suffrage — to disfranchise about three millions of electors. *That* was an effect quite agreeable to the Bourbonites — restriction of the franchise was what they earnestly desired ; but nothing could be more contrary to the wish of the President, who based his authority on the widest possible expression of the national will. No sooner, therefore, had he become acquainted with the tendency of the new law than he demanded its abolition, on the ground that it deprived so large a number of Frenchmen of their electoral rights. But the "majority" in the Assembly — the coalition of Legitimists and Orleanists — rejected this demand. They would doubtless have been glad to confine the electoral area within a much narrower circle than that ordained by the law of May ; nothing, at all events, was farther from their intention than to extend it to its original proportions. They had failed to brow-beat the President, — they had failed to intimidate him, though on all possible opportunities, of course including the debates on the requisition for the expenses of re-

presentation \*, they had diligently exerted themselves with that object. Insults and calumnies were equally powerless to subdue or unnerve that brave heart, sustained by the consciousness of right, and by confidence that the right would ultimately prevail. The most frivolous pretexts were seized on as grounds of accusation against the President. Thus, the distribution of refreshments, by his orders, to troops who had gone through the fatiguing manœuvres of a lengthened review, was represented as a subtle process of bribery and corruption of the army,—the veracious

\* In reference to these pecuniary requisitions, it ought to be explained that, independently of the dignified hospitality maintained by the President, of his munificent personal encouragement of art, science, and useful industrial enterprises, and of the continual stream of charity which flowed from his palace, he had incurred numerous expenditures of a purely public character, and which ought to have been defrayed by the State treasury. For instance, during the troubles of 1848, a formidable body of brigands spread terror and desolation through some of the districts contiguous to Paris. Murder and rapine accompanied their movements. They had burnt one of the national palaces, and threatened to destroy others. A considerable body of the National Guard mustered, and the confusion of public affairs being such as to preclude any effectual assistance from Government, they scoured the country, took up their quarters, at their own expense, in the neighbourhoods most exposed to danger, and rooted out the malefactors. In this operation they incurred much sacrifice of money as well as of time; but when they applied for compensation, various difficulties were raised. The President, resolved that they should not be losers by their spirited conduct, paid them out of his own income. Calls of an analogous kind, properly belonging to the account of the State, but which, in the chaotic condition of the Assembly, it was vain to submit for liquidation in that quarter, frequently presented themselves, and were met in a liberal spirit by Louis Napoleon. Such magnanimous generosity more than once sheltered the Assembly from the opprobrium which its own conduct would have drawn upon it;—but of these matters, when the day of reckoning came, that patriotic body usually found itself oblivious.

individuals who propounded this notion not remembering, or not caring to remember, that in most countries such indulgences are usually granted on similar occasions. When he expressed an interest in subjects bearing practically, irrespective of politics, on the welfare of the country,—when he dwelt on the necessity of preserving national and private credit, of encouraging agriculture, manufactures, and commerce,—when he spoke of matters connected with the interests of the working classes, of savings banks, mutual benefit societies, caisses de rétraite,—when he recommended steps for increasing the comfort and healthfulness of the dwellings of operatives, and invited the Assembly to suspend vain brawling and co-operate with him in ameliorative measures, it was forthwith proclaimed that he wanted to “seduce” the people. Things were really coming to that “deadlock” alluded to in one of the above-quoted passages. And it could not be said that the attitude of General Changarnier, the commander of the Army of Paris, tended to lessen the difficulty. That officer had rendered some service by the judiciousness of his arrangements for thwarting incipient émeutes, and he had received from the head of the State marks of distinction quite equivalent to his merits. Unfortunately for his own reputation, he did not bear his honours modestly. He assumed a position much overstepping the line of his duties, and indicating a sort of *imperium in imperio*—an authority separate from, indeed preponderant to, the power of the Civil Executive. In this conduct he was countenanced by the factions, each of which, perhaps, hoped to see him, at some crisis, drawing his sword in support of its particular views. The general impression was, however, that his leanings were towards the restoration of the line of Charles the Tenth. The partisans of that dynasty began

to hail him as their champion. He had contrived to persuade a large proportion of the public — and quite probably had persuaded himself — that the Army of Paris was entirely devoted to him. The forbearance of the President might have contributed to confirm him in this idea, the fallacy of which was to be demonstrated as soon as the General had carried things so far as to become seriously mischievous, and to render his peremptory dismissal expedient. The General conceived himself to be the “man of the situation,” — a little time yet, and, to his profound mortification, he was to figure in the character of a man *out* of a situation.

## CHAP. III.

ANOMALOUS STATE OF PARTIES IN 1851.—THE CHANGARNIER BUBBLE EXPLODED.—THE “FUSION” NEGOTIATIONS BETWEEN THE DOURBONITES.—INEFFICIENCY OF THE CONSTITUTION.—NATIONAL DEMAND FOR ITS REVISION; AND FOR THE ABOLITION OF THE ELECTORAL DISFRANCHISEMENT LAW.—CORDIAL SYMPATHY BETWEEN THE PRESIDENT AND THE PEOPLE.—AUDACITY OF THE ANTI-SOCIAL FACTION.—IMMINENCE OF ANARCHY AND BLOOD.

EARLY in 1851 the systematic opposition to every movement of the Executive, whether such movement took the shape of a proposal for carrying on or facilitating public works, for improvements in the practical administration of the law, or what not, became more open and insulting than ever. The business of the country was brought almost to a stand-still, in order that factions in the Legislature might have time to fight out their particular quarrels, and in the intervals to concert with each other plans for annoying and undermining him who was the object of dislike to all and each of them. The “attitude” of General Changarnier became more demonstrative than ever. At the head of a powerful army, he was regarded by many, and he appeared to regard himself, as paramount to every other person in the State. He did not conceal his antagonism to the President. Ministerial crises occurred. The President endeavoured, by selections independent of party, to constitute a Ministry through which public business could be carried on. In vain. The Assembly appeared bent on one line of policy, and no other; and that was, to prevent anything being done—to put a stop to every project of improvement,—its one policy, in short, was

that of impracticability ; and it was to the power and influence of Changarnier that it looked for support in carrying out this reckless game. In fact, the object of the majority in that body was to effect the restoration of one or the other of the fated Bourbon race, and Changarnier imagined that he was to figure in the operation as the Monk of English history.

But there was a master mind—a resolute heart—which remained unperplexed and unappalled by these intrigues. At several interviews which the President had with members of the various parties, he declared himself prepared to forego every minor consideration, in order to create a good understanding with the Assembly, but that on one point he was determined—viz., the dismissal of General Changarnier, a man who had shown himself willing to play into the hands of faction against the interests of the public service. “I am,” said the President, “prepared to form a Ministry in the sense of the majority ; I will do everything but one, and that is, to sacrifice the right which I possess to revoke (dismiss) a subordinate.” On this point he remained inflexible. How the public pulse beat in reference to this attitude is indicated by the circumstance that “When the determination to dismiss General Changarnier was rumoured about, the funds immediately rose. The intelligence of this fact was communicated in the Chamber to Changarnier ;—and the countenance of the General, who had previously been in high spirits, began to fall.”

Bold as the General was, he found that he had to deal with one as bold as himself, and more wisely so. Folks had talked about the President not daring to take so resolute a measure as that of dismissing the redoubtable General,—the General himself held up a standing challenge. Well, the President took up the challenge,—

he went the whole length of what he was dared to. The calm, silent, modest man, proved more than a match for perfidy, intrigue, and insolence combined; and the General, who was to have done as he pleased with the Government of France, was fain to take his dismissal with a quietude which astonished those who had expected such wondrous things from him.

Before resorting to this step, the President had given proof that it was not for his own purposes that he insisted on the displacement of this dangerous person. He had declared his willingness that the command of the Army of Paris should be divided amongst several generals, or even that it should be entrusted to General Cavaignac, but that under no circumstances, come what could, would he consent that an officer should retain the command who made use of that command to carry on a warfare against the Executive.

An observer of these events, by no means friendly to the President, was candid enough on this occasion to acknowledge that

"All right-thinking people must admit that the President was quite right to dismiss an officer who was set up as a rival, and as a greater man than himself."

As a greater man, indeed! Changarnier had, in truth, accepted the position of a medium through the instrumentality of which France was to be brought back to the point from which she had started in 1830. I have no desire to impugn the General's motives,—I have nothing to do with them. What I affirm is, that the Legitimists, and to some extent the Orleanists,—forming a majority in the Assembly, and always plotting the restoration of the Bourbons,—looked to the retention by Changarnier of the chief military command at Paris as a tower of hope and strength,—as

a pledge that when the “opportunity” should come, which they were determined to create—when, by obstructing, maligning, opposing, and every other sinister artifice, they should have rendered the President’s government as inefficient, unfortunate, and unpopular as possible—they would have at hand a support so powerful as to ensure the success of any attempt they might set on foot.

It must be remembered that negotiations were all this time going on for the “fusion” of the interests of the two Bourbon branches. The communications between them and their adherents were notorious. So long as Changarnier retained his post, the policy of the two Royalist parties had been to support the Executive, so far as to keep the “Reds” and Levellers in check,—to harass, annoy, humiliate, and degrade it, but still to give it so much support as to preclude an explosion until a convenient season.

The sagacious foresight of the President postponed that convenient season *sine die*. It discomfited the tactics of intrigue. The wrath of the party of “order,” as the two Royalist factions presumed to call themselves, knew no bounds. It has been affirmed that that of the Legitimists—the adherents of the Count de Chambord—was fiercest.

“It is evident (observes a contemporary writer, every one of whose opinions I by no means subscribe) that Changarnier was all along the general of the Legitimists. The speech of M. Berryer avows as much, and is considered, both by Bonapartists and Republicans, as a declaration of war. For three years, since the Revolution of February, the Legitimist party had disappeared as a political party. It had veiled its altar, folded up its colours; postponed to other times the vindication of its old right: confounded in the ranks of the reaction,

it had co-operated, by its votes in the Assembly, in that work of resistance which, by ruse or force, has taken back from the democracy all the advantages which the latter had wrested from the monarchy. It is the common thought of self-preservation which has been the cementing bond of the majority. Born from fear, the majority necessarily ended in violence. The Orleanists and the Legitimists, terrified at the same danger, fought together against the Republic, which appeared to them to be the forerunner of Socialism. \* \* The Legitimists and Orleanists formed together what is called the party of order—that is, a party without principles, without character, without object—which could not propose anything, found anything, organise anything. The presence of the Count de Chambord near the frontiers of France, the Legitimists at Wiesbaden, the Orleanists at Claremont, have proved that this neutral situation of the majority reached its term, and that a new situation was about to commence. M. Berryer's speech was only the parliamentary declaration of a political act already executed. After that speech, no illusion is possible. The white flag has been raised from the dust in which it had fallen: it now floats in the ranks of the majority. In a word, the Legitimist party has ceased to be one of the divisions of what is called the party of order. It is a hostile camp. La Vendée has risen in the parliament."

Looking back at what then occurred, and at the transactions of the two years preceding, it is difficult to suggest a reasonable doubt that there was a specific design to overthrow the President before the completion of his appointed time of office. The opportunity, the convenient season, for plunging all things into confusion, and crushing national opinion through the power wielded by Changarnier—this seems, accord-

ing to the most logical interpretation of the events of the period, to have formed the hope of the Royalists. In the speech of M. Berryer, alluded to in the passage just quoted, that gentleman had boldly avowed his visit to Wiesbaden to consult with his master the Count de Chambord, declared that he was a Legitimist, as he had been for fifty-eight years, and should continue while he lived; and made marked allusions to the existence of a person whose presence on the soil of France would soon put down popular manifestations. For these expressions he was brought to task by General Cavaignac. On the occasion in question—which was one of the eternally recurring motions for defeating every Ministry formed by the President, and, to use the words of an English journalist, “utterly stopping all the wheels of government,”—M. de Lamartine made some creditable observations in depreciation of the factious proceedings which—rendering public confidence, industrial development, and social tranquillity and progress impossible—“were driving the country to ruin.” But the workings of faction did not cease. Repeatedly the President endeavoured to conciliate the obstructives, calling around him men whose position gave him some hope that they might be able to aid him in carrying on at least the ordinary business of administration. But nothing could conciliate men pre-resolved not to be conciliated—whose policy was that of discord and confusion, not of progress and peace. Every description of insulting demonstration was resorted to. “Committees of Safety” were got up by parties whose own conduct was the greatest enemy to public safety; laws were proposed for vesting the Assembly with extraordinary military powers, and constituting sundry hypothetical acts “treason” on the part of the President.

“We cannot,” observes an English journalist, (whom

I purposely quote as being anything rather than friendly to the President,) "we cannot be blind to the facts which are passing before our eyes, and which prove to us most clearly that the various opponents of his government are putting themselves most egregiously in the wrong at every turn, and that by contrast the forbearance and moderation of the majority shine out most conspicuously."

It was not merely on such questions as that of pecuniary supplies, partly personal to the President, that the system of chronic annoyance was maintained. The most obvious and necessary measures of public business were furiously opposed, and no pretext was neglected on which insult and calumny could be founded. This system proceeded so far that attempts were actually made, and were more than once near succeeding, to break faith with railway companies and other establishments engaged in works of public utility, and to which the State had entered into solemn pledges with respect to pecuniary advances.

Having alluded to the subject of pecuniary requisitions proceeding personally from the head of the Executive, it may be expedient to mention an incident illustrative of the real tone of public feeling in France. The bill referred to having been rejected, a national subscription to make up the sum required was proposed. The public, indignant and disgusted with the conduct of the factions in the Assembly, were willing to mark their sentiments, and, if the plan had been carried out, the money subscribed would have far exceeded the sum mentioned in the bill. The President, however, declined this demonstration of national sympathy, stating his reasons in the following letter, which appeared in the "*Moniteur*":—

"The President of the Republic is deeply touched by

the efforts made to organise a national subscription in respect of the rejection of the bill on the expenses of representation, which has just taken place, and he thanks all those who have entertained this thought, which is an imposing manifestation of sympathy and approbation for the conduct of the President. But he deems it his duty to sacrifice any personal consideration to the repose of the country. He knows that the people render him justice, and that is sufficient for him. The President, therefore, declines any subscription, however spontaneous and national its character may be."

So profoundly concerned was he to avoid all occasions of disturbance or collision, and to preclude the excitement of passionate emotions, that whilst faction was raging with most blatant demonstration, he would not, for any object directly or indirectly personal to himself, permit the incurral of even so much of "ferment" as might be elicited by the organising of a subscription. He was, in short, as has been before observed, working harder than any man in France to procure a fair trial for the Republic—to render it a possibility—to save it from premature fall. With this object in view, he adopted every step of conciliation which honour and self-respect permitted, whilst, on the other hand, the Assembly, affecting to represent the people, acted in diametrical opposition to this policy.

It was in view of this perilous state of affairs, that the President delivered, in Dijon, in the month of June, an address, of which the following is the purport. The occasion was the opening of a new line of railway—one of that class of "celebrations" which, identified as they were with the practical interest of the country, he most loved to honour:—

" Gentlemen, I wish that those persons who have doubts as to the future could have accompanied me in

my journey through the populations of the Yonne and the Côte d'Or ; they would have been able to have judged for themselves as to the true state of public opinion. They would have seen that neither the intrigues, nor the attacks, nor the passionate discussions of parties are in harmony with the sentiments and condition of the country. France neither desires the return of the *ancien régime*, under whatever form it may disguise itself, nor the trial of impracticable and Utopian schemes. It is because I am the most natural enemy of both one and the other, that France has placed confidence in me. If this were not the case, how could we explain the affecting sympathy of the people towards me, which resists the most adverse assertions, and which acquits me of being the cause of their sufferings. If my government has not been able to realise all the ameliorations which it had in view, we must attribute the failure to the manœuvres of the factions who paralyse the good intentions of assemblies as well as of governments most devoted to the public welfare. It is because you have thus comprehended the state of the question, that I have met, in patriotic Burgundy, a reception which is for me an approbation and an encouragement. I take advantage of this banquet, as of a tribune, to lay my heart before my fellow-citizens. A new phasis has commenced in our political life. From one end of the country to the other, petitions are being signed for the revision of the Constitution. I await, with confidence, the manifestations of the country."

These words indicate the strong desire of the speaker to encourage, by language of respect and courtesy, any lingering feeling of magnanimity and love of country which, he could yet hope, existed amongst the members of the body of which he was speaking. They were the expressions of hope against hope, proceeding

to the utter limits of candour. But such hope as did remain was soon to be dissipated.

There was a particular passage in this speech which was, at the time, the subject of much dispute. It was variously reported and construed. It may be satisfactory to the reader to give the two versions most widely circulated. The first is taken from the report published in the "Moniteur":—

"Since I have been in power, I have proved, I think, how much, in presence of the great interests of society, I have made an abstraction of all that affects myself personally. Neither the most unmerited attacks, nor the excitements of the impatient, have made me desist from my duty. But if, one day, France, thinking that no one has a right to dispose of her destiny without consultation, should make an appeal to my patriotism to protect her against factions, then will I place at her service my energy and my courage, as I have hitherto given my calm and my patience. Believe me, gentlemen, France shall never perish in my hands."

The passage, as rendered in other quarters, differed materially from this, though the tendency and principle in both interpretations are identical. The President is represented as pointing directly to the factions by which he was thwarted and prevented from effecting many useful objects which he contemplated.

The strong probability is, that the above is the correct rendering; nevertheless, I will likewise give another, which was circulated largely in journals whose vocation was that of opposition, and opposition at that time meant conspiracy, vituperation, and slander. Supposing that it was fabricated and published for the purpose of injuring the President, it will serve to indicate the course taken by his enemies: it will serve to indicate the tone which, in their opinion, he might

have adopted when alluding to their conduct. That conduct, so far as the "majority" was concerned, was regulated by the determination of bringing the government of the Republic into disgrace, by destroying its working efficiency, by thwarting every measure which, in producing public benefit, could do credit to the Executive :—

" For three years I have been able to prevent mischief, but I have met with insurmountable obstacles in my desire to do good. If repression and punishment were in question, I received a salutary support ; but if, on the contrary, it was attempted to found anything durable, to fortify authority, to develope the institutions of credit and benevolence, to recompense the old remains of our glorious armies, to finish promptly our great lines of railway, and finally, to give to that democracy which is overflowing a check and an object, I found only inertness and resistance. The greater number of the projects announced in my manifestoes have, in spite of me, remained without result."

Whether or not these words were ever uttered by the Prince President, certain it is that he might have used them without violating truth. They describe an actual state of things ; they describe the course that had been pursued towards him by persons who, endowed with certain privileges as representatives of the nation, used those privileges as means for effecting sinister designs. On his accession to the Presidency he had at once applied himself to objects which had always been present to his mind, and which form prominent topics in his writings—the institution and completion of useful public works ; the development of the natural resources of France, by giving facilities to industrial enterprise ; the encouragement of arts, manufactures, and all branches of wealth-creating productiveness. He had hoped, notwithstanding

standing the indecent scenes which had taken place in the National Assembly, that its successor, the "Constituent Assembly," would have exhibited more grace and considerateness; that he should have succeeded in obtaining some degree of honest co-operation in plans which, whatever might be the ruling power in France, must tend to the welfare of the community at large. He had not yet sounded the profound depths of recklessness which may be reached by a body composed of numerous hostile factions, each warring for a different purpose from all the others, but all alike warring against *one* purpose,—that which would be fatal to them—viz., the consolidation of society, the restoration of public confidence, the existence of a vigorous and efficient Executive, possessing strength sufficient to carry out salutary plans, and so to govern as to produce contentment, satisfaction, and prosperity. He had yet to learn that the production of a state of affairs the very contrary of this was what substantially constituted the policy of those with whom he had to deal. It took him three years to learn this, but he did learn it at last. He had in the interval exhausted every resource of honest ingenuity, of conciliation, of energetic remonstrance, of appeal and entreaty, to prevail upon the leading party-men, not to give up their party biases — *that* was hopeless — but to work with him in a national spirit upon matters having nothing to do with party. Futile efforts! Every practical measure of good accomplished, everything furnishing cause for tranquillity and satisfaction, was considered by them as a card turned against themselves. What they sought was to excite such a revulsion of popular feeling as might facilitate a counter-revolution in favour of the Bourbon family.

It took some time to ascertain the full extent of this political turpitude. Pending the interval of test and

experiment, the Prince President's demeanour had been marked by a respectful moderation which his enemies, and the enemies of France, did not understand. Clever people! They mistook for stolidity, or timidity, that which was the result of conscientious forbearance, and of a wise observance of time and circumstance. It may be regarded as certain, that many months had not elapsed before he became aware, from experience, of the utter inadequacy of the "Constitution" of 1848 to enable an Executive inspired by wishes for the public good to deal with the elements of which the Assembly was composed. It was, in fact, impossible that he should not discover this; the painful fact was forced upon his notice at every turn, on every occasion when he sought to carry out the higher functions of his position. Nor could he fail to discern, and step by step to experience, that his hopes of working in harmony with the Assembly were doomed to disappointment: that that body was not to be conciliated, because the object of its preponderating component was discord, not peace; confusion, not stability or permanency; weakness and ignominy, not strength and dignity, in the Executive machinery. Still he felt it to be his duty to persist, whilst there lingered any vestige of hope, in the endeavour to reconcile conflicting elements, and to administer the government according to the theories of the Constitution as he found it.

Men of sharpness and smartness, clever men, crafty men, but men who were his inferiors in length of foresight, in humanity, in courage, in sagacity—factious men of all shades, imagined all the while that they were terrifying or imposing on him; that his moderation was the effect of their clever tactics, of their combinations, their concert with Changarnier, their power, their audacity. Profound was their mistake. Not a

move did they make but his eye was upon it; not a device did they weave that his perspicacity did not penetrate. This was especially the case during the year 1851, when, having, as they imagined, completed the web of difficulties which they had woven for him, they began to organise measures for hastening the consummation of their object.

One of the "demonstrations" on the part of the Bourbon partisans in 1851 was setting up the name of the Prince de Joinville as candidate for the Presidency. According to the law then in operation, the election was to take place in 1852, but it was considered advisable to "air" the subject betimes, haply to beget such a feeling as might enable the partisans to dispense with an election altogether, and leave the Legitimists and Orleanists to settle between themselves, without regard for the people, which branch of the family should occupy the throne vacated by Louis Philippe. The year 1852 was, in short, to be a year in which several mines, all directed against the President, were to be exploded. The Bourbonists, in both their sections, were to be "fused;" many gentlemen of the Assembly were continually on the road on business relating to this touching reconciliation of family interests; and the whole power of the family forces was to be brought into play, to follow out any advantage which the turmoil of agitation might cast up. The Socialists and Levellers, or "Red" party, had pretty plainly intimated that *their* intention was neither more nor less than the disruption of all the bonds of society: that, as they could hope for nothing by taking the voice of the nation at large, they would try to plunge everything into blood and anarchy, and effectuate the meaning of their long-avowed principle that property meant robbery.

## CHAP. IV.

TACTICS OF THE ORLEANISTS.—THE “PERFIDE ALBION” CRY.—DIGNIFIED ATTITUDE OF THE PRESIDENT.—INFATUATION OF THE ROYALIST PARTISANS.—REACTIONARY CONSULTATIONS AT WIESBADEN AND CLAREMONT.

SUCH was the situation of things in 1851. As one of the ingredients calculated to influence popular passions, and distract the public mind from the perception of the actual exigencies at issue, the name of the Prince de Joinville was at this time brought forward as a candidate for the Presidency. It is, at least, not unlikely that this measure was resorted to rather as “a feather thrown up” than with any actual design of presenting to the nation a prince whose father had shortly before been so ignominiously expelled. True, the law of the 31st May—drawn up by a “committee” consisting chiefly of Bourbonites, and which (by a craftily-worded clause, the practical meaning of which was only afterwards discovered) swept away from the electoral lists more than 3,000,000 of Frenchmen—gave De Joinville something more nearly approaching the “shadow of a shade” of a chance than if the result were to be decided by the universal suffrage of the nation. Still, even under this modification, or rather destruction, of the only principle which could give the Constitution any binding validity, the chance would have been *only* “the shadow of a shade;” not even that, if the election were to be carried through in an orderly manner.

But whether or not it were ever seriously contemplated to wait until 1852, and then bring forward the

Prince de Joinville, the mention of his name was intended to effect an end. Here a trait again presents itself which illustrates, in a pleasing manner, the conscientious magnanimity of Napoleon the Third. One of the stratagems resorted to by his enemies was to represent him as fanatically attached to England, as ready to sacrifice the interests of France to the gratification of his partiality for this country. Because he had ever held aloof from the vulgar cry which denounced the English as hateful, perfidious, detestable in every sense—the natural enemies of France and Frenchmen; because “Perfide Albion” did not form the constant subject of his objurgation; because he was not, instead of propounding plans of moral, material, peaceful refinement, eternally broaching projects for the invasion of Great Britain and the capture of London, he was held up, not merely as friendly to our country, but as being, in virtue of such friendliness, necessarily hostile to his own.

Now, it will always be remembered as a highly honourable trait in the character of Napoleon the Third, that he did not resort to what might be termed the counter-irritative process in answer to these ribaldries; that, feeling that the true interests of France and England consisted in maintaining terms of mutual friendliness and respect, he did not conceal or disown these sentiments in deference to a vulgar clamour; that he did not descend to the base and loathsome artifices which fan delusions and evil passions, for the immediate objects of sordid ambition.

And observe: whilst the President was thus described as the enemy of France, because he was not incessantly raising the watchword of “War to the knife with England,” the Prince de Joinville was brought forward —on what “cry”?—why, on that of hatred to England;

war with Perfide Albion! “Mort aux Anglais!” was the cry, not ostentatiously set up by the leading supporters of the house of Louis Philippe, but initiated and encouraged, with their cognizance and approval, by the lower class of agents moving about amongst the populace.

Prince de Joinville had antecedents to give countenance to this cry; and it was on the strength of these antecedents that his name was put forward in preference to those of the other sons of Louis Philippe. And of what nature were these antecedents? What was the nature of the preferential claim set up for deJoinville—rather, for instance, than for his elder brother? Why, a something which meant neither more nor less than hostility to England—willingness and eagerness to invade our soil. It was his pamphleteering plans and proposals in reference to that subject, that first brought the Prince's name prominently before the public; it was the recollection of these that was recalled, in order to captivate the fancy of all the restless spirits in France, and to bring them over to measures favouring the views of the Orleanists. The agents of that party were not scrupulous as to the quality of their appeals. They were aware that amongst the Parisian populace there was a section with which hatred to England was a sort of political creed—a section of persons still strongly imbued with the prejudices of old times. These were industriously reminded of the bellicose “demonstrations” made by Prince de Joinville towards the close of his father's reign. What mattered it that the plans of the Orleanist Prince were mere *fantaisies*, drawn up on paper, and never meant to be realised? Under the circumstances, they were thought likely to create “an effect;”—and this effect, it was supposed, might be added to by contrast-

ing the anti-British impulses of Prince de Joinville with the quite different tone which had been generally adopted by the Prince President—with the amicable feelings indicated by him—with his refusal to indulge restless, angry, and vindictive passions in prospects and promises of war, invasion, plunder of London, and so forth.\* But, perfect as was his knowledge of the tendency of these tactics, the President was not tempted to descend from his high moral position, or enter into a base competition for popularity, by pandering to the passions of the least intelligent and respectable orders of the populace. Whilst the possibility of the Prince de Joinville coming forward as a candidate for the office of President was seriously canvassed as a thing not wholly out of the general chapter of contingencies, innuumerable conjectures, on the consequences that would result from his success, appeared in the continental and English newspapers. A well-known French journalist, after intimating that, as far as the writer was personally concerned, he had no particular reason to feel grateful to the President, put the case as follows :

“ None of my labours have ever gained me [from Louis Napoleon] one of those affable and obliging expressions which the calculated gratitude of princes knows how to find, and which satisfy disinterestedness. But, in truth, is it allowable to think of complaints, of sympathies, and of personal preferences in face of the dangers of 1852 ? The revision [of the Constitution] will certainly not be voted by three-

\* It is worth while to observe, that General Changarnier, whose displacement, by a resolute and courageous act of the President, caused such anger and dismay in the Royalist ranks, is the officer of whom it has been said, that “ his favourite idea is, that he could win immortality by invading England and destroying London.”

fourths of the National Assembly. The Montagnards and Socialists give us notice that, in 1852, the question will no longer be to overthrow a government, but society and civilisation, and to set the whole of European society in a blaze. Louis Napoleon Bonaparte, in power for nearly three years, has conducted himself as a clever, sensible, and modest prince, and as an honest man ; and, be it well known, it is before this firm and honourable attitude that the Demagogues and Socialists have thought it prudent to decree a truce for society until 1852 ; that is to say, until the power of the present President shall expire. It is a great honour for Prince Louis Bonaparte that the impatient have not dared to give him battle. He has frequently been reproached for not having gained the battles of Marengo, Austerlitz, and Wagram, but the factions have feared and respected him as though he had been the conqueror on those glorious days."

The Socialists did, in fact, look forward to the approach of the Presidential election in 1852, as the signal for a general outburst against the indispensable institutions of society, as a declaration of war against property and capital — as the commencement of scenes of massacre and destruction in which, as is truly observed by the writer, the "question would no longer be to overthrow a government, but society and civilisation, and set the whole of European society in a blaze." With funereal infatuation, the Bourbonists in the Assembly—shutting their eyes to this peril, intent only on their narrow and selfish schemes—persisted in the policy of obstruction and annoyance ; and it was fast becoming evident that either the President must take some step to rescue society from its jeopardy, or surrender his country to horrors more fearful, because more deliberately planned, than those through which she had passed in

the worst period of the Reign of Terror. The Legitimists and Orleanists in the Chamber — the boasted “majority” — used their power for purposes tending to consequences which they themselves did not understand, and which it was fortunate that there was a mind — bold, wise, honest, and energetic — capable of withstanding.

The Constitution of 1848 had been tried and found wanting : it possessed no solidity, no compactness : it so confounded the legislative, deliberative, and executive powers, as to impair the efficiency of all and each. By subjecting Government to the caprices of factions it rendered utterly impossible that consistency and harmony of action without which it was vain to expect that, in a country violently agitated as France had been, the work of peaceful improvement — always dependent on security and confidence — could progress. Everything was unsettled—everything was precarious ; no one knew what the morrow would bring about ; and looming darkly and ominously, casting into still darker shadow this doubt, and fear, and gloom, loured the cloud of murderous Socialism, awaiting the advent of 1852, to throw all things into anarchy.

From all parts of the country, petitions from hundreds of thousands, rather from millions of people—for they expressed the voice of the whole nation — poured in for the alteration of this flimsy, perilous, unreliable Constitution ;—the universal people protested against it, and demanded the removal of the restrictions which rendered impossible a government powerful to carry out salutary objects. The President was desirous that these national demands should be complied with : in such compliance he recognised the most certain means of saving France from the enemies whom she harboured in her bosom; and he was equally anxious that the law of May, 1850,

—a law which had cut away more than one-third of the whole electoral constituency of the country, and which he had himself been induced to assent to under misconception of the tendency of its working—should be repealed, and the suffrage placed upon the broad basis of national universality. But vain were all endeavours to bring the Assembly to think and act in accordance with the dictates of reason. It was enough that the President of the Republic was known to be friendly to a given course: it was enough that he was known to desire that certain measures should be adopted. That knowledge probably sufficed to give the cue to the proceedings of a too numerous section in the Assembly. The majority requisite for affirming the question of revision could not be obtained; the national voice, expressed in countless petitions, was disregarded; law, order, and property, the whole framework of social cohesion, tottered on the verge of a precipice.

And it was in this state of affairs that councils were being holden with the Comte de Chambord by his Legitimist adherents—men taking an active part in the internal politics of France—and with the representative of the Comte de Paris by Orleanist delegates, in order to take measures having in view the restoration—that is to say, the compulsory re-imposition on France—of one or the other branch of the expelled family. Who can forget the celebrated “receptions” at Claremont, in the month of August, 1851—the comings and goings of “old friends and new friends” of the House of Orleans, with the ex-Queen mother, surrounded by the Duchess of Orleans, the Duchess of Némours, and the Duke of Némours as spokesman of the royal intentions, receiving the homage of partisans? Read the following pregnant paragraph from a journal published at the time:—

"One who is not the least eminent or the least resolute among them [the friends of the House of Orleans] determined on not allowing the occasion to rest without an explanation. It arrived on Wednesday, the 27th. The Queen [Amélie] had previously named that day for the reception of many persons who wished to pay their respects.

"M. Guizot, addressing himself to the Duc de Némours, requested to know if the Prince had any instructions to give him, for his friends or for himself, *with reference to the occurrences that might soon take place in France* — perhaps even before he should have the honour of again seeing him."

Can there be any mistake as to the meaning of such transactions as these? Can any sane human being entertain a doubt as to what they meant? I opine not. What did these gentlemen at Claremont, if they did not go there to plot that which, under the circumstances, was treason to France? No other business could they have there, making such demonstrations and putting such questions. To be sure, there was, a show of interrogatory, as to whether the Prince de Joinville, or his family in his behalf, would sanction the exhibition of his name as candidate for the Presidency; but this was a form, or "make-believe," too transparent to deceive any one. The name of that Prince could be used for no other purpose than to "stir up" such lingering embers of Orleanism as might have still survived amongst some portions of the French bourgeoisie, and to create an "opportunity" for the Comte de Paris, or for his cousin of the elder branch.

To the interrogatories humbly set forth by their adherents on this occasion, the reply of the House of Orleans was, that their policy, for the present, was that of "abstention"; to which the adherents replied

that there had already been too much of that; that a more active policy — a more demonstrative attitude — a more decisive pushing of their interests — was what was required, in consideration of the events that might occur. Then there was much talk about fusion of the family interests; and the Duke of Némours declared his belief that “the thing” for France would be the restoration of the Bourbon monarchy, but that the “fusion” which would so greatly promote that object seemed, he was sorry to say, to make but very slow progress: to which the reply was a respectful opinion that he was in error in thinking so; that never were the prospects of “fusion,” never were the hopes of “the family,” more promising, as was testified by the increasing cordiality of Legitimists and Orleanists in the Assembly.

And in truth there was room for this opinion.

“One morning” (says M. de Guerronnière) “in the month of August, if I remember well, Count Molé came triumphantly to announce, at a solemn meeting of the Council of State, that the fusion of the two royalist families was accomplished; and M. Molé was one of the privy councillors of Louis Napoleon! — And those who received the communication were the advisers of his government! — Thus Louis Napoleon was already, in the eyes of the majority, a mere sentinel, whose duty it was to guard his post until fresh ones came to take it from him. This was the part, the noble part, that was intended for the elect of the 10th of December — for the heir of the Emperor Napoleon.”<sup>\*</sup>

\* The course pursued towards M. Molé has exemplified the forbearing and forgiving disposition of the present Emperor. M. Molé was (in the words of a biographer) “recognised as the leader of the monarchical party, which sought to fuse the interests of the elder and younger branches of the House of Bourbon, and unite all the friends of kingly government for a counter-revolution.” But it never occurred

to the present Emperor, either when President or since he has attained the Imperial dignity, to keep M. Molé in imprisonment or exile. He resided in France in tranquil freedom, and died at his country seat a few months back. Would this mode of dealing have been experienced by M. Molé if—the Bourbons in either of their branches being in power—he had held the same sentiments and pursued the same course towards them that he did towards Napoleon the Third? Would not Ham or London have been his abiding place until his death? No question of it! The extent to which the Emperor has carried this policy of forbearance and forgiveness is really incredible—in fact, transgresses all the ordinary rules of political caution and prudence. Hundreds on hundreds of individuals whose proceedings, if ventured on under any other government, would have condemned them to perpetual imprisonment or exile, are now in the enjoyment of all the privileges of French citizenship. The most virulent personal and political enemies of the present Emperor have experienced his generosity in this respect. This may seem rash on his part; it may seem to indicate, on the part of Napoleon the Third, an overweening confidence in his hold on the affectionate respect of the nation. But the more magnanimous policy is often the safest as well as the boldest. It is an authenticated fact, that the Imperial generosity has converted many furious enemies into warm and admiring friends.

## CHAP. V.

FACTION IN FRANCE COMPARED WITH FACTION IN ENGLAND AND AMERICA.—THE CONTRAST DEFINED.—THE CONSTITUTION OF 1848.—CONDUCT OF THE PRESIDENT ANALYSED BY AN ENGLISHMAN.—DUTY AND OBLIGATION : THE SUBSTANCE? OR THE LETTER?

ALLUSION has been so frequently made to the “factions” in the Assembly, and that term has been interpreted so variously and so inaccurately, that a few words, defining the meaning of “faction” as it existed in France in 1851, will be in place. When, in vindication of the decisive measures taken by the President on the 2nd of December, the unmanageable violence of the factions has been mentioned, it has sometimes been answered, “Oh! there are factions in every legislative body! There are factions in the British Parliament,—there are factions in the American Congress!” True; but take the most extreme of the factions in either of these bodies, and we shall find that their designs go no farther than the effectuation of changes in details. In order to realise the position of the President, we must look a little into history. If, immediately after the American Revolution, there had appeared a numerous party in Congress, plotting and agitating against the national wish, and for the re-imposition of the yoke which had been shaken off; if leading members of this party were continually going backward and forward between Washington and London, concerting, in the latter country, with the Ministers of George the Third, the measures to be adopted for bringing about a counter-revolution;—again if, in the year 1746, an active and numerous

party in the British Legislature were enacting the same part, going over to France, taking instructions from Prince Charles Edward, and then coming back to their places in Parliament to carry out these instructions, and plot for the Stuarts; — in either of these cases, would the American or the British Executive of the day have been held to very fastidiously literal account as to the steps which it might have found it necessary, in order to avert so great a danger, and deprive the mischief brewers of the power of working out their purposed mischief? Would not the Executives of England and America have been guilty of a flagrant betrayal of duty if, in such respective circumstances, they had sacrificed the living spirit to the formal letter of codes and systems, and allowed the treason and the perfidy to take their course, and work out their ends?

Of course they would. No one doubts that they would. And the position of the present Emperor was in 1851 strictly analogous with what would have been that of the English or American Government in the cases supposed. He had to deal not with factions, such as they are now-a-days seen in England, or even in America, but with factions whose notorious object was that of counter-revolution, of reaction or retrogression to a yoke detested by the nation; and he had moreover to struggle with the enemies of all orderly government.

On the point of duty, as affecting the President's position at this crisis, and on the relations between the substance and the letter of his obligations, an English clergyman has made some observations which, with a few mistakes in matters of detail, contain a well-defined suggestive outline of the principle at issue: —

“ It has been said that as he had taken an oath of

allegiance to this Constitution, so was he bound to abide by it just as it was ; and that, when he was instrumental in modifying it, and a new Constitution was substituted in its place, he was at all events bound in like manner to abide by that second.

“ And this must be the subject of our next consideration. No one can have any doubt as to the sanctity of an oath — as to the necessity of adhering strictly to those obligations which are thereby contracted. There can be no question, in the mind of any straightforward man, concerning this obligation. But, at the same time, we must carefully distinguish between the letter and the spirit of a Constitution ; between the cause for which any person is raised to a particular position, and the absolute letter of the directions according to which he is to act. Now, in such circumstances, it frequently happens that a man is placed between two difficulties,— he may either adhere to the letter of that which he has promised, and thereby violate the spirit ; or adhere to the spirit, and thereby violate the letter.

“ History furnishes us with examples in which this choice of difficulties was presented to those in authority ; and we apprehend we shall find this to have been precisely the case with Napoleon the Third. If we regard his conduct as a member of the Constituent Assembly, we shall find that his usual stern silence in the Assembly was far more eloquent than, and quite as characteristic as, the noisy harangues which were frequently heard there, and the disgraceful confusion which so often prevailed in this senate of the nation. During the time that he occupied this position, it was easy for him to perceive that a Republic, such as was then established in France, would be neither beneficial to the country at home, nor honourable to the nation abroad. France was placed in a false position — the people were

imposed upon. There had been a sovereign cast out from among them, but no real and tangible government had been established to replace that sovereign. There was a great deal of talk about government—many intrigues,—many attempts from time to time to raise, first one and then another, into a position of power; but of the actual and potential government whereby a nation is united at home and becomes respected abroad —of this, there was nothing whatever."

The Republic alluded to by the writer as that "then established in France" was the discordant medley existing before the election of a President—during the period, in short, following the insurrection of June—when General Cavaignac, under the title of President of the Council, exercised a sort of military dictatorship, under which nothing was free save the scandalous contentions of the factions. The very act of proceeding to the election of a President of a Republic expressed the universal acknowledgment that the former counterfeit of a Republic could not stand. In its reconstruction under a Presidency, it might — supposing that honesty and prudence had prevailed sufficiently to put an end to desperate conspiracies against it — in time have been moulded into something like cohesive strength. This it was that the President endeavoured, faithfully and loyally, to effect. This it was that the virulent passions of the period rendered it humanly impossible to accomplish.

To proceed with the quotation:—

"And even during the time that he held the office of President of the Republic he could not fail to perceive that the whole Republic itself was, to use the expressive words of one of our own writers, 'a mere sham'; that there was nothing belonging to it that had the elements of permanency. The unworthiness of the intrigues

perpetually brought before his eyes ; the determination on the part of the exiles to whom we have already alluded,—who had constituted themselves exiles, as they would have the world believe, for liberty's sake — to throw themselves, so far as it was possible for them to do so, into the stream of events in France, in order that they might, by pampering the lowest passions of the populace, obtain temporary power, and make use of that power to enrich and aggrandise themselves, knowing that if there were such a thing as a democratic and social Republic they alone could be its representatives,—we repeat that, seeing all these things, Louis Napoleon could hardly have failed to perceive, and did not fail to perceive, that the Republic contained no elements of permanency ; that it was as contrary to the genius of the French people as it was in contrariety to their wishes. He felt, moreover, that even if this Republic were to have a fair chance, if it were desirable to let the world see whether pure Republicanism could fairly be tried in that country, at all events it must be tried upon a much more substantial basis than that upon which it was at present raised.

“ Here, then, it is that we approach a period in his life on account of which the greatest possible obloquy has been thrown upon him,—we approach the consideration of what has been called the *Coup-d'Etat*. It began to be clear to him that his own power as President was far from being sufficient to restrain the many discordant elements of which the then Government was composed : he felt that it would be actually impossible for him to reach peaceably the end of his Presidency ; whilst so great was the disunion in the Assembly, and so many were the interests represented in it, that whenever that period approached, there would inevitably be another émeute, or another revolution, and one, probably,

more destructive than that which had already occurred. Thus, then, Louis Napoleon felt himself obliged,—obliged by the interests of France, obliged by the oath which he had taken to secure those interests, and to adapt his conduct to the benefit of the country,—we say he was thus compelled to take some steps whereby the permanency of the government should be established.

" Now the question is, whether in so doing he was adhering to duty or not ? It is one thing to say that he had taken an oath of allegiance to a certain form of government \* ; but if, on the other hand, he felt that by adhering to that form he should be the means of bringing an inconceivable amount of discord, confusion, and bloodshed on the country that he governed, then, we allege, he was, as governor of that country, enjoined, by every consideration of duty, in the face of all dangers, to exercise his power, to take the weapons out of the hands which would make so destructive a use of them, and to put down, so far as he possibly could, the elements

\* The fact is, the oath was taken substantially to the government willed by the nation. The form of the Constitution was altogether subservient to the national will. The only thing that could give or continue to give it solidity was the universal suffrage of the people. It was the Assembly itself that did all that in it lay to destroy every particle of vitality and binding force in that Constitution, by persisting in adherence to a law sweeping away half the constituencies of France, after the effect of that enactment had been made clear, and thus violating the very principle on which the members of that body had been returned. That law cut away the whole foundation on which the Constitution rested. If another Presidential election had taken place pending its existence, it would have been in reality an unconstitutional election. The President himself having discovered the effect of the law, had, long before the *Coup-d'État*, sought that it should be repealed, so that any future election should take place in accordance with the principle, that the people of France, not merely a portion of the people, should decide the result. The Assembly refused compliance. It shrank from putting the issue upon the people of France. The President did not.

of disturbance, the rousing of which would bring such misfortunes and such destruction on the country. \* \* Louis Napoleon appears to have taken into his consideration the cause for which he had been elected to supreme power. He did not so much consider what were the terms on which he had promised to rule, as what was the spirit in which he was obliged to govern. Actuated, then, by this principle, he decided that the line of conduct which was most beneficial to France, that which would tend to secure quiet at home and respect abroad, which would check bloodshed, and promote the harmony of a good and sound government,—that this would become his duty. And accordingly he decided that the movers of sedition, the disturbers of the Republic, should, at whatever cost, have the arms taken out of their hands, and the power of doing mischief withdrawn from them. For this purpose, then, it was necessary for him to take strong measures. It would not do for him simply to make a declaration that he intended to keep the rule in his own hands; nor would it be sufficient for him merely to say that he conceived it necessary that those principles on which the Government had been established required some modification; but it was needful for him at once to act in so vigorous and unmistakable a way as to prevent strong men, with strong intentions, from carrying those intentions into effect. For that purpose it became essential that he should act upon the army. Now, when we recollect that the army in Paris was a great army, commanded by men of great military experience, not merely generals who had been occupied with reviews and parades, but who had seen battles, and gained their laurels in the hard-fought fields of Africa—such as Changarnier, Leflo, Lamoricière, and others; that these men were all known to be opposed to the President; that

they were determined men, and many of them very unscrupulous ; that they had made up their minds what to do, and how to do it,—then it evidently became necessary that the man who was determined to repress elements like these should do so with a strong hand.”\*

\* M. Guerronnière gives, in his quaint, dramatic manner, a sketch of the President, hemmed in between the factions :—

“ Previous to beginning the contest with Louis Napoleon Bonaparte, it was necessary to deprive him of his vital force, that in which he might one day refresh himself for the combat, by identifying himself with the people,—in a word, to abolish universal suffrage. We know how the law of the 31st of May had been got up. I desire neither to extenuate, nor to ascribe to the wrong party, the responsibility of this act. Was the law of the 31st of May conceived in the councils of the Executive, or in the consultations of the legislative power ? Was it this or that man who proposed it, or drew it up ? A puerile question indeed, and one I never could find an answer to. It is certain that this law was made against the President ; for not only did it suppress one-half of those electors who had voted for him once, and would again have done the same, but it shut the door against the revision of the Constitution, and consequently against the constitutional re-election of the President. Whether Louis Napoleon was a free agent, or was forced, the result in this case is the same. This result was, to make the President the prisoner of the majority. M. Thiers, whose sagacity none can deny, understood it so. \*\* Behold how the attitude of parties changed from the hour this fatal act was decreed. They believed that Samson was no longer to be feared ; Delilah had cut off his hair ; and the careless man did not even sleep, for he himself had put the scissors into the hands of the perfidious enchantress. Parties then began to stir, and intrigues to be woven ; hopes to revive. Private claims are put in. The modest subsidy required by the President had all but sustained the insulting refusal it met with the year after. The intervention of General Changarnier became necessary to prevent the insult of a refusal, by making the grant still more insulting. A few days after, the Assembly pro-rogued itself. A permanent commission was nominated ; it was purposely composed of names the most avowedly hostile. The friends of the Comte de Chambord, and the partisans of the Comte de Paris, are commissioned to watch over the Republic and the Constitution. These gentlemen set out quietly for Wiesbaden and Claremont, to do honour to the Royalties they sympathise with.”

Anticipating the general current of this review, I will here quote a few apposite remarks, respecting the feeling with which the President's conduct was regarded by the people of France—as that feeling was indicated a year afterwards by the result of the reference to the nation on the question of restoring the Empire:—

“ Now if there had been anything contrary to the desire of the French people in this [the measure of the 2nd of December]—if they had felt as a nation that they had been unfairly treated, a time soon came when they had an opportunity of expressing their opinion. A republic, after all, was a republic; and every day convinced Europe, as well as it convinced both France and the President, that a republic, under any form, was not that under which France could arrive at the greatest height of prosperity; and, accordingly, instead of continuing President of the Republic, and allowing the ten allotted years to elapse in the enjoyment of his power, he threw himself again upon the people. Now, it would be absurd if a President who merely represented a party, were to say to the American people, ‘ I feel that I am not satisfied; I feel that I am not fairly dealt by; I am determined therefore to come to you and appeal to you to give me your votes again; I will throw myself once more upon popular suffrage;’—he would know that popular feeling was against him, and that he would certainly be rejected; whereas a popular President might do so with perfect success, and with the certainty of being re-elected by the same people.

“ Thus, then, the idea of a republic being that the President is the representative of the people at large, nothing can be more constitutional, according to that definition of it, than that the President should say to them, ‘ I am dissatisfied with the state of affairs, and I believe

that you are so too. I desire to govern but through your will, and according to your desires. Elect me, if you choose; and, if you do so, then let me have such power as you shall determine beforehand. This is not an intrigue confined within a Chamber of Representatives, nor has it anything to do with a party government, but it is an appeal to a great people:—I am dissatisfied; I believe you are the same. Let us commune, as it were, face to face. I will tell you what are my wishes,—tell me if those desires are in accordance with yours?’—Acting thus, then, according to those principles which are the root and foundation of all republican governments, Louis Napoleon said to the French nation, ‘I am dissatisfied. I thought that I could rule France satisfactorily, if I had such a government as was established at the early period of the Republic. I thought that, in the limited period of four years, I could produce beneficial results to France, and do good to the French people. I found that I was mistaken. I then obtained an extension of that power; but I find now that that form is contrary to that which I believe to be your will, and contrary to that which can enable me to achieve the results I had anticipated. I therefore again throw myself upon you, and ask you to restore France to the condition it was in under the first Empire. I believe the policy of that Empire to have been that by which alone France can reach the highest degree of prosperity. If it be your will, let it be restored. I desire not to be the ruler of a Chamber; I do not wish to represent only either the crowd of Paris, or any section of Parisian politicians; but I wish to be the representative of France. I put my hopes, my intentions, my desires, in your hands, and if it be your will that the Empire should be restored, and in my person, then my own expectation is, that France will rise to a greater

height of prosperity than she has ever yet attained, and that we shall find the whole of the nations of Europe willing to acquiesce in your decision, and much more willing to acquiesce in it than when it was supposed to be your desire that there should be a Republic in your land.'—This was, virtually, the appeal which Louis Napoleon made to the French people, and the result was that, by an almost unanimous voice, he was raised to the Empire; and if there be one man who represents the whole of the nation, Louis Napoleon may be said to be that man. As such, he assumed the reins of the State; and having the power which belonged to his great predecessor, he has since pursued that policy which in his hands had proved so successful."

## SECTION V.

THE SECOND PRESIDENCY.—THE EMPIRE.  
~~~~~

CHAPTER I.

THE SECOND OF DECEMBER.—THE JUSTICE, NECESSITY, AND SUCCESS
OF THE CHANGE.—THE ÉMEUTE.—THE NATION'S VERDICT.

IT is unnecessary to detail the scenes of turbulent conflict of which the Chamber was the scene in the period immediately preceding December, 1851. I have sketched some of the proceedings of that body—enough to indicate the general tone of its demeanour,—I have referred to the transactions between the rejected Bourbon families and leaders of parties who were actively engaged in plans for the subversion of the Government,—I have unveiled the desperate designs of the Levelling party. By this time, in addition to the intrigues of the Legitimists and Orleanists, the Jacobin Clubs were at their work,—plot and conspiracy existed everywhere,—the Executive possessed no power to take measures for the public safety. There was only one course by which it could obtain that necessary power. Fortunately, the chief of the Executive was honest and courageous enough to adopt it. The resolution once formed, the measures necessary to give it effect were framed with a completeness and precision which ensured success.

On the night of the 1st of December the President of the Republic had held a grand reception at the Elysée. On the morning of the 2nd, when the citizens awoke, they found a Presidential decree posted on the walls, announcing the step which had been taken, and proclamations addressed to the people, calling on them to affirm or negative that proceeding. The Assembly was declared dissolved, and universal suffrage re-established. In his address to the nation, the President said: —

“Persuaded that the instability of the Government and the preponderance of a single Assembly are permanent causes of trouble and disorder, I submit to your wills the following basis of a Constitution: — 1. A responsible head, named for two years. 2. Ministers dependent on the Executive Power alone. 3. A Council of State, formed of the most eminent men, preparing the laws, and supporting the discussion of them before the Legislative Body. 4. A Legislative Body discussing and voting laws, and to be nominated by universal suffrage, without *scrutin de liste*, which falsifies the election. 5. A second Assembly, formed of all the eminent men in the country, a preponderating power, guardian of the fundamental compact and of public liberties.

“The system founded by the First Consul at the commencement of the century has already given to France repose and prosperity; and it would again guarantee them to it. Such is my profound conviction. If you share in it, declare it by your suffrages. If, on the contrary, you prefer a Government without strength, monarchical or republican, borrowed from I know not what past, or from some chimerical future, reply negatively. Thus, then, for the first time since 1804, you will vote with a knowledge of what you are doing, knowing well for whom and what. If I do not obtain

the majority of your suffrages, I will then call for the meeting of a new Assembly, and I will give up the charge which I have received from you. But if you believe that the cause of which my name is the symbol — that is to say, France regenerated by the Revolution of '89, and organised by the Emperor — is still your own, proclaim it by consecrating the powers which I ask from you. Then will France and Europe be preserved from anarchy, obstacles will be removed, rivalries will have disappeared, for all will respect, in the decision of the people, the decree of Providence."

Such was the *Coup-d'État* — a measure as wise, just, and salutary in conception, as masterly in execution, as any recorded in history.

One of the first steps — accomplished at a very early hour in the morning — was the arrest of a number of military officers, deputies, and other individuals, who, there was reason to think, might present obstructions to the object in view. These gentlemen were for the most part arrested in their beds. The Chamber of Assembly having been occupied by troops, a number of the members met at the Mairie of the 10th Arrondissement. There they went through various vain forms of impeachment and deposition. But their power for mischief as for good had departed from them. A military force, accompanied by police authorities, called on them to disperse ; they refused to do so, and were arrested. After being imprisoned for as short terms as, in their respective cases, was consistent with public safety, nearly all were released.

No doubt many of these gentlemen, who were arrested, were put to much inconvenience. No doubt many respectable and eminent individuals sustained harsh and disagreeable treatment — a circum-

stance much to be regretted. But it is evident that measures so delicate, so important, and so critical, on which such momentous issues depended, could not be carried out without involving some amount of personal inconvenience. Far more lamentable than the vastly exaggerated sufferings of the deputies, were the scenes of bloodshed which took place on the 3rd and 4th of December. The obstinacy of the insurrection gave practical proof of the truth of the information which had reached Government, that preparations for a Socialist, or rather anti-social, rising had been going on for some time. The obvious probability is that, had it not been for the timely and decisive course which had been taken by the President — if he had deferred his great operation for a few days longer, it would have been too late,—the plans of the “Reds” would have been so completely organised that they could not have been put down without an infinitely greater effusion of blood than actually took place.

Very deplorable it is to have to add that, beyond doubt, many innocent lives were lost,—that many were killed or wounded who had no share in the disturbances. Indeed, the insurrection by no means partook of a popular character. The people stood aloof. The rioters consisted almost exclusively of the scum and refuse of the faubourgs, led and marshalled by a considerable number of well-dressed persons, the bodies of some of whom are said to have been afterwards recognised as those of adherents of the Orleanist and Legitimist factions. It was also stated that some of these persons had been seen busily engaged distributing money to the mob. With respect to the innocent blood that was shed, it

need only be said that when once a collision occurs between a military force and any congregation of armed persons capable of offering resistance, more especially when the collision takes place in the heart of a populous city, and where anything like house-fighting or street-fighting is resorted to, it is impossible to fix a line at which disasters and misfortunes will cease.*

* An English writer, alluding to the scenes of the 4th of December, observes :—

" It has been said that a great deal of bloodshed took place which might have been avoided. This may have been the case, nor do we now take upon ourselves to say that it was not so. But this we do say,—that the persons who were to blame for this were not those who gave general commands, but those who executed the commands. We are inclined to believe that there was a greater degree of severity employed in executing these orders than was necessary. It may have been, and probably was the case, that the military had themselves overrated the extent of the revolt. They had reason to believe that there would be as great an émeute as there was in the Three Days of July; and if it were so, they felt themselves obliged to take measures whereby any such attempt might be overthrown. Their object, it appears, was to strike terror into the hearts of those engaged in this revolt; and for this purpose, although the measures they adopted may have been too severe, and too rigidly carried out, yet it must be remembered that, in a case of this kind, military men can have but one rule, which is that their preparations must be absolutely sufficient for the exigencies of the time, so as not to leave any movement of the enemy only partially repressed.

" The President was deeply concerned when he found how great had been the mischief done during this period of civil warfare; no man regretted more than he did the necessity, in the first place, or the severity with which his orders had been carried out, in the second. But it is perfectly clear that as he took no share in it himself, but entrusted the whole execution of the measures to other hands, so, however much we may regret what occurred, we are not to blame him for the harshness and severity with which these measures were executed. It appears that, as far as the interests of the country and of Paris in particular were concerned, Louis Napoleon

I have thought it desirable to quote some remarks, as indicating the impressions of candid and intelligent observers respecting the events of December, 1851. But, in truth, the tone apologetic or vindictory is not necessary. There was a rising, an émeute—partial, certainly, and confined to the lowest section of the canaille, bribed and led by a few factious emissaries;—still these insurgents or rioters, as the case might be, were armed—they had erected barricades,—they were spreading abroad the contagion of bad example,—they had fired upon the military. The officers of the latter, ordered to put down disturbances, had no alternative but to take the most resolute steps for instantly crushing the seeds of mischief, wherever they exhibited themselves. That, through incaution in not attending to the instructions and warnings of the authorities, and through non-expectation of any serious catastrophe, many innocent persons suffered, is certain. We must all deplore the calamity; but the blame of that calamity is imputable alone to the authors of the disturbances.

The general justification of the great change effected by the measure of the 2nd of December has been rendered sufficiently clear, to impartial readers, by the facts which I have described with the intention of giving a fair and faithful picture of what occurred. I need not refer back to the passionate outcries raised by ignorant and interested persons in England,

was bound to act as he did, both with regard to the *Coup-d'État* and with regard to the street warfare in Paris. Therefore, much as we may regret the bloodshed, and much as it was lamented in France, still Louis Napoleon is by no means to be blamed for it; and it is clear that he regretted it himself as much as any other person could possibly have done."

and unfortunately supported by some of the public journals. The dignity, temper, and forbearance—partaking, however, we may well believe, of contempt—with which the President regarded these effusions, were above all praise. They were scarcely noticed; and when noticed by the French Government, it was in a manner which administered a more severe rebuke to the slanderers than could have been comprised in the waging of a “newspaper war.”

These recollections, so humiliating and painful, I will not dwell on;—but, in illustration of the feelings entertained by the more sober class of thinkers, respecting the system or no-system to which the *Coup-d'Etat* put an end, I introduce a few sentences from a journal published at the time, which, in its general tone, was not partial to the President. The elements with which the Prince had to deal are, in this paragraph, pretty accurately stated:—

“All parties were notoriously plotting to substitute something of their own—some declared and defined policy—for a compromise that no one respected—for a constitution that was not worth a straw. It was as provisional a no-constitution as the provisional no-government which it set aside. Composed of heterogeneous and conflicting materials, without respect, without cohesion, without proportions, it was looked upon as temporary by all. The Assembly became, not a field for argument, but a confined and circumscribed arena, in which the angry passions of the several discordant factions in the country were penned in, not for discussion, but for conflict, not for useful legislation, but for treacherous intrigue. There were the Legitimists, the Orleanists, the Napoleonists, the Republicans, the Socialists, each of whom had their shades and dif-

ferences, their honest enthusiasts and their treacherous plotters. It was all one plot from beginning to end. And we are sorry to say that the worst plotters — the most dangerous as well as dishonest intriguers in the Assembly — were men from whom better things might have been expected — men who had always the word of order on their lips, and incitements to discord and disorder in their selfish and factious intrigues.

* * * In the midst of struggles, of debates and divisions, it was certain that the Executive Power ought to be strong and decisive. But even this, the so-called chiefs of the majority in the Chamber sought to divide and distract. * * * They had the unspeakable folly to weaken that which did exist, and upon which the very bonds of society depended. Friends of order as they called themselves, they seized every occasion, made every opportunity, to sow the seeds of discord broadcast in the land. It would almost seem as if they sought to promote the cause of disorder, in order that they might have the merit of putting it down. The great crime of the President in their eyes is, no doubt, that he has taken the game out of their hands, and played the very cards upon which they chiefly relied, not only against him, but against the people of France."

This is the testimony, not of a friend, but of a journalist who, to say the least, was by no means disposed to be the advocate of the Prince President,—of one, however, who possessed much general knowledge of the state of affairs in France. It deserves to be recorded in contrast with the stream of scurrility which for some time overflowed in the columns of some newspapers, and which almost seemed to be specially intended to provoke the head of the French Govern-

ment into demonstrations of hostility towards this country.

The next step was to ascertain the sentiment of the nation with respect to the important measures which the President had deemed it his duty to take for the benefit of France. Universal suffrage was restored on the broadest basis, including all Frenchmen aged twenty-one years, and not disqualified by crime or otherwise. On the 20th and 21st of December the national vote was taken by ballot through the whole territory of France. The result was 7,439,219 affirmative votes, and 640,737 negative ones. In addressing the Consultative Commission which made the official announcement of the result, the President expressed his pride at the ratification, by so overwhelming a majority of the nation, of a proceeding which he had undertaken in order to save France and Europe from anarchy. He further declared that, "If he congratulated himself on this immense national adhesion, it was because it gave him power to speak and act as became the head of a great nation."

It would fill a long chapter to give even an outline of the manifestations of satisfaction, of the expressions of affection, confidence, and gratitude, which poured in from every part of France, in acknowledgement of the inestimable service conferred on her by the honesty, courage, and faithful wisdom of one great man. It was not only the vote—it was the demonstrations which followed the announcement of the result—that further manifested the feeling of the whole people. How just, and honourable, and well founded

the pride with which they must have been regarded by him who, under Providence, was the author of the blessings which they celebrated—the blessings of concord, security, mutual confidence, reviving prosperity in trade, commerce, manufactures, in every department of industry and enterprise on the activity of which the mutual welfare of nations far advanced in civilisation essentially depends.

CHAP. II.

THE CONSTITUTION OF 1852.—OBJECTS AND LABOURS OF THE HEAD OF THE STATE.—VIGOROUS PROSECUTION OF ENERGETIC MEASURES.—PROSPERITY REAPPEARING.—TRADE AND ENTERPRISE REVIVING.—SPECIFIC MEMORANDUM ON THE ORLEANS APPROPRIATIONS.—A FRAUD DEFEATED.—A PAINFUL DUTY FULFILLED.

A GREAT event thus happily consummated, and France rescued from the appalling dangers which had menaced her, the attention of the President was immediately directed to the accomplishment of those objects of practical improvement which he had hitherto been precluded from carrying out—indeed, from attempting. In order to impart regularity to the proceedings of Government, the first necessity was to promulgate the new Constitution. On the 16th of January the Government official journal contained a proclamation in which, after an introductory explanation of the principles on which it is founded, the President lays down the heads of the Constitution, which are in substance identical with those indicated in the proclamation of the 2nd of December. The system inaugurated is that of the Empire, and the grand principle of the Constitution is the responsibility of the head of the State—his direct responsibility to the people of France. The fiction of irresponsibility, so utterly alien to the temper, disposition, and habits of thought of the French—a fiction borrowed from ourselves, which has worked well in England, where it has been consecrated and strengthened by the lapse of ages, but a mere uncongenial exotic in France—a mon-

strosity, incomprehensible, impracticable, utterly incapable of taking root on the soil of that country—was cast aside; and the principle, intelligible and acceptable to the French, of the direct responsibility of the head of the State, was solemnly established. The introductory explanation contained the following passages :—

“ In my proclamation of the 2nd of December, when I loyally explained to you what, according to my ideas, were the vital conditions of government in France, I had not the pretension, so common in these days, of substituting a personal theory for the experience of ages. On the contrary, I sought in the past the examples that might best be followed, what men had given them, and what benefits had resulted.

“ I have thought it reasonable to prefer the precepts of genius to the specious doctrines of men of abstract ideas. I have taken as models the political institutions which already, at the commencement of the century, under analogous circumstances, have strengthened tottering society, and raised France to a lofty degree of prosperity and grandeur.

“ I have taken as models those institutions, which, instead of vanishing at the first breath of popular agitation, were only overturned by the might of all Europe coalesced against us.

“ In one word, I said to myself, since France makes progress during the last fifty years, in virtue alone of the administrative, military, judicial, religious, and financial organisation of the Consulate and the Empire, why should we not also adopt the political institutions of that epoch ? Created by the same thought, they must bear the same character of nationality and practical utility.

“ In effect, as I recalled to mind in my proclamation, it is essential to aver that our present state of society

is nought else than France regenerate by the Revolution of '89, and organised by the Emperor. Nothing remains of the old *régime* but great *souvenirs* and great benefits; all that was organised under it was destroyed by the Revolution, and all that since the Revolution has been organised, and that still exists, has been the work of Napoleon.

" We no longer possess provinces, or states, or parliaments, or intendants, or farmers-general, or various customs, or feudal rights, or privileged classes holding exclusive possession of civil and military employments, or different religious jurisdictions.

" To all these things, so incompatible with its spirit, the Revolution applied a thorough reform; but it founded nothing definite. The First Consul, alone, re-established unity, hierarchy, and the true principles of government. They are still in vigour.

" Thus the *administration* of France, intrusted to prefects, sub-prefects, and mayors, who substitute unity in the place of directing commissions (the *decision* of affairs, on the contrary, being confided to councils—from that of the commune to that of the department); thus the magistracy, rendered firm by the immovability of the judges, and by the hierarchy of the tribunals—justice rendered more easy by the clear definition of attributes, from those of the justice of the peace up to those of the Court of Cassation—all this is still standing.

" In the same manner our admirable financial system, the Bank of France, the establishment of the Budget, the Court of Accounts, the organization of the police and our military statutes, date from the above-mentioned epoch.

" For the last fifty years it is the Code Napoléon that has adjusted the reciprocal interests of citizens; it is

still the concordat that regulates the relations of the State with the Church.

“Lastly, the greater portion of the measures which concern the progress of industry, of commerce, of literature, of science, and of the arts—from the statutes of the Théâtre Français to those of the Institute of France, from the institution of “*prud'hommes*” to the creation of the Legion of Honour—have been fixed by the decrees of that time.

“It may, then, be affirmed that the frame of our social edifice is the work of the Emperor—which has stood firm—resisting his fall and the shocks of three revolutions.

“Wherefore, since they have the same origin, should not his political institutions have the same chance of duration?

“My own conviction has been formed for a long time; and therefore it was that I submitted to your judgment the principal basis of a Constitution borrowed from that of the year VIII. Approved of by you, they are to become the foundation of our political Constitution.

“Let us now examine its spirit.

“* * * * In this country of centralisation, public opinion has invariably referred everything, good and evil, to the chief of the Government; so that, to write at the head of a charter that the chief is irresponsible is to lie (*mentir*) to public feeling. It is to endeavour to establish a fiction which has three times disappeared at the sound of revolution.

“The present Constitution, on the contrary, proclaims that the chief whom you have elected *is responsible to you*; that he has the right of appeal to your sovereign judgment, in order that in grave (*solennelles*) circumstances you may always be able to continue your confidence in him or to withdraw it.

"Being responsible, his actions must be free, and without hindrance. Hence arises the obligation of his having ministers who may be the honoured and powerful auxiliaries of his thoughts, but who no longer form a responsible council composed of jointly responsible members, a daily obstacle to the special influence of the chief of the State—a council, the expression of a policy emanating from the Chambers, and for that very reason exposed to frequent changes, which render impossible a continuous policy, or the application of a regular system. * * * *

"The present Constitution has only settled that which it was impossible to leave uncertain. It has not shut up within insurmountable barriers the destinies of a great people. For change it has left a margin sufficiently large to allow, in great crises, other means of safety than the calamitous expedient of revolution.

"The Senate can, in concert with the Government, modify all that is not fundamental in the Constitution; but as to any modifications of the fundamental bases sanctioned by your suffrages, they can only become definitive after having received your ratification.

"Thus the people remain master of their destiny. Nothing fundamental is effected without their will.

"Such are the ideas, such the principles, which you have authorised me to apply. May this Constitution give to our country calm and prosperous days!—may it prevent the return of those intestine struggles in which victory, however legitimate, is always dearly bought! May the sanction which you have given to my efforts be blessed by Heaven! Then peace will be assured at home and abroad — my ardent hopes will be fulfilled — my mission will be accomplished."*

* See Appendix.

There was no delay in commencing those measures for the encouragement of industry, of beneficial public works, of enterprises of national utility, which have always formed a conspicuous feature in the policy of Napoleon the Third, and which, hitherto, he had been precluded from proceeding with. We have seen the Assembly occupying itself with cabals and intrigues, and refusing to assist in measures of political good. We have seen the difficulty with which the members were prevailed on to carry out even the terms of a solemn guarantee given by the State to the constructors of lines of railway and other works. We have seen them constantly refusing requests for co-operation in matters unconnected with politics, but tending immediately and practically to public health, wealth, and convenience. Indeed, as has been shown, they rather set their faces against real improvements, and created obstructions instead of facilities, lest the results of his administration should, in any department or through any concurrence of accident, increase the reputation of the head of the Executive. The general course pursued by the members of the Assembly — not to stop at a few exceptional instances in which they were shamed into compliance with beneficial measures instituted by the President — their general course had been that of opposition to improvement, discountenance of any plans conducive to public satisfaction and content, and to the comfort and welfare of the industrious classes.

The scene now changed. Vigour, energy, consistency of action, took the place of inertness, distraction, and discord. Amongst the earliest steps taken by Government were those relating to the progress of works of improvement. We have, for example, frequent memoranda such as the following:—

“ Letters of credit granted to the Minister of Finance,

for the Department of Public Works:—2,800,000 francs for improving the navigation of a portion of the river Seine; 1,500,000 francs for similar objects on the course of the river Rhone, lying between Arles and the sea; 300,000 francs for improvements in the port of Boulogne; a similar grant for Selles, in the district of La Vendée; 500,000 francs towards the works of defence on the Point La Grâce; 470,000 francs for building and other public works."

This memorandum is but an example of the spirit in which the Government proceeded in the work of improvement—a spirit which has never wearied, but, gathering strength from progress, has proceeded with auspicious energy down to the present moment.

The lamentable effect of the late inundations recalls an instance of the vigour with which the Imperial Government addresses itself to efforts of this description. During three-and-thirty years of peace, under both branches of the Bourbons, no attempt worthy of mention had been made to remove the physical causes of disasters which had so often devastated some of the fairest districts in France. The dreadful experience of 1840 had not impelled the Governments of Louis Philippe to take the necessary measures. There was some little patching up—scarcely more. For some years following the Revolution of 1848, the disturbance of the finances, and the general disorders of society, discouraged such works. Then came the extensive and absorbing demands of the Russian war. But no sooner was the Emperor freed from these—no sooner was he at liberty to concentrate his attention on internal improvements,—than the work of providing against the calamities arising from the overflowing of the alluvial waters presented itself as a duty of the first importance. He did not wait for the arrival

of disaster. Some time previous to the devastations, a liberal appropriation had been made for the purpose of effecting such changes as would preclude them. Unhappily the visitation came before there was time to carry out the beneficent design. The fact, however, that before the misfortune occurred measures had been taken for permanently averting it, is a consolatory assurance that the subject will no longer be neglected as of old, when the retirement of the waters was the signal for forgetfulness on the part of the authorities; and no doubt need be entertained that the operations necessary to protect the population from afflictions which have been recurrent for centuries will be advanced with all the expedition which science can impart to energy.

The sanitary, social, and domestic condition of the working classes formed a special object of the President's care. In the distribution of those portions of the property formerly belonging to King Louis Philippe which were appropriated, and, as will be presently seen, most justly and properly appropriated, by the State, we find an allocation of funds "for the amelioration of the dwellings of workmen in the great manufacturing towns," and for other purposes immediately subservient to the interests of the operative classes. The health and comfort of the people in the provinces as well as in Paris were consulted by the initiation of those magnificent improvements which, in the course of a few years, have not only changed the appearance, but the very atmosphere, of some of the great French towns. Utility was not made secondary to ornament, but went hand in hand with it. The Imperial system—striking at the great wants of society, taking note of public requirements, embracing every branch and phasis of civilising progress — was felt to

be once more at work—revived and enlarged, and administered under a directing mind capable of appreciating and realising the noble ideas of its founder.

The edict by which the Orleans family were compelled to sell, within the term of a year, their possessions within the French territory, and still more so that by which a portion of the property formerly belonging to Louis Philippe was appropriated by the State, furnished at the time a favourite subject of objurgation to persons whose occupation it was to attack every act or movement of the Prince President; and the exaggerated representations circulated respecting these transactions created a misapprehension which, to this day, is not entirely removed. Now the substantial facts of the case are these:—In the changes of government and dynasty which France has undergone, the rule of compulsory sale of the estates of the family ceasing to reign had, as a point of imperative policy, been always acted on. On the fall of the Emperor Napoleon, the elder Bourbons had compelled his family to sell their property within six months; Louis Philippe himself, on his accession to the throne, had adopted a similar course towards his own cousins. If on former occasions this course had been considered necessary, undoubtedly was it more than ever so now, when the Orleans family and their adherents were notoriously engaged in operations—not to say conspiracies—having for their object to place the young Comte de Paris upon a throne which his grandfather had forfeited, and to which plots, or conspiracies, or whatever they may be called, the possession of enormous territorial property within the limits of France would of course have brought a vast access of influence. The grant of a term of one entire year, and of an extension of time for

property not actually in the possession of the family, was, in fact, an act of grace, inasmuch as it afforded an opportunity of obtaining higher prices than if the sales had been forced on hastily.

The measure of compulsory sale, then, was no hardship, and the circumstances by which it was accompanied were liberal and gracious. The constant despatches of parcels of valuable goods, from the Tuilleries to Claremont, by special order of the Prince President, evinced the generous spirit in which he was disposed to deal, and, in fact, did deal, with the descendants of Louis Philippe. The reader will remember that the newspapers, some few years back, frequently recorded these arrivals, which were admitted free of duty. It was in such acts of courtesy, attention, and generosity, that Napoleon the Third retaliated the stringent measure of rigour which King Louis Philippe, forgetful of obligations, had dealt out to him.

The appropriation, by the State, of a portion of the Orleanist domains, excited a still more furious tempest of abuse than the edict of compulsory sale. Let us see, then, how the matter stands. One of the ancient and fundamental laws of France was, that "all the property which belonged to the princes on their accession to the throne was of full right, and at the very instant, united to the domain of the nation, the effect of this union being perpetual and irrevocable." As observed in the edict announcing the appropriation in question, "The consecration of this principle ascends to very distant times; amongst other examples may be cited that of Henry the Fourth. That prince having desired, by letters patent of 10th of April, 1590, to prevent the union of his properties with the domain of the Crown, the Parliament of Paris refused, by a judgment of the 15th July, 1591, to enregister those letters patent, and

Henry the Fourth, subsequently applauding this firmness, issued, in the month of July, 1607, a decree revoking his first letters patent." The law was a fundamental and unquestioned one, invariably acted on and never revoked.

In the case of Louis Philippe, an attempt—and one which, when it became known, had excited public indignation to a high degree—had been made to evade the law. On the 7th of August, 1830, he was offered the throne, and the two Chambers declared him King of the French. His acceptance of the crown was promulgated on the 9th of the same month; but as such acceptance was merely a formal recognition of the act of the 7th, he was, to all substantial intents and purposes, King of the French on the 7th, the only further ceremonies being those of acceptance and of taking the prescribed oath. Now it was on this very day, namely the 7th, that Louis Philippe made, or attempted to make, certain "donations" of property to his younger children, to the exclusion of his eldest son; and in these donations, as is fairly set forth by the edict of appropriation, his object was clearly to evade the law, and "prevent the union to the Crown of the large estates possessed by the prince called to the throne." But this decree failed in validity, as the "donation" was not made until the donor was *de facto* King, and had, consequently, no power to alienate anything. It is therefore evident that the subterfuge resorted to by Louis Philippe could have no available weight. That it had *not* any weight, and that King Louis Philippe was conscious thereof, is indicated by the very fact of the introduction of certain clauses, pretending to be confirmatory, into a law passed in 1832, and having reference to the transaction in question. And (argues the edict) "it would be contrary to all principle to cause the

latter law, ascribing a retroactive effect to it, to render valid an act radically null according to the legislation existing at the time at which the act was consummated ;" besides which, it is remarked, the law of 1832, "dictated by private interest, under the influence of political circumstances, cannot prevail against the permanent right of the State and the immutable rules of public law." On the whole, it is perfectly clear that the "donation" of the 7th of August was a mere subterfuge, surreptitiously resorted to by the king elect, who was willing enough to accept the crown, but desirous, if possible, to avoid compliance with the conditions inseparable from his accession. On no grounds of equity could it be maintained. It was unquestionably a manœuvre, adopted by Louis Philippe for the purpose of retaining, on his accession to the sovereignty, advantages legally incompatible with his new position ; and, as is observed by the edict, "an act otherwise unlawful becomes a fraud on public order when it is concerted in view of a certain fact which is about to be immediately accomplished."

On the whole, the effect of the edict of appropriation was merely to preclude that which would have amounted virtually to a fraud upon the State. The original act was clearly invalid; the *ex post facto* law of 1832 was invalid, not only on account of the objectionable principle involved in all *ex post facto* enactments, and which, to a certain extent, diminishes the binding force of every law of the kind, but because it had been notoriously obtained by the pressure of private influence marshalled against the interests of the public.

The duty of defeating that scheme—of hindering it from effecting its object—was a painful one ; but it was a duty for all that—an imperative duty—one which the head of the Executive owed to his country, and from

which it would have been weak and even criminal to have shrunk. True, there are many men who, similarly placed, would have avoided it: for its performance, no matter how leniently and considerately, formed the inevitable pretext of a world of clamour, directed against himself personally. There were many considerations suggestive of feelings of compassion for the Orleans family, so suddenly cast down from the highest position to one of grief and mortification. All this was felt by the President. Personally, doubtless, he entered into these emotions of compassion: personally, too, it would have been convenient as well as agreeable to him to permit Louis Philippe's wrongful act to proceed unchecked to its consequences. But his plain duty was before him. Could he conscientiously evade it? Could he evade it, and say sincerely that he was acting as, by his position, he was bound to act? He could not—he felt that he could not,—and, though beset with obloquy, slander, and solicitation, he manfully followed the dictates of his convictions.

It is scarcely necessary to enter into a categorical refutation of the charges of personal greed brought against Napoleon the Third, in reference to this transaction. They are, in fact, amply answered by the disposition adopted with respect to the proceeds arising from the domains reclaimed by the State, and which were applied to public and national purposes of lasting interest. More than this; the President, in the same edict whereby the domains are reclaimed, "abandons all demand relative to the confiscations pronounced in 1814 and 1815 against the Bonaparte family," thereby presenting a marked contrast to the avidity with which the two Bourbon branches had, in similar circumstances, sought out and seized everything they could lay their hands on, without very much consideration for the con-

sequences to the existing occupiers. The conduct of the President in this respect was a noble instance of self-denial on the part of himself and relatives, who, had they pushed their claims so rigorously, and on the same principles, as the Bourbons, might have recovered enormous property. It will further be remembered that, whether as President or Emperor, Napoleon the Third has not been in the habit, as was King Louis Philippe, of pestering the public with demands of "dotations" for this or that member of his family. He has chiefly provided, out of his own civil list, for those of his relations who have had need of assistance. Generous without prodigality, munificent without extravagance, he has likewise found himself able to afford a large amount of personal patronage to industrial ingenuity, to art, science, and every object deserving of honourable solicitude.

Such, briefly and substantially, are the facts connected with an act of public duty which at one time elicited, on this side of the Channel, a cry of ill-informed reprehension, but the performance of which will be regarded by posterity as one of the prominent proofs of the rectitude and fortitude of the Prince President, whilst his considerate courtesy and liberality towards the House of Orleans are not less creditable to the kindly emotions of his heart. The State reclamation was confined to the property included in the illegal "donation" made by the late King, a vast fortune being still left in the hands of that personage's family.*

* The recent edicts, granting liberal annuities to the Princesses of the House of Orleans, are amongst the many circumstances which evince the spirit wherein the Emperor is disposed to deal with the exiled family. The family have not increased their respectability by the tone of their response to the generosity of the Imperial Government; nor have they added thereby to the sympathy with which their position might have been regarded.

CHAP. III.

PUBLIC SENTIMENT IN 1852.—SATISFACTION WITH THE PRESENT ALLOYED BY FEAR FOR THE FUTURE.—PETITIONS AND MEMORIALS FOR THE CONSOLIDATION OF THE EXECUTIVE POWER.—THE PRESIDENT IN THE DEPARTMENTS.—THE RETURN TO PARIS.—UNIVERSAL CALL FOR THE EMPIRE.—THE NATIONAL VOTE.—THE INAUGURATION.—THE IMPERIAL MARRIAGE.—THE CHOICE OF WISDOM AND AFFECTION.

THE year 1852 was pre-eminently one of revival and progress in France, instead of one of confusion, distraction, and horror, as had been contemplated by some parties. The nation awoke as it were from a long lethargy. The head of the Government gave proof most ample of the practicability of those projects of improvement which he had so long contemplated and avowed. The result of the election of members of the Legislative body renewed the signal marks of approbation and confidence which he had already received from the people. The Senate and Legislative body engaged, not in brawling, obstructing, and conspiring, but in consulting and working for the interest of the public. Scarcely a day elapsed that the Prince President did not perform acts of clemency and forgiveness towards those who had been, and haply still were, his bitterest enemies, and this, though the existence of combinations and plots directed against the Government was on more than one occasion brought to light by the police authorities. Some persons refused to recognise the new order of things; but far from being persecuted, they were not even deprived of the emoluments which they had been in the

habit of deriving from the State. The names of several military officers might be mentioned, who, though they refused the oath, in the fortunately mistaken expectation of new commotions and complications, had their professional incomes continued to them—a singular example of generous forbearance, and of the confidence entertained by Napoleon the Third in the intrinsic strength imparted to him by his entire identity with the feelings and interests of the nation.

Louis Philippe had forgotten the promise of liberation, in virtue of which the celebrated Emir Abd-el-Kader had surrendered to the Duke d'Aumale. All through the closing years of the reign of that king the renowned Emir remained pining in prison. But the President remembered the promise, and fulfilled it. He had himself, in answer to an appeal from the late Marquis of Londonderry, declared that, sooner or later, he would cause the liberation of the gallant prisoner; and that act of grace he performed in the most complimentary manner, at the earliest moment when the unsettled state of affairs arising from the Revolution of 1848, and the plottings and counter-plottings by which it was followed, allowed him, consistently with prudence, to do so. "You have," said the Prince, on his visit to the prisoner, "been the enemy of France; but I am not the less willing to do justice to your courage, your character, and to your resignation in misfortune. This is the reason why I consider it a point of honour to put an end to your captivity, having full confidence in your word."

And the Emir, the redoubtable captive of Louis Philippe, is the devoted friend of Napoleon the Third. By acts similar to these, and by his gracious and conciliating mode of performing them, the Emperor has

surrounded himself with friends where a sordid and suspicious policy would have created enemies.

Signal as was the spread of prosperity and contentment in France, it could not be concealed that there still lingered causes of uneasiness; that the very circumstance that the power from which the benefits flowed was not permanent, held out some hope to those who would willingly interrupt the progress of improvement, and undo the mighty good accomplished by the events of the 2nd of December. The nation rejoiced in what it had obtained, and in the prospects opening daily of greater things still to be effected for it. But it was uneasy. It felt that it was entitled to some security that these advantages, present and prospective, should not be swept away by the consequences of some plot arising from the fact that, after all, the authority of the ruling hand and guiding head was not a permanent one. The nation was fast arriving at the conviction that that power ought to be made permanent and complete — ought to be freed from every extrinsic contingency tending to hamper its efficiency or endanger its security. In a word, the minds of men reverted to the Empire, and from that retrospection, applying inferences to the existing state of things, looked forward to its re-establishment, in completeness and integrity, as the best guarantee of the peace, prosperity, dignity, and happiness of France.

And now, from every Department and district, poured in petitions and memorials for the restoration of the Empire, in the person of its illustrious representative. The demands of the municipal bodies were seconded by the enthusiastic acclamations of the people,

on every occasion when the President appeared in public; and similar acclamations and requisitions met him whenever he visited any of the provincial districts.

A communication addressed by the Sous-Préfet of Valenciennes to the Minister of the Interior, may be given as a specimen of the tone in which the provinces expressed their feelings, previous to the period when the national sentiment broke out into open and unreserved specification of the object which it had at heart:—

“The following is the first act of the Conseil d’Arrondissement of Valenciennes:—

“The members of the Conseil d’Arrondissement, penetrated with gratitude for the services that the Prince President has rendered and every day renders the country ; being convinced, too, that France owes to him her safety, and will owe to him, with the stability of his power (*avec la stabilité de son constitution*) an era of prosperity and happiness, — feel it a duty, before commencing their labours, to express their wish that Heaven may preserve and protect the elect of eight millions, and are happy to offer to his Highness the homage of their entire devotedness, and the tribute of their profound respect.”

Similar expressions, some of them, however, much more bold and explicit in tone, proceeded from the municipal bodies all over the country. Memorials from the people, praying for the consolidation and permanence of the power of the head of the State, were forwarded in vast numbers. There could no longer be any doubt as to what was the desire and determination of the nation.

In autumn, the Prince President visited the southern Departments. The records of popular enthusiasm

scarcely present a parallel to the manifestations which greeted him throughout his progress. It was a continuous ovation, not a "got-up" demonstration—it was too exuberantly enthusiastic, too universal, too earnest and unceremonious, to be mistaken for the latter. By all classes, by all sexes, by all ages, he was hailed as the deliverer of his country from her perils, her woes, her humiliation,—as the guide and guardian of her future fortunes.

It was during this memorable journey that, in answer to one of the addresses which insisted on his accepting the Imperial dignity as a condition indispensable to the security of good and salutary government for France, he laid down the memorable maxim, "The Empire is peace;" thus reiterating the proposition which he had asserted from his youth, that the Imperial policy, in its substantial meaning, was that of peaceful, improving progress, friendly concert with all the powers of civilisation, not war and vainglorious conquest.

The rejoicings which hailed his return to Paris were of a character not less cordial than the provincial demonstrations. And there was this characteristic in them—that, substantially speaking, they were universal, they were spontaneous, they were participated in by the classes which had at one time been regarded as containing the smallest number of adherents to the Imperial cause. Napoleon the Third possessed in an eminent degree the power of "winning hearts." This he had proved on many occasions,—he had done so on the most extensive scale during the previous year, when he had been in some degree relieved from the pressure of factious influences. The spontaneousness of the demonstration struck even English observers whose ordinary biases were all unfavourable to its object. I find, for example, in the "correspondence" of journals which,

to use a homely English phrase, “could hardly spare him a good word,” such passages as the following :—

“There is nothing like a semblance of bowing to the powers that be in all this zealousness to honour the entrée of the Prince; for not only is the hardier sex identifying itself with the labours now going on to turn Paris into one great scene of enchantment, but even ladies, while I write, are descending from their carriages to bear their part in what may, beyond all doubt, be accepted as a heart and soul manifestation for the Empire in the person of Louis Napoleon. Not a person in Paris, I believe, but would, were it possible of achievement, have to say in days to come, ‘I assisted in erecting such and such a triumphal arch in welcome of the Emperor.’ It is not only in the streets that I behold joyous thousands, but in the shops at present open for business the faces of all are radiant, and the only words applied to the next hour’s arrival are, ‘Vive l’Empereur ! ’ ”

Deputations, addresses, memorials, reached Paris from the municipal bodies, the public associations, from all the provincial districts, demanding with pressing unanimity the formal restoration of the Empire. The national voice became loud and importunate. The Senate undertook to represent to the President the necessity of complying with the desire thus energetically expressed.

Some of the remarks made by the President, in his communications with the Senate upon the subject, are worthy of commemoration. Referring all to the people, he does not affect to conceal his perception of that which is self-evident :—

“Senators,—The nation has clearly manifested its wish for the restoration of the Empire. Confident in your patriotism and your intelligence, I have convoked you for the purpose of legally deliberating on that grave

question, and of entrusting you with the regulation of the new order of things. If you should adopt it, you will think no doubt, as I do, that the Constitution of 1852 ought to be maintained, and then the modifications recognised as indispensable will in no way injure its fundamental basis.

“The change which is in preparation will bear chiefly on forms, and yet the resumption of the Imperial system is for France of immense significance.”

The paragraph subjoined, in which allusion is made to the manner in which former reverses might be most honourably “revenged,” illustrates and confirms the arguments which have been adduced in refutation of the idea that the reference to “Waterloo,” in the speech delivered before the Chamber of Peers during the trial which followed the affair of Boulogne, meant war with England or any other power. The closing words are especially noticeable :—

“Indeed, in the re-establishment of the Empire, the people finds a guarantee for its rights, and a satisfaction for its just pride. That re establishment guarantees the interest of the people by securing the future, closing, as it does, the era of revolutions, and consecrating the conquests of 1789. It satisfies its just pride, because, raising again, freely and deliberately, that which Europe thirty-seven years ago overturned by force of arms amidst the disasters of the country, the nation nobly *avenges its reverses* without making any victim, without threatening any independence, and without disturbing the peace of the world.”

Dwelling on the onerous nature of the responsibilities undertaken by him, he says :—

“I do not dissemble, nevertheless, all that is redoubtable in now placing on my head the crown of Napoleon ; but my apprehensions diminish with the idea that, representing, as I do, by so many titles, the cause of the

people and the national will, it will be the nation which, in elevating me to the throne, will itself crown me."

On Thursday the 25th November, the Chief of the State communicated with the members of the Legislative body, convened from their several Departments, to hear the official declaration of the result of the elections, and to take part in the inauguration of the Empire :—

"I have recalled you from your Departments, that you may be associated with the great act which is about to be accomplished. Although the Senate and the people alone had the right to modify the Constitution, I have wished that a political body which has issued, like myself, from universal suffrage, should come to attest to the world the spontaneousness of the national movement which bears me to the Empire. I desire expressly that it should be you who, in certifying the liberty of the vote and the numerical amount of the suffrage, should prove by your declarations the complete lawfulness of my power. To declare, in fact, to-day, that authority rests on incontestable right, is to give it the necessary force for founding something durable, and to insure the prosperity of the country. The Government, as you know, will only change its form. Devoted to the great interests which intelligence brings forth and which peace develops, it will restrain itself, as it has hitherto done, within the limits of moderation ; for success never swells with pride the hearts of those who see in their elevation a greater duty imposed by the people, and a more elevated mission confided by Providence."

The return of votes on the question of restoring the Empire was :—

Affirmative	-	-	-	-	7,864,180
Negative -	-	-	-	-	0,253,145
Null -	-	-	-	-	0,063,826

History presents no example approaching to such a manifestation of national feeling as that by which Napoleon the Third was called to the throne. Deeply impressed as he was with a sense of the mighty responsibilities which had devolved upon him, he was cheered by assurances of cordial support from France in the fulfilment of his great task.

"In order to aid you in it," (said the President of the Legislative body,) "she surrounds you with all her sympathies; she commits herself freely to you. Take, then, Sire, take from the hands of France, that glorious crown which she offers you. Never has a royal brow worn one more legitimate or more popular."

The Emperor addressed the Senate and the Legislative body :—

"The new reign which you this day inaugurate has not its origin, as so many others which history records, in violence, conquest, or intrigue. It is, as you have just declared, the legal result of the will of an entire people, consolidating, whilst in a state of repose, what it had founded in the midst of agitation.

"I am deeply grateful to the nation which three times in four years has supported me by its suffrages; and which each time has only augmented its majority in order to increase my power. But the more this power gains in extent and in vital force, the more need it has of enlightened men like those who surround me, of independent men like those whom I address, to guide me by their counsels, and to reduce my authority within just limits, if ever it should transgress them.

"From this day I take with the oath the name of Napoleon the Third, because the opinion of the people has already bestowed it upon me in their acclamations; because the Senate has legally proposed it, and because the whole nation has ratified it.

"Does this, however, signify that, in taking this title, I fall into the error imputed to the prince who, returning from exile, declared all that had been done in his absence null and void ?

"So erroneous a notion is far from me. Not only do I recognise the governments which have preceded me, but I inherit in some sort what they have accomplished of good and evil ; for successive governments, notwithstanding their different origins, are severally bound by the acts of their predecessors.

"But the more I accept that which for the last fifty years history hands down to us with its inflexible authority, the less is it allowed me to pass over in silence the glorious reign of the chief of my family, and the title—regular, though ephemeral—of his son, which the Chambers proclaimed with the last burst of conquered patriotism.

"Thus, then, the title of Napoleon the Third is not one of those dynastic and obsolete pretences which seem an insult alike to truth and common sense ;—it is the homage paid to a government which was legitimate, and to which we are indebted for the noblest pages of our modern history. My reign does not date from 1815 ;—it dates from the instant when you communicated to me the suffrages of the nation.

"Receive, then, Messieurs les Députés, my acknowledgments for the distinction you have given to the manifestation of the national will, rendering it more apparent by your supervision, and more imposing by your declaration.

"I thank you also, Messieurs les Sénateurs, for having been the first to congratulate me, as you were also the first to express the national wish.

"Assist me, all of you, to establish in this land, harassed by so many revolutions, a stable government,

based on religion, justice, probity, and the love of the humble classes.

"And here receive the oath, that I will use every exertion to assure the prosperity of the country ; and that whilst maintaining peace, I will yield nothing which affects the honour and the dignity of France."

More interesting than the ceremonial pageantries which celebrated the accession of Napoleon the Third, were the comprehensive acts of amnesty and forgiveness with which he inaugurated that event. A host of individuals, whom a suspicious disposition — a mind not full of trust in its own integrity, and in the strength imparted by the support of the people—would have regarded as dangerous, were readmitted to free residence in France. The disposition of the Emperor to overlook past offences, even in the persons of those who had most grossly committed themselves, has led him more than once into measures of singular boldness in this respect. I do not here allude to the recent and complete declaration of amnesty, enabling Frenchmen, no matter how grievous their past political offences, to return home to free citizenship on the simple condition of conforming to the state of things which the nation has established. This last declaration, proclaimed on the joyful occasion of the birth of the heir to the throne, is at present a perfectly safe one, for the Imperial power is so consolidated as to render disturbances impotent. But such measures were not quite so safe a few years back. For instance, at the very time when the arrangements incidental to the re-establishment of the Empire were in progress, it became known that consultations were going on between certain leaders of the Bourbon and Socialist parties, as to the adoption of some means for the crea-

tion of disorder and the defeat of the national wish. But the feelings of the Emperor led him so powerfully in the direction of "forgiving," if not of "forgetting," as to cause him to overlook what many men would regard as insuperable obstacles to acts of clemency. Indeed, the invitation to all Frenchmen, however deeply they had involved themselves, to return and act the part of good citizens, was but a repetition of the terms of former measures of a similar character.

THE IMPERIAL NUPTIALS.

That is true philosophy which declares that the most important act of a man's life is the choice of a wife. And the aphorism gains in force when the happiness of a whole people is in many respects bound up with the conduct of an individual. It is a fortunate circumstance for France that the choice made by the Emperor was one which, whilst it has secured the hopes of the nation, precludes the danger of those complications which marriages between personages of exalted station sometimes occasion. It is well that the Emperor has left himself at liberty, in all his proceedings relative to matters of European policy, to consider only his own duty and the welfare of his people, without the possibility of being hampered by any extraneous influence whatever. True, the character of the man renders it certain, that under any circumstances his course would be shaped only by a conviction of what was prudent and right; still the basis on which he has placed his domestic relations must be regarded as pre-eminently fortunate, for it saves him the otherwise possible annoyance of solicitation to steps inconsistent with his own sense of what is wise and right. He has established a perfect separation from that train of in-

trigue and collusion, which sometimes accompanies to her future home the bride of a great personage.

The lady whom he chose is one adorned with all the mental gifts which befit a high position : the unaffected goodness of her nature has endeared her to the people of France. Wisely did the Emperor choose. Happy the direction which his affection took — happy for himself, and happy for his subjects !

On the personal graces and beauty of the Empress, I will not enlarge. Compliments of the kind are too hacknied to be valuable in themselves, or acceptable to women of good sense. Suffice it to record the universal opinion, that the Empress Eugénie is as beautiful as she is good.

It was on the 22nd of January, 1853, that the Emperor announced to the Senate his intention and his choice :—

“ In announcing to you my marriage, I yield to the wish so often manifested by the country.

“ The alliance which I contract is not in accordance with the traditions of ancient policy, and therein is its advantage. France, by its successive revolutions, has separated from the rest of Europe. Every wise Government ought to wish it to re-enter the pale of the old monarchies. But this result will be more surely attained by a straightforward and frank policy, by loyalty in conduct, than by royal alliances, which often create a false security, and substitute family interests for those of the nation. Moreover, the example of the past has left in the minds of the people certain superstitious feelings. They have not forgotten that for seventy years foreign princesses have mounted the throne only to behold their race dispossessed or proscribed by war or revolution. One woman alone seemed to bring happiness, and to live more than the others in the

memory of the people. That woman, the modest and good wife of General Bonaparte, was not the issue of royal blood. It must, however, be admitted that, in 1810, the marriage of Napoleon the First with Marie Louise was a great event. It was a pledge for the future, a real satisfaction, as the ancient and illustrious House of Austria, which had been so long at war with us, was seen to intrigue for the alliance of the elected chief of a new empire. Under the late reign, on the contrary, the patriotism of the nation suffered when the heir to the Crown solicited fruitlessly, during several years, a princely alliance, to obtain it only in a secondary rank and a different religion.

“ When in the presence of Europe a man is borne on by the force of a principle to the level of ancient dynasties, it is not by giving an ancient character to his escutcheon, and by seeking to introduce himself, at any cost, into a family, that he is accepted. It is rather, ever remembering his origin, by preserving his own character, and by adopting frankly in presence of Europe the position of *parvenu*, — a glorious title when one obtains it by the voluntary suffrages of a great people. Thus departing from the precedents followed up to this time, my marriage became a private affair, and there remained only the choice of the person.

“ She who has been the object of my preference is of princely descent. French in heart, by education, and the recollection of the blood shed by her father in the cause of the Empire, she has, as a Spaniard, the advantage of not having in France a family to whom it might be necessary to give honours and fortune. Endowed with all the qualities of the mind, she will be the ornament of the throne. In the day of danger, she would be one of its courageous supporters. A Catholic, she will address to Heaven the same prayers with me for the

happiness of France. In fine, by her grace and her goodness, she will, I firmly hope, endeavour to revive in the same position the virtues of the Empress Josephine.

"I come then, gentlemen, to announce that I have preferred the woman whom I love and whom I respect, to one who is unknown, and whose alliance would have had advantages mingled with sacrifices. Without despising any one, I yet yield to my inclinations, after having taken counsel with my reason and my convictions. In fine, by placing independence, the qualities of the heart, domestic happiness, above dynastic prejudices and the calculations of ambition, I shall not be less strong because I shall be more free.

"Proceeding immediately to Nôtre Dame, I shall present the Empress to the people and to the army. The confidence which they have in me assures me of their sympathy; and you, gentlemen, on better knowing her whom I have chosen, will agree that on this occasion, as on some others, I have been inspired by Providence."

Rejoicings on such occasions as the marriages of crowned heads are matters of course. But the rejoicings in the present case had a peculiar import. They were essentially national,—they were of the kind which has been described as "ultra-demonstrative" on the part of the people. They were the emanation of heart-felt joy at the accomplishment of a wish long entertained, and energetically expressed even before the man of the people's choice had accepted the Imperial diadem. The heart of all France was stirred, and gave vent to its emotions in that variety of manifestation characteristic of her people, for they felt that a great thing had been effected for them. They recognised in these auspicious nuptials a pledge of the per-

petuation of the system which they felt to be identified with their own happiness and that of posterity. They felt a joyful satisfaction, a sensation of security, of relief from a cause of uneasiness as to the future.

The marriage of the Emperor gave opportunity for another extensive exercise of grace and amnesty, including individuals who, according to the rules of political "caution," would have been regarded as having committed themselves beyond all hope of pardon, implicated as they had been in plots against the person of the head of the State, as well as against the system which he was called on to administer.

CHAP. IV.

THE WAR WITH RUSSIA.—FAITH AND LOYALTY OF THE EMPEROR OF THE FRENCH.—THE TRUE “CORDIAL UNDERSTANDING.”—ORLEANIST EMISSARIES AT THE COURT OF ST. PETERSBURG.—THE NATIONAL LOANS.—UNPARALLELED MANIFESTATIONS OF POPULAR CONFIDENCE.—M. BERRYER’S AMUSING EPISODE.—THE VIENNA CONFERENCES OF 1855.

THE circumstances preceding the war with Russia may be referred, in their origin, to a period long antecedent to that in which the symptoms of an approaching struggle made themselves manifest. The discussion of these would involve the recapitulation of events going farther back than the reign of Catherine. It was in the year 1853, however, that the “cloud not larger than a man’s hand” began to assume dimensions tending to create immediate alarm. In that year Europe was first disturbed by rumours of an impending collision between Austria and the Porte—so far at sea were politicians as to the question where lay the real danger. These rumours subsided; the difficulties, such as they were, were arranged. Then came some diplomatic discussions between France and the Sultan’s Government in reference to the “Holy Places;” but the French Government took care that these discussions should not transgress the limits of amity,—indeed the moment they assumed a serious tone, it made every concession in order to keep on friendly terms with the weaker power. But then came Russia, with a tone and a policy very different; the tone that

of menace, the policy that of aggression. And here was the *fons et origo malorum*.

Never did the determined honesty of principle and purpose which distinguishes Napoleon the Third appear to greater advantage than throughout the whole course of the Russian difficulty. Everyone remembers the tenor of the overtures made by the Emperor Nicholas to England, to "settle" Turkey comfortably between himself and ourselves. After failing with us, he made overtures of a similar kind to France. England was to be thrown overboard — perfectly ignored in the whole transaction, and Turkey was to be "settled" by France and Russia, instead of by England and Russia.

The offers made were tempting, to pride as well as to ambition. And the temptation was all the greater, inasmuch as at the very time the sovereign of France was made the object, by a section of the press of this country, of the most intemperate abuse ever uttered against character, private or public. Amongst the assertions boldly made and actually believed by many persons — with such hardihood of protestation were these assertions supported — was, that one of his proximate projects was the invasion of England. That story having grown stale, the next invention was that he was entering into an unholy league with the autocrat Nicholas for the express purpose of humbling our power in the East and elsewhere. This was asserted over and over, in a thousand varying modulations, all tending to the same conclusion — viz., that the sovereigns of Russia and France were concerting an anti-British alliance, which was not only to embrace the Turkish question, but all other matters affecting our relations with foreign powers, or with our own possessions in

the East. Upon these imaginary premises were founded a series of personal attacks, of a violence sufficient to arouse the anger of any human being, and calculated to awaken feelings, not merely of anger but of hostility, in the mind of any one accustomed to permit personal emotions to interfere with his allegiance to duty and wisdom. But, fortunately for England, and fortunately for France, and fortunately for Europe, not for an instant had they power to cause the great man against whom they were directed to halt, to pause, far less turn aside from his straightforward course. Alliance with England, in a cause which he felt to be a just one, was the policy to which he adhered. The overtures made by the Russian autocrat fell on his ears as vainly as they had done on those of the British Government. As unhesitatingly as energetically he spurned these temptations. Whilst so many of us were crying him down as an accessory to Russian designs, whilst so many of us were loading him with epithets of vituperation on that score, he was acting the part of our true and faithful ally. It was truly said, soon after this, that Napoleon the Third had held in his hands, for good or for evil, the balance of European destinies. No doubt of it. The lifting of his finger would have turned the scales against England, and given certain success to a policy hostile to her. But his influence was thrown in to give effect to our councils, coinciding as they did with his own convictions. And thus it was that the crisis was brought to so happy an issue,—thus it was that was laid the foundation of a real “cordial understanding,”—of an alliance not merely on paper, but one of hearts and principles—of a friendship which, it may be cordially hoped, will endure to a period when the “war in the Crimea” will be regarded by pos-

terity as amongst the most remarkable episodes of the far distant past.

A remarkable circumstance, related on reputable authority, places the conduct of other parties in curious contrast with that which had been pursued by Napoleon the Third when himself an exile. The statement is, that during the crisis of the negotiations which ended in hostilities, individuals belonging to the Orleanist faction appeared at the Court of St. Petersburg, with representations encouraging the Autocrat to push matters to extremities — to render war inevitable. These individuals were not sparing of protestations as to the advantages which would accrue to Russian objects from some of the “ulterior events” which might possibly be caused by war eventuating in the restoration of the expelled dynasty. The tone of their representations was, that Russia should push on the war; that this would probably create disarrangements in France which, amongst other vicissitudes, would produce a chance of the return of the House of Orleans; and that consummation once effected, why France and Russia could do exactly as they pleased with the Turkish question, and with Turkey herself, defying England and Europe, and placing territorial, religious, and other arrangements on the footing most agreeable to the two “high contracting parties,” but especially, and in precedence, to his Majesty of All the Russias.

That such communications passed between the Court of St. Petersburg and well-known representatives of the House of Orleans was stated at the time, and has, I believe, never been denied. It is not likely that the parties engaged in the deputation, or mission, or whatever it ought to be called, were specially and formally delegated by the princes of that House; but that they would have acted without having obtained some signs

of assent or recognition is amongst the least probable things in the world. And if indeed such a correspondence took place—as, in the absence of denial, there seems no cause for doubting—how are we to regard the patriotism of those who, for wholly selfish objects, would raise up enemies for France, in the hope of plunging her into a sea of troubles, the commotions of which might cast up something profitable to themselves? How different from the course taken by the exile Louis Napoleon, whenever circumstances occurred casting on him the alternative between possible objects of personal aggrandisement, and the preservation of order and tranquillity in France!

Well, the war did come, and right manfully and nobly the Emperor bore his part in its prosecution. And yet there arose no disturbance, no discontent. Enthusiastic support of the Emperor's policy was witnessed, instead of that “confusion worse confounded” which had been hoped for by his and the country's enemies. And the prophets and plotters of evil were balked.

It has been remarked of the conduct of Napoleon the Third, previous and subsequent to the outbreak of the late war, that in all its phases it was undeviatingly “loyal.” And the word, borrowed in this sense from the vocabulary of our neighbours, is peculiarly applicable to the thing indicated by it. The Emperor's proceedings have indeed been frank, true, faithful in every detail. In former wars in which we have been engaged, we have had generally to complain of the imbecility, the cowardice, the apathy, the treachery of our allies. But in the late contest our ally was ever by our side,—never behind us in endeavours to bring it to an

"honourable conclusion;" shrinking from no sacrifice, appalled by no difficulty, always preserving the same tone of firmness, hopefulness, and encouraging cordiality. Indeed, the friendship established between England and France was a circumstance contemplated by him as being itself, in its ultimate results, infallibly productive of advantages sufficient to compensate any present sacrifice. The union of councils and objects with those of England are constantly alluded to by him.

"To-day," said he, addressing the troops about to embark on the Baltic Expedition,— "to-day our fleets and armies, united in the same cause, dominate in the Baltic as well as in the Black Sea. English vessels will convey you there, a fact unique (unprecedented) in history, and which proves the intimate alliance of the two great nations, and the firm resolution of the two governments not to abstain from any sacrifice to defend the right of the weak, the liberty of Europe, and the national honour."

The fact which the Emperor so truly designates as unique is one, in truth, the anticipation of which by any person would, even within the last ten years, have subjected him to grave suspicions as to the state of his intellect. Such a thing would have been set down amongst the "impossibilities" of political contemplations. That we have seen it come to pass—that we have witnessed the production of such a condition of international feeling—is owing, under Providence, to the wisdom, honesty, courage, and, it must be added, to the good temper of the Emperor. Had he acted as, a few years ago, some English writers and speakers were predicting that he must and would, how immensely different would have been the feelings and relations between the two countries, how immensely different the prospects of Europe

at this time ! The sentiments which he has evinced for us since his authority has been completed are those which he avowed when he possessed no authority at all: He only witnessed that state of relations, which he had long declared to be the one consistent with our mutual national interests, when, in September, 1854, the consort of our own Sovereign paid him a friendly visit upon the spot from which, half a century before, the English coasts were supposed to be menaced by the legions of the First Napoleon. The world may well admire the astonishing consistency with which he has maintained and acted on his opinions through every change of fortune.

It was with just pride that, at the opening of the Legislative session in the winter of 1854, the Emperor alluded to the progressive prosperity which existed in his dominions, notwithstanding the pressure of an expensive war. That prosperity was the result of a policy inherited from the first Empire, and followed up with untiring energy by himself — the policy of developing, by every effort fairly within the compass of governmental action, the natural riches and resources of the country, of encouraging industry by removing unnecessary restrictions, and facilitating healthful enterprise as contra-distinguished from speculativeness:—

“ Strangers cannot fail to be struck by the remarkable spectacle of a country which, relying upon Divine Providence, maintains with energy a war 600 leagues from its frontiers, and which at the same time, with equal zeal, develops its internal riches,— a country where war does not prevent agriculture and industry from prospering, the arts from flourishing, and where

the genius of the nation reveals itself in everything, which tends to the glory of France.

" You will see with pleasure that our revenues have not diminished. Commercial activity is maintained; all the great works of public utility are continued, and Providence has deigned to grant us a harvest which will satisfy all our wants.

" Government, nevertheless, does not shut its eyes to the distress occasioned by the high price of provisions, and has taken every measure in its power to provide against the distress, and to relieve it. New sources of labour have been created in many districts. The struggle which is going on, circumscribed by moderation and justice, whilst it makes the heart beat, nevertheless alarms so little the general interests, that an assembly will be held here shortly*, from all parts of the globe, with the promise of peace.

" A striking testimony† has proved the intimacy of our relations with England. * * The alliance with England is not the result of a passing interest, and of a policy of mere circumstance: it is the union of two powerful nations, associated together for the triumph of a cause in which the greatness, the interests of civilisation, and, at the same time, the liberties of Europe, are concerned. Unite with me, then, on this solemn occasion, to thank here, in the name of France, the British Parliament for its warm and cordial demonstration, and the English army and its worthy commander for their valiant co-operation."

Official compliments and congratulations are worthy of attention only when, by unmistakable national demonstrations, they are proved to represent the feelings

The Paris Exhibition of 1855.

† The vote of thanks passed in the English Parliament to the French army.

of a community. The congratulatory address presented by the Senate to the Emperor on New Year's day, 1855, would not call for any notice, were it not confirmed by popular manifestations of a kind unknown under the system which had fallen in the convulsions of 1848. There is one feature in this address, however, which is peculiarly interesting to Englishmen. We have had allies in former times. We have subsidised them, we have fed and clothed them, and we have had ridicule, abuse, and ingratitude for our return. We did not subsidise our French allies: we did not feed and clothe their armies. France bore at least her full share of the burthens and expenses of the war. But when, from any of the Powers with which we have, on former occasions, been leagued,—when have there proceeded such tributes of esteem and good-will, such spontaneous testimonies of regard, as we find constantly uttered by the public authorities of Imperial France?

"The Senate" (observes the spokesman) "comes to offer your Majesty its homage and good wishes. Last year, Sire, appears to have added to the grandeur of your reign. That which has just ended has given, under your auspices, a new character to the policy of Europe. Even in the midst of war you have obtained an alliance which strengthened the country, and striking proofs of friendship which do it honour. Since your Majesty will, by an exception with which it is deeply affected, authorise the Senate to depart for a moment from the ordinary ceremonial of this day, allow me to express to your Majesty our confidence in your government, our gratitude for the heroic co-operation of England, and our patriotic solicitude for our brave armies, who so gloriously support in the East the intentions of your Majesty and the honour of the French name."

Such testimonies and acknowledgments as these are worthy of being put on record : they signalise a union of sentiment for the permanence of which all honest men must pray. On how many occasions, when we have poured out our blood and treasure in defence of the most wretched states in Europe, have we received, if not actual abuse, at least insolence, obstinacy, and denial of any obligation ! But here the Senate of the foremost of continental States expresses its gratitude for our "heroic co-operation" — for "an alliance which strengthens," and "a friendship which does honour" to France. Such expressions, re-echoed by the municipal bodies, by the people, in their resolutions and addresses, are amongst the things which cement the amity of nations.

In no way has the Government of the Emperor more strongly proved its confidence of the good-will and esteem of the people of France, and in no way have the people given better evidence of the justice of that confidence, than in the operations by which large loans have been raised for the extraordinary expenses of the war. The routine course would have been to have invoked the aid of a few great capitalists, who would have arranged the biddings amongst themselves, taken up the loan speculatively, and pocketed any difference arising from the terms on which they had taken it up and those on which they handed over the scrip to other capitalists and speculators. The course taken by the Emperor was altogether the reverse of this, — it was a course as novel as it was sagacious — one eminently calculated to create, amongst the masses of the people, an increased interest in the conservation of order. Early in 1855, a loan of 500,000,000 francs was authorised. Instead of "communicating" with capitalists, the Government put itself into immediate correspondence

with the people, notifying that everyone who chose should have the right of subscribing, and preference was given to subscribers for small amounts — thus admitting the humblest, literally the “million,” into participation in the privilege of becoming creditors of Government.

The result signally confirmed at once the wisdom of the policy adopted, and the correctness of the Emperor's calculations on the feeling of the nation towards him. The sum required being 500,000,000 francs, the subscriptions reached in a few days the prodigious amount of ten milliards two hundred millions; or, simplifying the matter to the English reader, the amount required being 20,000,000*l.* sterling, that subscribed was 87,000,000*l.* Large subscriptions were tendered from England and the continent, but the “supply” being so enormously in excess of the “demand,” all the larger subscriptions were declined, and, in accordance with the conditions announced in the first place, the scrip issued was confined to the subscribers of sums below 500 francs. So eminently “popular” was the loan, that the small subscriptions amounted to nearly 900,000,000 francs, so that even the humbler subscribers could not obtain the full shares subscribed by them. Some pleasant anecdotes are told illustrative of the confidence of the people. “At Orleans,” it is related, “a countryman presented himself to the receiver, with a bag containing 1000 francs, and, throwing it on the table, said, ‘That is for the Emperor.’ ‘You mean for the loan?’ said the receiver. ‘Not at all,’ replied the countryman; ‘it is for the Emperor. I lend him my money, and I am sure he will return it to me safely.’ ‘Will you have three per cents.? ’ was the next question; to which the answer was, ‘I know nothing about per cents.; I tell you it is for the

Emperor. Take my 1000 francs, give me a receipt, and that is enough!'"

A previous loan for 250,000,000, based on the same principle of popular support and participation, had been raised with equal readiness by the contributions of the people; and within a few months after the demand of 500,000,000 francs had been responded to in the manner above described, a still larger one — amounting to 750,000,000 francs — was rendered necessary by the enormous expenses of the war. The subscriptions on this occasion amounted to three milliards six hundred millions francs, or, to reduce the figures into English money, the Government having asked for 30,000,000*l.* sterling, the public offered 104,000,000*l.* From the spirit manifested by the people of France, it is quite certain that had larger sums been required by the Emperor's government, they would have been forthcoming. When the first loan of 250,000,000 francs was announced, and when the terms of its distribution became known in the money markets of Europe, abundance of satire was indulged in, respecting so rash an innovation on the ancient usage of leaving such transactions to be managed by colossal capitalists. The thing was absurd — perfectly visionary — the people would not subscribe — the French were a nation of hoarders, not of lenders, and the owners of a few hundreds of francs would adhere to their predilection for the well-concealed money-bag, or the proverbial old stocking. But when the result was ascertained, surprise took the place of satire. It was felt by the professional money jobbers that a precedent had been established, ominously threatening their monopoly of those sources of profit which they had been accustomed to regard as their own. It would be a fortunate circumstance for

governments and for nations if that precedent were universally followed.

Commenting on the success of these national loans, in which it might be said, with literal truth, the people lent money at the same time to the Government and to themselves, a French writer observes :—

“ It is not only a financial operation happily accomplished, but a political event of great importance without precedent in the history of nations. This great fact will be considered in France and abroad as a magnificent expression of the material and moral power of national patriotism. Europe will understand that nothing is impossible to a people who respond with such energy to the appeal of their Government, or to a government which relies on such a people. The loyal and honest policy which defends in Europe the great principles of morality and of right, and of which our country has had the honour to have been the vigilant sentinel and the intrepid champion, will derive from it a new force and a new prestige. The Emperor will have the happiness to find in it a new pledge of the devotedness and sympathy of France, which he has rescued from an abyss, and replaced in the elevated rank which belongs to her amongst modern nations. * * It is a new tribute of approval to the authority which eight millions confided to the Emperor.”

It was remembered how, shortly before the first Revolution assumed violent aspects, the unfortunate Louis the Sixteenth had appealed to the patriotism of the titled and opulent classes of his kingdom, for a loan of only forty millions of francs, and had been refused, through the influence of the same selfishness which precipitated the Revolution ; whilst, in the present instance, a government really based on the national sentiment asked for

twelve times forty millions, and was offered many times more than it demanded. With much terseness it was said that such a fact was indicative of a "new France" confiding in the auspices which ushered in the future, and prepared to make every effort to secure the benefits of that future.*

The Government official journal made some observations in which the attitude of France was sketched so correctly, as to impart to them a weight not usually attached to expressions of opinion proceeding from an official source:—

"It may be said that the conflict in which France has engaged so righteously, has already exhibited, in her

* About this time an incident occurred which placed Monsieur Berryer in a somewhat ludicrous position, and elicited a wholesome rebuke to what (without disrespect for the eminent advocate) had really the appearance of an irresistible desire to excite a sensation about nothing. M. Berryer, on being elected a member of the Academy, had, as was usual on such occasions, delivered himself of an oration. It is customary for the authors of these Academical orations to present a copy at Court. But Monsieur Berryer begged to be excused. He felt a profound reluctance to go through the ceremony; and he sent a letter to "his old confrère" M. Mocquard, suggesting that the "circumstance which had taken place fifteen years ago" might be considered to give him a title to exemption. The "circumstance" alluded to was M. Berryer's defence of the Emperor, after the Strasburg affair. In his reply, conveyed through M. Mocquard, the Emperor shows that he neither forgets the particular "circumstance," nor regards the presentation or non-presentation of the redoubtable oration as a matter of the slightest importance:—

"The Emperor regrets that in M. Berryer the thoughts of the politician have got the better of the duties of the Academician. His presence at the Tuileries would not have caused the embarrassment which he seems to have feared. From the height at which the Emperor is placed, his Majesty would only have seen, in the elected of the Academy, the orator and the writer; in the adversary of to-day, only the defender of former times. M. Berryer is perfectly free to act either as usage prescribes, or as his repugnance may dictate to him."

armies, in her government, in her diplomacy, in her public spirit, in her civilisation, those conditions of order, strength, dignity, internal security, material prosperity, and manly patriotism, which enable a great state to undertake all that is good, and accomplish all that is useful, and to advance towards its object without rashness or feebleness. This is for France a new position in her history." * *

After an allusion to the unity and concentration of strength exhibited by England under the ministry of Pitt, the writer proceeds:—

" What England was at that time, what she was with all that energy and enthusiasm brought into action by an implacable war, France is now, but with tranquillity, steadily confiding in and standing upon the regular and permanent force of those institutions which she has sanctioned on two great occasions by eight millions of the votes of her people. She does not exhibit merely the unity of a crisis for public safety, by the inspiration of patriotism and the necessity of self-defence;—she exhibits the unity of a constitution adapted to her manners, to her wants—a constitution always improvable, after the wise principle proclaimed by the First Consul before the Council of State, and which the Emperor Napoleon referred to in his preamble to the great constitutional act of 1852—‘A constitution is the work of time,—we cannot leave too large a margin for amelioration.’ This constitution, still so young, had no reason to expect that it should receive a consecration so glorious, and so decisive of its wisdom and power, as that which has taken place, and amidst the very crisis of the war. The Government, no longer protected by the fictions of irresponsibility, nor shackled by rival ambitions, nor compromised by the indiscretions of Parliament, nor overruled by the caprices and

revolts of majorities, feels itself free in action, and responsible to public intelligence and to history."

The conferences which took place at Vienna during the spring of 1855 gave a new proof of the unanimity of sentiment which the courage and integrity of the Emperor had established between England and France. There was no under-current of negotiations carried on irrespective of the Power with which he was allied. In concert with England certain conditions of peace were laid down. In concert with England they were argued. In concert with England he withdrew from the negotiations as soon as these conditions were rejected by Russia; and, supported by the approbation of his people, he prepared for new sacrifices and new efforts in a cause on which, in its ultimate issue, such mighty interests depended.

CHAP. V.

THE IMPERIAL VISIT TO ENGLAND.—BRITISH FEELING TOWARDS THE EMPEROR.—SOURCE AND BASIS OF OUR ESTEEM.—THE PARIS EXHIBITION OF 1855.—THE TRIUMPH OF PEACE IN WAR.—OUR QUEEN'S VISIT OF FRIENDSHIP TO THE EMPEROR, THE EMPRESS, AND THE FRENCH PEOPLE.—THE EMIR ABD-EL-KADER.—STRENGTH, REALITY, AND DURABILITY OF THE ANGLO-FRENCH ALLIANCE.—THE EMPEROR'S ADDRESS TO THE LEGISLATURE.—POLICY OF THE WESTERN POWERS.—RESULT OF THE CONFERENCES OF 1856.—PEACE, JUST AND HONOURABLE.—BRITISH TESTIMONY.—BIRTH OF THE IMPERIAL PRINCE.—THE EMOTIONS OF FRANCE RE-ECHOED BY ENGLAND.

BETWEEN 1852 and 1855 a great change had taken place in the public feeling of this country with respect to the character, conduct, motives, and principles of Napoleon the Third. The former period was one of misrepresentation, prolific of misconception, and no doubt the violent and unfounded abuse of which he was the object had generated a large amount of prejudice amongst the English people generally. Now that these matters are “gone and past,” it would be useless to recall their humiliating details: suffice it to say, that the wisdom, courage, and temper of the injured party prevented the folly from working the dire mischief which might otherwise have been produced by it. The extremes to which scurrility proceeded led, in the winter of 1852, to a deputation from many of the principal citizens of London, who waited on the Prince President, and repudiated, on the part of the British public, any countenance of the calumny and ribaldry in which too

many of our “leading article” writers indulged. Yet it is by no means doubtful that the public opinion in England *was*, to some degree, deceived and misled by these productions. By 1855 things had in great measure changed in this respect. Much error and mystification had been cleared up, and the use made by the Emperor of the power which he had acquired was such as to secure the approval of reasonable and intelligent persons. His visit to England was a festivity; not a mere display of the pageantries of courtly hospitality, but truly and intrinsically a popular festivity. The people felt the presence amongst them of one to whom they, as well as their French neighbours, owed a debt of gratitude as the founder of a state of relations conducive to the interests of all. They entered heartily into the spirit of the address presented to his Majesty by the Corporation of Windsor:—

“ We are sensible, Sire, that to the wisdom and vigour of your Imperial Majesty’s councils, and to your unceasing endeavours to promote the true interests of the powerful and generous nation which Providence has committed to your care, may be attributed that prosperity and happiness which your country now so freely enjoys; — and we venture to augur that, by encouraging a friendly and personal intercourse between your Imperial Majesty and the sovereign of Great Britain, your Majesty adopts the surest means, not only of strengthening a happy and stable alliance between the two countries, but of maintaining the liberties and civilization of Europe.

“ May your Imperial Majesty and your illustrious Consort long live to enjoy every domestic and personal blessing, and the loyalty and attachment of an admiring and grateful people.”

The merchants, bankers, and traders of London took

the opportunity of expressing, as men of business, their sense of the benefits which had arisen from the Imperial policy, and their earnest hope for the continuance of those benefits :—

“ We fervently rejoice in the advancing prosperity of France, in the consolidation of order, in the establishment of confidence, so eminently manifested under your Majesty’s reign.

“ We desire that these blessings may continue ; that a growing and mutually advantageous commercial intercourse may be maintained between the two countries,— and, above all, that the inhabitants of both may be so connected by the ties of reciprocal esteem and good-will, that their present amicable position may endure for this and succeeding generations, to their common welfare, and the advancement of civilisation throughout the world.”

In his replies to the several deputations which waited on him, the Emperor ever kept in view his cherished principle of friendship between England and France under all circumstances,— amity independent of any exceptional necessities created by war or other extraordinary occurrences. Looking far beyond the existing emergency, he reminds his visitors that the friendship between the two countries should not be merely a temporary one, evoked by the exigencies of the moment, but enduring through all time — in peace as in war.

“ I am grateful” (he says) “ that your Queen has allowed me such an opportunity to pay my respects to her, and to show my sentiments of sympathy and esteem for the English people. I hope that the two nations will always continue united, in peace as in war, for I am convinced it will be for the welfare of the whole world and for their own prosperity. I am exceedingly

grateful to you for the sentiments you have expressed towards myself and the Empress, and I hope you will be the interpreter of my sentiments and hers to your countrymen."

Whilst the Emperor was still amongst us, an English journal made the following appropriate comments upon his position and career, and on the sentiments entertained for him by the British people:—

"It was the associations connected with Napoleon the Third—the remembrance of his deeds, and the knowledge of his worth, which pressed along his progress the millions who this week have given to the world an imperishable testimony of their appreciation, their amply founded appreciation, of fortitude in troubles, energy in action, courage amidst dangers, and clemency amid triumphs. They honoured the wisdom and probity which occupied a mighty throne, and honoured the thousand princely qualities which had won it: they honoured the great man who had retrieved the prosperity and the power of France: they honoured the good sovereign, whose chief care is the welfare of his people; and in the greeting offered to Napoleon, we may truly add, there was love for the nation which he had restored to its legitimate place amongst the powers of the earth at a moment most critical to its destinies, and given back, with the suddenness of enchantment, all its internal prosperity, after convulsions which made the most sanguine despair of its future. Given back! He has opened for it a new career of unprecedented success!"

And these were really the sentiments of the people of this country. The storms of calumny had assailed their Imperial visitor with a fierceness which is absolutely without precedent. No doubt they had moved him; he would have been more or less than human if they had not. But they had not unnerved him, they

had not appalled him, — they had never caused him to deviate from the path which he felt to be that of wisdom and rectitude. The foul hurricane exhausted itself, and left him unscathed, all the stronger and nobler for the trial. And when, soon after his return, his life was endangered by one of the pestilent crew whom Italy has vomited forth, to carry their evil passions and hateful doctrines into the bosoms of the societies which give them shelter, it would have been difficult to say whether the indignation which moved the mind of France was greater than that which prevailed in this country, at the intelligence of an attempt which might have produced consequences so disastrous to Europe. We felt that that life, that faithful and noble life, was valuable not to France only — that it was valuable to ourselves, to humanity and civilisation ; and we shuddered at the contemplation of the calamities which might have been caused by the recklessness of a single miscreant.

Perhaps no man in either country was less disturbed on this occasion than was the object of the assassin's fire. For a moment he regarded his assailant with a glance of quiet, almost pitying contempt, then rode slowly forward, and was himself the first to apprise the Empress of what had occurred. His answers to the congratulations on the failure of the attempt were characteristic : —

“ There are lives,” said he, “ which the assassin cannot touch, which are but the instruments of the decrees of Providence. So long as I have not fully accomplished my mission, I can incur no danger.” *

* In numerous instances the Emperor has given manifestations of a calmness resembling total disregard of danger of any description, even of that most deadly kind, of danger hatched by murderous conspiracy. A remarkable example occurs to me. It was

One of the noblest achievements of the magnanimous policy of Napoleon the Third, is the establishment of those terms of really sincere friendship, founded on mutual esteem, which have so happily superseded national antipathies of long standing. Had those better sentiments been merely the ephemeral product of the war excitement, they would not be so worthy of notice and congratulation. But it is not so. The auspicious revolution of feeling has no doubt been hastened and facilitated by events arising out of the war ; — it has brought us more together, and produced an intimacy which it would otherwise have taken a far longer time to bring about. But the strong foundation on which they rest lies deeper than the incidental effects of the recent contest. That strong foundation consists in the policy of which the Emperor has throughout all his adult life been the advocate, and which, under every vicissitude of fortune, he has recommended and pursued. On both sides of the water the great truth is recognised and established, that friendship and good service must henceforth constitute the relations between the two countries. The words of

when a rumour had reached his friends respecting a contemplated attempt on his life, whilst re-entering the capital from a visit to the provincial Departments. He was entreated to surround himself with his guards, to take care to be closely encircled by them up to the door of the palace. He listened to this advice with his usual calm gravity, but, on approaching the city, directed that no one should attend him within a distance of 200 or 300 yards. And thus he rode forward by himself, "encircled" only by the multitudes, whose greetings he returned with the courteous placidity of bearing peculiar to him. "Well!" exclaimed a red-hot Socialist who had witnessed the scene, and who had been informed of the circumstances under which it occurred, "after all, he is a true Frenchman; and if any one ought to govern France as king or as emperor, he and no one else is the man to do it!"

the Queen of England, on closing the Parliamentary session of 1855, will not be soon forgotten, speaking, as they do, her Majesty's heartfelt personal sentiments, as well as those of the Government :—

“ Her Majesty is convinced that you will share her satisfaction, at finding that the progress of events has tended to cement more firmly that union which has so happily been established between our Government and that of our ally, the Emperor of the French ; and her Majesty trusts that the alliance, founded on a sense of the general interests of Europe, consolidated by good faith, will long survive the events which have given rise to it, and will contribute to the permanent well-being and prosperity of the two great nations, whom it has linked together in the bond of honourable friendship.”

On the Emperor's visit to England, a Parisian journalist observes :—

“ No more signal testimony could be given of the cordial friendship which now unites France and England. In this point of view, the visit of their Imperial Majesties is an event of high significance, and the satisfaction which public opinion will derive from it cannot but be increased, if, as it is permitted to hope, her gracious Majesty, the Queen of England, shall come in her turn to visit France, where she will be received with the most lively sentiments of respectful esteem and sympathy.”

These pleasing anticipations were soon realised. The Queen did return the visit of her august ally, and during her sojourn in France experienced a hospitality as cordial as it was splendid—a hospitality, however, which would have lost much of its value had not the people—the populace—demonstrated their feeling, that whilst she was the Emperor's guest she was theirs.

One of the most eminent of the Parisian political commentators made the following reflections at the close of her Majesty's visit, coupling them with the maxim that peace was the only condition healthful and necessary for nations, and that the alliance between France and England must preserve the peace of the world :—

“ France and England are at the head of the civilisation of the world. They concur equally, although with qualities diverse, in the encouragement of human intelligence in the path of progress. They are by agreement to accomplish together that mission of peace which they have received from Providence, and from which they do not allow themselves to be led astray by the complaints and the irritations of envious and egotistical rivalries. When two nations intermingle and become identified in a perpetual exchange of ideas and things, how can they be otherwise than allies ? * * More than once it has been attempted to disunite the two nations on the most frivolous and ridiculous pretexts. Scarcely two years ago, were we not witnesses to the strangest spectacle ? Have we not seen England a prey to illusions somewhat puerile, in a state of alarm about our designs, and arming herself to resist a chimerical invasion from France ? What suspicion and defiance ! What violence and insult ! Confidence is now happily re-established. The alliance which for a moment one might have conceived to be menaced has recovered from that shock, and even those who repelled it with the greatest wrath and indignation proclaim it for evermore unshakable, and necessary for the prosperity, the glory, the honour of the country.”

Such are the ~~the~~ opinions which gain ground every day in France ; they are opinions to which the British nation heartily responds, attesting its sincerity, and its con-

fidence in the sincerity of its neighbours, by the large amount of capital which it has of late invested in a permanent form on the soil of France, and which, now that we are relieved from the exhausting drain of war, will, doubtless, be greatly increased. The World's Industry Exhibition of 1855, carried out to such successful issue amid the din and alarm of war, was itself a noble offering at the shrine of peace.* The Emperor's speech, on the closing day, dwelt emphatically on the blessings of peace, whilst pointing out to other nations the policy best calculated to restore it :—

“ In the present state of civilisation at which we have arrived, the successes of armies, however brilliant they may be, are only transitory. It is public opinion which always carries the last victory.

“ You all, then, who believe that the progress of agriculture, industry, and the commerce of a nation contributes to the welfare of every other nation, and that the more reciprocal interchanges are multiplied, the more national prejudices must be effaced,— say to your fellow-citizens, on returning to your homes, that France has no hatred of any people; that she has sym-

* It was during the holding of the Exhibition of 1855 that the Emir Abd-el-Kader uttered those memorable expressions of grateful respect which excited so much public attention, and which again reminded the world of the difference in the treatment which he had received from Napoleon the Third and from the king who abdicated in 1848. Abd-el-Kader had been invited by the Emperor to visit Paris during the Exhibition. Adverting to this mark of attention in connexion with the generous kindness which he had experienced from the Emperor, he says :—

“ I have prayed for it, and I feel sure that God will not condemn me to die before having expressed to the Emperor of the French the respect, the thanks, the admiration with which he inspires me.”

Than such a tribute, from such a man, magnanimity could not aspire to a more worthy reward.

pathy for all who desire, like herself, the triumph of right and justice.

“ Tell them that, if they wish for peace, they must, at least, openly make vows (decisions) for or against it ; for in the midst of a grave European conflict, indifference is a false calculation and silence an error.

“ As for us, nations allied for the triumph of a great cause, let us forge arms without suspending our manufactures, without paralysing trade. Let us be strong in union, and let us put our trust in God, in order to triumph over the difficulties of the moment and the chances of the future.”

It has been said that no other sovereign could “ talk to the people ” in the suggestive, reasoning, informing tone adopted by the Emperor. And so it is. The peculiarity of his addresses, their singular force and significance, arise partly from that constitution of mind which enables him to compress into a few words more meaning than most men could embody in a lengthy oration. He belongs to the nation, to the people whom he addresses. Alluding to the passages just quoted, a contemporary writer remarks : —

“ Devoid of all calculations of narrow self-interest, of all ambitious projects, Napoleon the Third is the only sovereign who can thus express himself without alarming governments and existing notions ; for it is in the very name of civilisation and humanity that he addresses himself to Europe. At all events France must congratulate herself more and more on being governed by a prince who, in so few years, has succeeded in placing her once more so high in the esteem of the world, that an immense interest and importance are attached not only to the acts, but also to the words, of her sovereign.”

The war declared by the Allied Powers against the pretensions of Russia was a war which, more than any on record, was undertaken for the purpose of establishing true and solid peace. The alliance between France and England, always the object of the Emperor's solicitude, was greatly strengthened and consolidated by that war ; and, as has been well observed, the good understanding between these two nations ensures the peace of the world. It is evident, on reflection, that the alliance now existing is not one of an ordinary kind — not one depending solely on the real or imaginary political expediencies of the moment. Governments may yet differ ; there may, in the course of time, arise discussions on many points of international policy ; but that statesman would be endowed with an inconceivable amount of sinister ingenuity, who could again bring the *people* of the two countries to such a state of mind and feeling as to render serious disagreement possible. Wonderful as is the moral influence of the Emperor upon the minds and feelings of the people of France, it is more than doubtful whether even he — supposing for an instant the possibility of his wishing to do so — could undo the good he has effected in this respect ; could eradicate the sentiments of regard for England which his own policy and example have created in the minds of Frenchmen. The visits of our Corporation to Paris, the visit of the Prefect of the Seine to England, the réunions of the two peoples on the common ground of mutual esteem, of reciprocity of interests, will not be soon forgotten. The distribution of English medals to thousands of the gallant soldiers of France, the interchange of honours conferred by the respective sovereigns upon the subjects of their ally, and the expressions which accompanied these testimonies of amity, were not mere

matters of form. They marked the new and auspicious relations which had sprung up between the two great nations of civilisation.

Prodigious were the efforts made by France and England during the struggle which, in 1855, was virtually brought to a conclusion. Yet neither country exhibited symptoms of exhaustion, far less of fatigue. The loan of 750,000,000 francs, following that of 500,000,000, had been taken up with equal eagerness. The Emperor, addressing the troops who had returned from the Crimea, reminded them that they might be again called on to serve their country before the contest closed, and exhorted them to maintain their warlike habits and aptitude. When absurd rumours of a growing "coldness" on the part of the French monarch were circulated in England, Lord Clarendon, speaking with ministerial responsibility, energetically testified to the generous cordiality with which that illustrious personage co-operated with us in council as well as in action; and his language was responded to by assurances from our ally, that "if ever an entire union of sentiment existed between two great powers, it was between France and England." The *Exposé des Motifs* presented to the Legislative body pointed to the gratifying facts of prosperous industry, active trade, commerce invigorated by sound and successful enterprise, in spite of three successive unfavourable harvests, of the drain caused by a distant war, and of the effects still remaining from the state of internal disturbance—approaching anarchy—from which the country had been rescued by the beneficent genius of the Emperor. Prosperity, progress, tranquillity, and content, might describe the state of France, notwithstanding the several exceptional drawbacks which she had encountered.

"Calm at home," exclaimed an observer of her actual condition, "glorious abroad, henceforth assured of her alliances after being so long isolated in Europe, and confiding in the wisdom of the sovereign, she even now gathers the fruit of a feeling at once firm and loyal, generous and prudent." And the voice of a grateful people returned an enthusiastic affirmative to the interrogation — "Consider France now at home and abroad, and consider what she was eight years ago. 'Look on this picture and on this,' and then say, heart and head, — has Napoleon the Third, or has he not, been a good, great, and beneficial gift from Providence to his country, to Europe, and to human society?" Looking calmly at facts, without prejudice for or against persons or systems, who can doubt that this describes his position and mission ?

The result of the Conference, or Congress, of Paris, is before the world. That result is admitted, by moderate persons, to embrace the accomplishment of the objects for which the war was undertaken. The difference between the united policy of the Western Powers in the conduct of these negotiations, and that which influenced the continental diplomatists at the Congress of Vienna—where the object of each, instead of consulting the general interest of Europe, was to grasp some territorial prize for the aggrandisement of the sovereign whom he represented—has been thus vigorously portrayed : —

"In the Congress of Paris nothing of the kind is to be seen. There is no division of spoils between the victorious nations — no private ambitions to satisfy — no egotistical interest to consult, — nothing, in fact, to despoil from the vanquished people. France and England, satisfied with the glory of having fought for

Europe and triumphed for civilisation, stipulate for nothing in their own peculiar interests. They stipulate for nothing beyond the independence and security of all,—for nothing beyond humanity, progress, and right; so much so, that Russia, in conceding what they demand, concedes, in fact, to reason and equity. The war in the East has thus created a precedent which will bear fruits for Europe. It will henceforth be known that, between the strong and the feeble, the West will ever be ready to cast in its sword in order to separate them. This perspective of force sustaining right will restrain more than one ambition,² prevent more than one struggle, anticipate more than one act of violence. The peace of the world will be more certain, for it will not enter into the mind of a sovereign to abuse his strength, and crush the weak in despair of justice, now that France and England are united to maintain right.”

This identity and union of policy may be regarded as the true “material guarantee” for the conservation of peace, and the observance of justice in Europe. Having successfully finished the great task which they had undertaken, England and France afford, in their alliance, an assurance to the world that the fruit of that result shall not be lost. Had not England and France felt satisfied that the measures assented to by Russia as the conditions of peace fully accomplished the objects contemplated at the commencement of the war, that war would be still going on, having still for its ultimate design the establishment of a real peace — a solid peace — a peace in which the rights, the security, and independence of all the nations of Europe should be equally regarded. But no peace could have been solid or trustworthy which would have imposed on a power

possessing the gigantic resources of Russia, terms of a nature actually degrading to her,—terms subjecting her to contempt and ridicule on the score of utter abasement in the rank of nations. Any such peace would have been regarded by her only as a truce—a breathing time—an interval of recovery and of preparation for a conflict more bloody and obstinate than that which it had suspended. In the speech with which the Emperor opened the Legislative session, in March 1856, he equally indicated readiness for the continuance of the war, if that course should prove necessary, or for the ratification of peace on terms equitable to all parties, and ignominious to none:—

“ The last time that I summoned you to meet, grave anxieties weighed upon us. The Allied armies were exhausting themselves in a siege, in which the stubbornness of the defenders made success doubtful. Europe, uncertain, seemed to await the issue of the struggle before declaring herself.

“ To sustain the war, I asked a loan which, although it might appear excessive, you voted unanimously. The high price of provisions menaced the labouring classes with general discomfort, and a disturbance in the monetary system gave ground to fear the slackening of business and the diminution of employment. Well! thanks to your aid, and to the energy displayed in France and in England — thanks, above all, to the support of Providence—these dangers, if they have not entirely disappeared, are for the most part dissipated.

“ A great deed of arms has since decided a desperate struggle, unparalleled in history, in favour of the Allies. The opinion of Europe from that moment has been more openly shown. In every direction our

alliances have extended, or have become more firm. The third loan has been supplied without difficulty. The country has again proved to me its confidence by subscribing a sum five times larger than I required from it. It has undergone, with admirable resignation, the sufferings inseparable from dearness of provisions—sufferings which, nevertheless, have been mitigated by private charity, by the zeal of the corporations, and by the millions of francs distributed in the Departments. An arrival of foreign corn has now produced a considerable fall in the price of food; the fears arising from the disappearance of gold have faded; and never has labour been more active, never have the revenues been so large. The chances of war have reawakened the military spirit of the nation. Never were there so many voluntary enlistments, nor so great an ardour among the conscripts.

“ To this short statement of our situation I may add a fact of high political significance. The Queen of Great Britain, wishing to give a proof of her confidence in, and esteem for, our country, and to render our relations still more intimate, visited France. The enthusiastic reception which her Majesty received must have proved to her how deep were the sentiments inspired by her presence, and how much of a character tending to strengthen the alliance of the two peoples.

“ The King of Piedmont, who had unhesitatingly embraced our cause with that courageous ardour of which he had previously afforded proof on the field of battle, also came to France to consecrate a union already cemented by the valour of his soldiers. These sovereigns beheld a country, some time so disturbed and fallen from her rank in the councils of Europe, now prosperous, peaceable, and respected, making war, not

with the hurried delirium of passion, but with that calm which belongs to justice and with all the energy of duty. They have seen France, which had sent 200,000 men across the sea, at the same time convoke at Paris all the Arts of Peace, as if she meant to say to Europe — ‘The present war is but an episode for me, and my strength is always in great measure directed towards peaceful occupations. Let us neglect no opportunity of coming to an understanding, and do not force me to throw into the battle-field the whole resources and power of a great nation.’

“This appeal seems to have been heard, and winter, by suspending hostilities, favoured the intervention of diplomacy. Austria resolved upon a decisive step, which brought into the deliberations all the influence of the Sovereign of a vast empire. Sweden bound herself more closely to England and to France by a treaty which guaranteed the integrity of her territory. Finally, from all the Cabinets, advice, or requests, were sent to St. Petersburg. The Emperor of Russia, inheritor of a position which he had not brought about, seemed animated by a sincere desire to put an end to the causes which had led to this sanguinary conflict. He accepted cordially the propositions transmitted by Austria. The honour of arms once satisfied, to defer to the distinctly expressed wish of Europe was to do himself honour.

“At this moment the Plenipotentiaries of the belligerent and allied Powers are assembled at Paris to decide on the conditions of Peace. The spirit of moderation and equity which animates them all must make us hope for a favourable result. Nevertheless, let us, with dignity, wait for the end of the conferences; and let us be equally ready to unsheathe the sword again, if it prove

necessary, or to offer the hand of friendship to those whom we have honourably fought.

"Whatever happen, let us busy ourselves with all matters which tend to augment the power and the wealth of France. *Let us draw still closer, if that be possible, the alliance which has been formed by a common participation in glory and in sacrifices, and of which peace will make the mutual advantages appear even more conspicuous.* Let us, lastly, at this moment, so solemn for the destinies of the world, put our trust in God, so that *He may guide our efforts in a way the most advantageous to the interests of humanity and of civilisation.*"

Though the specific contingency which formed the principal subject of this speech has now been resolved in a manner satisfactory to Europe, the noble, dignified, and loyal expressions contained in it ought to be preserved as a testimony to the spirit in which the Imperial speaker regarded his alliance with England, and the purposes for which the Western Powers co-operated in opposition to an aggressive policy. The perfect identity of objects between the two nations is, next to the "support of Providence" and the guidance of God—that support and guidance which are ever the subject of his acknowledgments and aspirations—the cause to which he attributes the successes of the past and his hopes for the future. The Allied Powers he represents as "equally ready to unsheathe the sword again, if it prove necessary, or to offer the hand of friendship to those whom they had honourably fought." This is the language of reason and wisdom. It is idle to talk about subjecting to "ignominious punishment" a power possessing such defensive resources as those of Russia. She has learned a wholesome lesson, a lesson

which she is not likely to forget. She has paid dearly for the fatal mistake committed by her on the day when Prince Gortschakoff led his armies across the Pruth. To have attempted to exact more from her would have led us into hostile extremities, carried so far as to incur some danger that right and wrong might change sides—for war, protracted longer than is necessary, places fearfully in the wrong those who, having entered into it with a good cause, persist in it with undue obstinacy; or if a treaty of peace had been signed upon terms involving Russia in the shameful humiliation contemplated by certain enthusiasts, the treaty would have been a hollow one, a mere suspension, which would have rendered more fierce and destructive the recurrence of war.

“The speech,” observed an English writer, “concentrated in its phraseology, distinct in its aim, and distinct in its purpose, cannot but exercise a most remarkable, and probably a permanent, influence upon the public mind of every country in Europe. * * * One of the most remarkable parts of it, and the most interesting and striking to Englishmen, is that which immediately touches ourselves, and which speaks of the relations between Great Britain and France. It is the more important at this critical stage of affairs, because there have been circulated, not only in this country, not only through continental Europe, but throughout America, studiously concocted reports that the ideas and wishes of the Governments of France and England were not entirely in unison upon the great question connected with the establishment of peace. It was said that the Emperor Napoleon was not prepared to go to the full length desired by the Cabinet of Lord Palmerston in pressing conditions upon the

Emperor of the Russias. To this assertion the speech to which we are alluding exhibits the most absolute contradiction. That speech, so far as it relates to matters common to both countries, might have been made by Lord Palmerston himself. There cannot, in fact, be found in history so complete an example of strict and intimate alliance between two great nations, or so striking an instance of two Cabinets marching onwards to the accomplishment of a common object with a step so equal, so true, and so unfaltering."

The "concocted reports" alluded to by the writer are only a repetition, in a new form, of the devices through which certain parties have for years been engaged in endeavours to sow dissension between England and France, to excite in the minds of Englishmen feelings of hatred and hostility towards the Emperor. And it cannot be denied that such devices did, at one time, partly attain their object,—it is undeniable that they created, some few years ago, a great amount of prejudice in this country. That in the long run they have been utterly foiled and defeated; that the sentiments with which Englishmen regard the Emperor are diametrically the reverse of those contemplated by the weavers of discord and the systematic dealers in calumny, is a circumstance which, whilst we rejoice at it, we must attribute to his patience, his forbearance, which caused him to abide the course of events, and the proofs which time was to bring of his good faith and integrity; and with heartfelt cordiality Englishmen respond to the sentiments contained in the subjoined paragraphs:—

"We rejoice most heartily in the prosperity of France, in her continually progressing development and ever renewed strength. Her stability is scarcely of less im-

portance to us than our own ; and our most earnest hope is, that of this struggle between the Western Powers and their great northern adversary there may be begotten permanent relations between France and England, which, by the influence they may exercise upon the future organisation and social progress of the civilised world, shall make compensation to humanity for the woe and misery which the fierce and prolonged war in which we have been engaged has inflicted upon it."

" The appeal of the Emperor is to all France, and all France responds to that appeal. He calls her to be true to her dignity in the hour of her probation, and she sustains his confidence. Without hesitation, therefore, he sends two hundred thousand of his best soldiers to the seat of war, relying for the maintenance of order and the security of the Throne, not upon the multitude of his troops, but the suffrages of his people. The whole tendency of his Government has been to fix the prosperity of his Empire upon the basis of peace, resting it rather upon the development of her internal sources of greatness than upon the more brilliant, but in the end less abiding, glory of military conquest. France repaid his wise rule, and, in the part she played at the Grand Exposition, proved how thoroughly and successfully she had applied herself to the same lofty ends. Peaceful at home, victorious abroad, successful in commerce, triumphant in war ; upholding an Empire founded upon liberty, while resisting an Empire extended by oppression ; maintaining at the same moment the great and the good : allying herself with her traditional enemies in the cause of right, and breaking from her traditional friends in resistance to wrong ; asserting her ancient strength, as though suffering

from no recent weakness; putting forth the proud claims of a united Empire, as though she had not been, three years before, a torn Republic; and, gathering up, by the potency of an inherent recuperative power, all her long dormant elements of strength and majesty, asserting her resumption of her ancient place amongst the Powers of Europe, and claiming to be one of the arbiters of its destinies. In that position she could speak of war as a mere episode in a career of peace, an exercise of might which she could make without difficulty when need required, and abandon, when that need ceased, without reluctance. In that proud attitude she offered to the world the best credentials of a prosperous people, a beneficent ruler, and an Empire growing daily to higher moral stature and reaching forth to nobler ends of being."

The birth of the Prince Imperial realised national hopes long deferred—deferred for years. And never was title more perfectly representative of truth and fact, than that of "Fils de France," which, following prescription, the Emperor adopted as the baptismal description of this child of illustrious destiny. The son of France—the son of the nation—the gift of Providence to the people of France. It was in this sense that the title was bestowed, in this sense that it is interpreted by the great country in which, after the lapse of many years, the welcome stranger, we most earnestly hope, may commence a reign in which France will enjoy the matured and perfected results of the glorious policy of his sire.

On this joyful occasion, the municipal authorities and public bodies of all kinds throughout France came

forward with affectionate eagerness, to manifest their sympathy with the happiness of their sovereign :—

“France” (said the President of the Paris Court of Cassation) “owes to your Majesty internal order, the development of all the national resources, the rank which she has resumed in the world, the success of a just and necessary war, and the hope of a durable and fruitful peace. Providence, which does nothing in an incomplete manner, has willed that a son, brought up under your direction, instructed by your example, and formed by his august mother to all the delights of charity, shall one day continue the work of government and of civilisation which you pursue in the midst of the most evident marks of Divine protection, and of the gratitude of the people.”

This allusion to the Divine protection is consistent with the religious and reverential tone in which the Emperor so frequently takes an opportunity of referring all things—his destiny, his fortune, his success—to the Higher Power which overrules earthly councils and energies. No one can read the public language of Napoleon the Third without being reminded that the speaker is a Christian as well as a statesman. When his glory and prosperity are most conspicuous, his addresses are couched in a tone of humble reverence which shows that with him earthly successes have no power to produce forgetfulness of the Source from which they spring ;—and in this his official speeches present a marked and acceptable departure from what had become a sort of fashion in France—the habit of ignoring the existence of a Supreme and Omnipotent Tribunal — of omitting every phrase and allusion which could indicate that the speaker was a Christian ruler addressing a Christian people.

The congratulations presented by the Civil Tribunal of Avignon, may be read as a fair specimen, in tone and substance, of those emanating from the provincial bodies generally :—

“ Sire,—France, scarcely recovered from so many storms, and involuntarily disturbed by the remembrances of the past, only enjoyed with a feeling of vague uneasiness the previous benefits of your reign. She dared not inquire into the future. You yourself, Sire, after having again strengthened the principles of authority, of morality, and of religion, which alone can secure the duration of empires ; after having brought back victory to our standard, re-achieved the high influence which belongs to us in the councils of Europe, and rendered possible, by good faith and energy, an un hoped-for peace ;—you, Sire, felt the want of a direct heir to your glorious name, to continue your wise and courageous traditions, and perpetuate the truly providential work in which you are engaged. Your wishes and ours have just been granted, and henceforth we shall only have to invoke the blessings of Heaven on your Majesty, on the Empress, and on the cradle of the child on whom the destinies of a great people now rest.”

By Englishmen, who have learned from experience and observation the character of the French Emperor, and who, in the stability of his rule, recognise that of a system congenial to their own interests as well as to those of France, the event was hailed with manly satisfaction. An extract or two from the journals of the day will not be unacceptable, as marking the sentiments of late entertained in this country for Napoleon the Third :—

“ The birth of a prince, as heir to the throne of the French Empire, is an event not to be looked on with

the indifference with which the British nation is wont to regard the failure or the success of the hereditary lines of other foreign States. France stands out as a momentous exception in the history of the world. She has rejected as signal failures the Orleans and the Bourbon dynasties. She has tried and has found grievously wanting the system of a mock Republic. She has chosen with the cry of a great nation the Napoleon who now rules her, and since the hour of that choice every act of the Emperor has justified the belief that, in so doing, the instinct of the people put the right man in the right place—that the judgment of the nation fell, not like a chance glory on the first head that presented itself, but, like a true robe of honour, was placed on the figure that best claimed and deserved it. The French people may well be proud of the qualities—may well exult in the sagacity, the courage, the sterling honesty—which Napoleon the Third has shown in vindication of the choice that ended a terrible struggle. The English nation cannot but rejoice that the man who has established a firm, prosperous, intelligible dominion in that France which was fast becoming a source of doubt and mistrust to all the world, now sees that dominion strengthened and cemented by its settlement in a new dynasty, bidding fair to guide the French people as a support to all that is weak—as a strength to all that seeks freedom. They cannot but feel it as a subject for sincere congratulation, not less for themselves than for their neighbours, that the last shadow of uncertainty is now removed from the throne of their Ally—that the last faint chance of a renewal of the intrigues and the strife of other days is gone for ever—that the Emperor, certainly the first man in France, by his own energy and power, no less than by the popular acclamation, now owns a Son of France to

whom he can leave the care of the Empire that he has consolidated. England owes much of her firm position, much of her ability to protect the Right, to the steady unswerving friendship of France, and everything that tends to strengthen the existing state of things in that country — to keep that great nation as our cordial friend, instead of perverting it to a doubtful enemy or a jealous neighbour — is of the greatest importance to us.

* * * * *

“ What the future of the Imperial child will be is in the hands of God alone; but the present is lighted not only by Imperial splendour, but by that which is worth far more — by the promise of the past — by the hope that arises from what has been done — by the aspect of France redeemed from her shattered condition — of France, full of energy and hope at home, powerful and respected abroad, the firm friend and the lasting ally of England — of France holding a stronger and firmer position under the Imperial rule than she has ever held before. May the young prince succeed worthily to all that the strong mind and steadfast honesty of his father prepare for him, and may he inherit, as a tradition of the Empire, the friendship of the English people who now rejoice at his birth ! ”

The policy and objects amidst the accomplishment of which the young prince was born, are thus reflected on. The definition of the Emperor’s idea of “ peace ” is logical, and corroborated by the tone maintained and the course pursued by him : —

“ Strange is the situation, momentous the epoch, which mark the dawning moments of the child. The father’s Empire ‘ meant peace,’ meant respect for the rights of surrounding nations, security for their independence ; an assertion, at all costs and at all hazards,

of their inviolable claim to pursue their lawful business and their useful industry, untrammelled, un thwarted, and unmolested. He said so ; he said so ere he took, he said so in the act of taking, the powers entrusted to him by the unanimous accord of an enthusiastic nation. The thought of his Empire and the thought of peace — peace within it and peace with his neighbours — presented themselves to his mind at one and the same moment. He so felt as to deem the two ideas indissoluble — he so acted as to make the two things identical. But what peace ? The strong mind and the strong will were incapable of vague conceptions or of inert and frigid aspirations, such as those dreamy Potsdam preferences which amount to but an impotent, valueless wish, not to a vigorous, fruitful, acting policy. No ; it was not in this manner that the Third Napoleon understood peace — it was not in this sense that he valued it, sought it, and defended it. If peace be good to have, it is good to keep, and good to recover. When he proclaimed his love for it, he intended — as right and justice allowed him — to enjoy it under no aggressor's mere sufferance, but to maintain and hold it by force, and by every extremity of force, should this be necessary. Peace with him signified the rights of Europe in peace, not wrong and oppression in unresisted ascendancy. He was not likely to permit to others what he had forbidden to himself.

" Having strictly observed every international obligation — having retrieved all the resources of his own country, re-established society, revived industry, and forced the most mistrustful of foreign States to confide in his loyal and upright designs, he has since, in conjunction with England, vindicated the outraged dignity of public law, and repelled an assailant who menaced Europe itself. In effecting this last great achievement,

he has incidentally revived all that fame in arms for which France need fear few rivals in either ancient or modern history. This he accomplished, we say, incidentally; for as he himself truly and happily remarked, so strong has been that movement towards industrial prosperity and moral regeneration impressed by his policy upon the affairs of the Empire, that the war has been but an episode for France, and the splendid recovery and even augmentation of her martial glory but an incident.

"The peace for which he has striven, the peace of which he proclaimed his Empire to be the symbol, is just in the dawn of its return, when the heir of his fortunes comes into the world, by another of those strange coincidences which seem to mark the destiny of the present Emperor, and to make it in some respects the complement, in some the compensation, and, in all that is best, only the delayed fulfilment of the attempts and hopes connected with the great founder's reign. Divine Providence never destined the progeny of the marriage with Maria Louisa to sway the sceptre which was to pass for a while from the great race of Napoleon. The stormy and warlike Empire of the first monarch was not suffered to build at once the durable edifice of regenerated order in France, and the dynasty which had scared mankind with the blaze of its sudden glory sank and almost disappeared under immense calamities; but these were only the tribulations which attend almost every great and permanent transfer of authority and trust among mankind. The blow was struck which had demolished the past; the tree was planted which should overshadow the future."

In his replies to the addresses presented by the Plenipotentiaries of the Congress and by the Legislative body, the Emperor adverted as well to the general circum-

stances of Europe, as to the principle which had raised himself to the Imperial dignity. To the Plenipotentiaries he said : —

“ I thank the Congress for the wishes and congratulations which it addresses to me through you. I am happy that Providence has sent me a son at the moment when an era of general reconciliation is announcing itself to Europe. I shall bring him up with the feeling that nations must not be egotistical, and that the repose of Europe depends on the prosperity of each nation.”

The allusion to the proper policy of nations is not so much a rebuke as an admonition. It is not to be doubted that it had reference to the events of the last three years, and to the causes which led to the disturbance of the peace of Europe ; but it applies as much to the future as to the past. The Plenipotentiaries, representing all the great European powers, had declared that the birth of the Imperial infant, “ by securing and consolidating the Napoleon dynasty, was for the whole world a fresh pledge of security and of confidence ; ” and the Emperor did not forget to remind them of the principle which it should be his object to establish as the guiding star of that dynasty, — the principle of equity, “ fair play,” reciprocal and independent friendship and good offices between nations, strong and weak.

Replying to the Senate, he explained his own view of the meaning of the denomination “ Child of France : ” —

“ The Senate has participated in my joy on hearing that Heaven has given me a son, and you have hailed as a happy event the birth of a Child of France. I intentionally make use of that expression. In fact the Emperor Napoleon, my uncle, who had applied to the new system created by the Revolution all that was great and elevated in the old *régime*, had resumed that ancient denomination of the children of France. The reason is,

gentlemen, that when an heir is born who is destined to perpetuate a national system, that child is not only the scion of a family, but also in truth the son of the whole country, and that appellation points out to him his duties. If this were true under the old monarchy, which represented exclusively the privileged classes, how much more so is it now, when the sovereign is the elect of the nation, the first citizen of the country, and the representative of the interests of all ? I thank you for the kind wishes which you have expressed for this child of France and for the Empress."

The reply to the address of the Corps Législatif contains an affecting reference to the precariousness of human fortunes, accompanied by the expression of a confiding hope in the Providential protection under which France had become the theatre of a change so wonderful and so beneficial, and by a recollection of the origin and duties of the existing dynasty : —

"I have been much affected at the manifestation of your feelings at the birth of a son whom Providence has given me. You have hailed in him the hope, so eagerly entertained by the nation, of the perpetuity of a system which is regarded as the surest guarantee of the general interests of the country ; but the unanimous acclamations which surround his cradle do not prevent me from reflecting on the destiny of those who have been born in the same place and under similar circumstances. If I feel hopes that his fate may be more fortunate, it is in the first place because I confide in Providence, because I cannot doubt its protection when seeing it raise up, by a concurrence of extraordinary circumstances, all that it was pleased to level forty years ago, as though it wished to give strength, by martyrdom and misfortune, to a new dynasty, springing from the ranks of the people. History besides contains

instruction which I shall not forget. It tells me, on the one hand, that the favours of fortune should never be abused ; and on the other, that a dynasty has no chance of stability unless it remains faithful to its origin and occupies itself solely with the popular interests for which it has been created. This child, who is consecrated in his cradle by the peace which is being prepared, by the benediction of the holy father, brought by the aid of electricity an hour after his birth, and lastly, by the acclamations of the French people, whom the Emperor so much loved — this child will, I hope, be worthy of the destinies which await him."

The sentiments expressed on these and other occasions by the Emperor, confirmed, as they have been, by those best of all proofs of sincerity—consistent actions—have gained him praise and admiration, even amongst those political sections in England whose members were formerly most bitterly hostile to him. A leading "radical" journal observes, on his Majesty's replies to the congratulatory addresses : —

"It is the avowal of this great text of political civilisation that accords exactly with the conduct which has won the Emperor cordial esteem and regard among ourselves. We believe that he perceives the interests of the human race as our best and wisest perceive them, in the development of the arts of mutual help. He sees that the greatness of empires must reside in themselves, in the full use of all the advantages which God has bestowed upon them, and their peace and security in the interchange of benefits with others. He has faith in right and good. As a statesman of this first order of greatness, we honour him. As a reformer of the old insane feud between the two realms, we give a natural and unbounded preference to himself, and to the dynasty he may educate in his views, over the legitimate and

quasi-legitimate races that have kept their subjects from turning on themselves by hounding them on to worry others. He is a king of men, not a dog-fighter. And as to a citizen who has lived among us and joined in our national feelings, as to a man whose most genial hopes are thus far fulfilled, we tender him all good wishes, even to the realisation of every honest, fatherly, and patriotic aspiration the event may prompt him to frame."

It was a judicious observation that the complimentary language of official bodies in France would, by itself, have been disentitled to recognition as necessarily marking the sense of the nation. True, the terms employed by those who represented these bodies were more pointed, more practical, more definite, than those usually addressed to a crowned head. There was no vagueness in them. Specific facts were mentioned, specific assertions made, capable of being refuted or controverted, had there been anything false or exaggerated in them. There was no attempt to shelter the hollowness of compliment behind a cloud of mystification. Still, the true test of popular feeling is the spontaneous expression of the millions having no connexion with the Executive Power save that of nationality and their sense of identity of interests. There was much truth in the remark that the demonstrations of the dames des halles, of the artisans, of the provincial populations, of the faubourgs inhabited by the operative classes, and formerly the strongholds of disaffection, were more worthy of note, more important as testimonies of what France thought and felt, than anything emanating from parties holding official rank could be. And this species of demonstration—popular, universal—the exceptions being so insignificant as to illustrate the more forcibly, by contrast, the feelings

which actuated the masses—was that which saluted the birth of the heir to the throne.

Here in England, too, unaccustomed as we are to much external manifestation of emotion, we united our acclamations to those of our friends and neighbours across the Channel. The Lord Mayor of London was overwhelmed with communications from the heads of the provincial municipal bodies, suggesting the propriety of the lead being taken by the Corporation of the metropolis, in offering the tribute of our English congratulations, and expressing the satisfaction of English hearts at an event so pleasing to “our good, true, and faithful ally.” And at the meeting of the Common Council of the City of London, resolutions in accordance with those suggestions were voted amid unanimous acclamations. One of the Aldermen took the opportunity of saying a few words to his fellow-citizens, in reference to the mutual position of England and France:—

“There is not a man in the Court who does not feel from his heart the importance of the alliance between England and France, and that the prosperity of this country mainly depends upon a good understanding with our nearest neighbour and ally, and not, as hitherto considered, our natural enemy. I look upon the birth of an Imperial prince as a blessing from a superintending Providence. When I read the answers which the illustrious Sovereign of France has returned to the addresses of his people congratulating him on the event, I see a great, generous, and strong mind, and an expression of gratitude for the favour which Providence has bestowed.”

The resolution following was voted by the Court, after a remark from the Lord Mayor, that if it were an English habit to offer congratulations on such occasions,

in the ordinary intercourse between acquaintances and fellow-citizens, still more appropriate was such a course in the present instance, in relation to "our firm friend, our courteous neighbour, our august ally, the Emperor of the French :—"

" That this Court tenders to their Imperial Majesties the Emperor and the Empress of the French, its hearty congratulations on the auspicious birth of a prince,—an event of importance to the general interests of France, and conducive to the domestic happiness of their Imperial Majesties. That this Court recalls with pleasure the gracious visit of their Imperial Majesties to the Guildhall of this ancient city, and it now renews the hopes and aspirations then expressed by the Corporation of London, for the long life and happiness of their Imperial Majesties, and that France may continue prosperous, in intimate alliance with this country, and in amity with all the nations of the world."

The language of the Common Councillors of London represents in substance the declarations which proceeded from municipal authorities, from associations and institutions in all parts of this country. In many towns the public buildings were decorated with the united flags; the church bells rang out joyous peals — one of our English modes of evincing particular satisfaction and sympathy with the happiness of persons who possess our esteem and good wishes. With more than wonted alacrity—with an alacrity wholly unparalleled in connection with such matters as the domestic felicity of foreign potentates, we responded to — we re-echoed — the rejoicings of our neighbours. Never before was there witnessed in England so general a sympathy — so emphatic an expression of concurrence with the emotions moving the heart of another people. Looking at the scenes presented in many parts of England,

upon the receipt of the intelligence communicated by the booming of "the twenty-second gun," any one not acquainted with the actual cause of the celebration might have supposed that it was in honour of some event of happy import to our own well-loved Sovereign.

CHAP. VI.

REFLECTIONS ON THE PAST, MEDITATIONS ON THE FUTURE, OF NAPOLEON THE THIRD.—STATE OF FRANCE AT THE CLOSE OF THE WAR.—THE INEVITABLE CONDITION OF NATIONAL GREATNESS.—UNITY OF FRANCE AND ENGLAND IN THE INTERESTS OF MANKIND.

THE birth of the young Prince crowns the transcendent fortunes of a career which, in its vicissitudes, in its difficulties and trials, in the persistence, equanimity, and unswerving reliance of a single man on the ultimate triumph of a great principle, is absolutely without parallel in the history of the world. We have seen Napoleon the Third descending, in his infancy, "from the steps of the throne" to the position of a proscribed exile. We have seen him, when a youth, with the sentence of perpetual banishment fixed on himself and all his race, directing his thoughts incessantly to France, foreseeing the day when the ban would be removed, when all France would recognise the great name of Napoleon as one to be honoured — not proscribed. We have seen him adhering to this faith and persuasion in times when the avowal of belief in the possibility of such a change was regarded, by men who considered themselves astute politicians, as the mere manifestation of a restless fancy. When the prospects of the Napoleonite principle were at the lowest ebb, when the power of an ascendant dynasty seemed most firmly established, his convictions respecting the future were as complete, as boldly expressed, as they were after events had proved that his calculations were

not merely visionary. So entire was his belief — so perfect his faith — that, when the Orleans dynasty was at the climax of its temporary success, he was engaged in drawing up a plan of the system which he regarded as best adapted to the wants and interests of France, and which ought to replace that introduced, amid national indignation, by King Louis Philippe. The nature and probable workings of that contemplated by himself, he argued and investigated with as much earnestness, as if its adoption were a measure under present discussion by statesmen and legislators, instead of being the dream of a young man, without influence beyond that of his name, living in retirement on the quiet borders of a Swiss lake. And if it were dissimilar, in many details, to that which he found, on experience, to be required by the actual position of the country, it will be seen, on examination of its leading features, that the fundamental, guiding principle of both was the same — modified only by the exigencies involved in the fluctuation of principles and crash of factions of which France was so long the victim.

We have in England a maxim, which has passed into a toast, and is repeated with unlimited unction by orators at public festivities. It is, “The people the only source of legitimate power.” This maxim, or principle, so popular amongst us, is what has been enunciated all through his life, in every alternation of fortune, by Napoleon the Third, and been practically exemplified by him in his public acts. On this principle he bases his power, — to the people he refers unreservedly his title to the exalted position which he holds; and never has he done so more emphatically than in replying to the congratulatory addresses presented to him by the Legislative body on the birth of his heir. Never, in his mountain dwelling in Swit-

zerland, in the seclusion of Ham, in his English exile, when so many inducements existed to court popularity by exaggerated professions,—never did he more implicitly hail the national will — the universal people of France — as the only source from which power could lawfully emanate, than when speaking to the world with the weight of Imperial authority. And if, at the present moment, in France, there be less scope for political discussions and controversies than may agree with the prepossessions of Englishmen, whose liberty is an edifice which it has taken centuries to erect, calm reflection upon the state of affairs in the neighbouring country will lead to the conclusion that the stringency alluded to is, and must for some time continue to be, conducive to the true interests of France. It should be remembered, that though faction, perfidy, and reactionary conspiracies have been vanquished, they are not yet dead; that though the infinitely preponderating majority of Frenchmen are heart and hand with the Emperor, the fractional minority of disaffected persons — who would willingly plunge the country into a murderous anarchy—are restless, impatient; that they have shown how great is the power for mischief of a small number of active and pertinacious disturbers of the public quiet. Society must be consolidated, order must be secured, the embers of intrigue and anti-national plotting must die out, before the Emperor, in view of his duties to the people of France, can be justified in relaxing that vigilance of supervision—that entirety of executive administration — which he has established with the approval of the nation.

As when surrounded, in 1846, by extraordinary inducements to compromise his own relations with France, he chose unhesitatingly the course of consistency and honour, and remained faithful to the

principle which was the guiding star of his life; so, in 1856, he plainly avows that his hope of the prosperous perpetuity of his dynasty is bound up with the observance of that principle. And, as in all the struggles of his life, he has advised England and France that the relinquishment of inveterate prejudice and hostility, and the frank adoption of sentiments of esteem and friendship, constitute the policy of true patriotism for the people of both countries; so one of the uses which he has made of his power has been to give effect to that advice—with what eminent success the present happy union of sympathies testifies. Many steps which he has found it incumbent to take have been from time to time subjects of misapprehension in England, and he has himself, in consequence of such misapprehension, been assailed by vituperation as intemperate as undeserved. However pained and annoyed he may have felt at this injustice—proceeding from a country which he esteemed, and by which he desired to be esteemed in turn—he never suffered his annoyance to degenerate into petulant resentment, or to interfere with the object which he has at length happily accomplished, in the annihilation of those prejudices of “hereditary” and “natural” enmity, that too long described the feelings of Englishmen and Frenchmen.

Glorious and beneficial as his rule has already been to France, the seeds of still greater benefits have been planted, to develope goodly fruit in due time. In truth, and courage, and faithful perseverance, he has worked to a great end, and nobly attained it. In the midst of an expensive and onerous war, France has enjoyed under him a social tranquillity and material prosperity the more remarkable that they followed so soon a long interval of distress, disturbance, and confusion. It is quite true, that great sacrifices

were made, in order to carry on the mighty contest with as little pressure as possible on the comforts of the population — as true as that England and France were prepared to encounter still greater sacrifices, had they been necessary to the effectuation of their honest and laudable purpose ; and it is possible that now, on the return of peace, there may be experienced, for a while, some disturbing effects from the extraordinary efforts put forth during 1854—55. But such contingencies are only what nations of the first rank must accept as conditions of their greatness and dignity. It is by the power and the readiness to make extensive sacrifices and costly efforts for commensurate objects, that nations become and remain great and respected ; and if some of the consequences of these efforts be momentarily unpleasant, this forms no argument against the policy which dictated them.

Firmly established in the grateful attachment of the country which he has served and saved ; possessing the cordial good-will of Englishmen, whose unfavourable prejudices his honesty, faith, and fortitude have converted into friendly esteem ; holding a high, perhaps unequalled, influence in the councils of Europe—Napoleon the Third gives incessant proofs of his fitness for his pre-eminent position ; of his will and ability to use it in the interests not only of France, but in those of justice, humanity, civilisation throughout the world.— In the solidity of his power, in the prospect, vouchsafed by Providence, of the permanence of the beneficial system, and the regeneration of the just policy, inaugurated by him, the wise and good of all countries recognise the opening of a new and better era in the intercourse between governments and nations.

SECTION VI.

THE ERA OF PEACE—OPINIONS IN ENGLAND ON THE PEOPLE AND GOVERNMENT OF FRANCE.—THE INUNDATIONS.

THE return of peace brings thoughts and objects differing widely from those which for three years engrossed the interest of Europe. Short as the time is that has elapsed since the outbreak of hostilities, it is profoundly true, in the words of an eminent peer, that "in that brief space we have lived through the events of an age." Without reiterating those speculations upon the projects and designs of Russia, which have so long furnished a text for political controversy, it may be asserted that the relations of that great power towards the rest of Europe are placed on a footing more satisfactory to her neighbours, and more conducive to the true prosperity and happiness of the Russian people themselves, than she has occupied since the period of her recognition in the first rank of European nations. If it be true that the policy of Russia was one of systematic aggression, maintained under all circumstances, and carried forward without cessation during a century and a half, that policy has at length, for the first time, received a decided check—such a check as has not only brought its practical operations to a stand-still, but has actually driven it back upon itself. The continuous territorial

extension of the dominions of the Czar was a thing of which we had been so accustomed to hear, that their curtailment appears more like a dream than a realised fact ; encroachment was a word so long identified with the name of St. Petersburg, that concession, retrogression, are terms which we can scarcely accept in their full meaning.

But the facts are there before us. The incubus, as some have termed it,—the bugbear, as it has been termed by others,—of Russian predominance is dissipated, and all fear of her resuming a position obnoxious to other powers is postponed to indefinite, at least to distant futurity. It is a positive fact that many nations breathe more freely, and all, even the greatest, more easily, than they did three years ago ; but it would be idle to say that Russia is disabled. The powerful armies which, in the heat and crisis of the war, she continued to maintain in regions remote from the chief scene of conflict—in the North, in Asia Minor, along the line of her interminable frontier—refute that idea. Her resources for further campaigning remained powerful at the centre, though weakened at one extremity. But, if not disabled, she has been admonished,—she has received a lesson, wholesome if stern, that there are boundaries which the prestige of countless legions and limitless territory will not be permitted to transgress ; that whilst beyond all question she is entitled to a place amongst the foremost powers of the earth, whilst her strength is gigantic, her courage and enterprise redoubtable, these qualities constitute no charter to infringement on the rights of others, even though in vigour, virtue, enterprise, and every quality commanding respect and esteem, they may be infinitely her inferiors. And such is Turkey. No one supposes that the course of the Western Powers, in resenting the crossing of

the Pruth by the army of Gortschakoff, was dictated by respect for the religious and political system of Turkey. But it was felt by England and France that that proceeding was one of the steps in a career tending generally to the subversion of the rights and independence of nations. The defence of Turkey became a European necessity. The two leading peoples of civilisation undertook the onerous duty of fulfilling the dictates of that necessity, and right gloriously they have performed their task. Some of the results of the Two Years' Work were thus summed up in the House of Peers by a veteran peer, unconnected with Ministerial office :—

“ What was the condition of Europe two years ago ? What were the evils which France and England meant to redress or to avert ? Looking at Europe at that time, I may say that Russia was in possession, either personally or by the influence of her diplomacy, of a great portion of Europe. Russia, with her armies and her naval power—though that had been greatly exaggerated—with her diplomatic skill and great wealth, at that time exercised a sort of indefinite influence over a great portion of Europe. Germany felt the weight of that mesmeric influence—a sort of awe and dread which even extended to our distant empire in India. Germany sat cowering under the influence of Russia. Sweden was becoming gradually absorbed within her snares. The Principalities were within her grasp ; the waters of the Danube were under her seal ; the Black Sea was commanded by her fortresses and crowded with her navies ; and Turkey had contracted that mortal disease which her powerful neighbour had imparted to her. But what is now the state of Europe ? The spell is broken. Germany has awoke from the trance, and begins to show some signs of consciousness ; Sweden is reassured, the Principalities are re-

stored, the waters of the Danube are open, and the Ottoman empire is free. One auspicious characteristic of this Treaty is the moderation which the plenipotentiaries have manifested throughout the negotiations. The two belligerents, England and France, were influenced by no sordid desire of aggrandisement, by no vulgar ambition, and they sought to gain no exclusive advantages for themselves. The advantages which have been gained are all public—are all European—and they belong, I may say, to all the nations of the world. When France and England entered into this war, they solemnly announced that it should not be followed by any personal aggrandisement. There are some who are disposed to regard with suspicion this declaration, and there have been times when such a declaration was made merely a pretext for spoliation and robbery: but in this case the pledge has been fully and honestly redeemed, and I say that this is one of the most satisfactory characteristics of the Treaty."

That article in the Treaty which secures, so far as any promise of the Turkish government can be regarded as security, the release of the Christian subjects of the Sultan from the atrocious oppressions by which, for centuries, they have been trodden under foot, is another valuable result of the Two Years' Work. It is not to be hoped that the execution of this article will or can be enforced so faithfully as if the party pledged had been a civilised government. The inveterate corruption of the Turkish administration, the sanguinary fanaticism of the Mussulman population, render it improbable that for a long time the emancipatory measure can be carried out in the provinces remote from Constantinople. But a great beginning of good has been made. The first really effectual step in the reform of some of the worst features of Turkish barbarism has been taken;

and this fact may be accounted one of the fruits of the union of France and England—a union mainly owing to the constancy and firmness of the man who, as was well remarked by a British peer, “has made ‘the Great Napoleon’ no longer a distinctive epithet.”

Undoubtedly, then, a lesson, severe and stern, has been administered to Russia. She has for the moment been weakened. A statesman, who, beyond most of his contemporaries, is independent of party ties, looking into the future, thus meditates on the probable attitude of that country, when, by cultivating the arts of peace, she shall have developed her enormous dormant resources, and rendered herself more powerful than ever:—

“ I am well aware that Russia may make herself more formidable by developing her internal resources; but it is impossible for nations to act on the principle that such development is to be regarded as an object of jealousy. I entertain the opinion, which was proved in the recent struggle, that a single line of railway would have been more serviceable to Russia, as a means of defence, than the vast accumulation of cannon and military stores. But if we were to look with jealousy on other countries developing their resources, the world, with all its imperfections, would be less fit for us to live in than it is. The time has been when the nations of Europe—and I cannot exclude England from the catalogue—acted on the other view of the case, that the wealth of one nation was the poverty of another. That is a doctrine as detestable as it is unsound.”

This is sensible language; but the speaker might have gone farther. Russia, attending to arts and industry, instead of devoting herself so exclusively to the drilling of troops and the preparation of munitions of war, will doubtless become in time more powerful than she

was when many nations quailed at her name. But this wiser occupation of her energies will, in the natural sequence of cause and effect, render her more reluctant to endanger the blessings she will thus have acquired. It must be remembered that the "hereditary policy," as it was termed, never until now met with an actual repulse — never until now was not only interrupted but turned back. The most authentic intelligence received from St. Petersburg represents the Czar and his advisers as engaged in any contemplations rather than those connected with the promotion of that policy. Internal improvements of all kinds — agriculture, commerce, manufactures, roads, bridges, public works—are stated to be the objects of their solicitude. It will be an extraordinary eccentricity in human nature, if such occupations, followed by their natural fruits, do not bring the sovereign and statesmen of Russia to views more moderate, more peaceable, more consonant with the principles of civilised progress, than those long attributed to the successors of Peter the Great. Indeed the character and disposition of the present Czar predispose him to a course of proceeding the reverse of that which has so often given cause for uneasiness.

"He has," it was observed in the course of the debates on the Peace Address, "already won the esteem and regard of Europe; he has shown great moral courage and humanity, and a just estimate of the interests of his people. A great career of usefulness is before him, and it may be trusted that he will pursue that course which it is evident he is inclined to take; and if he do, he will reap a glorious reward in the improvement and happiness of his people, and be beloved and respected by all Europe."

The admittance of Turkey "to the comity of nations"

has been dwelt on as one of the beneficial consequences of the war. Granted that it is so. There are many who think that the position of Turkey is not worthy of consideration beyond the extent to which her internal abuses may be rectified, and to which her government may be rendered somewhat less of a standing obstruction to civilisation. Whether these depreciatory views of the nature of the Ottoman system be correct or not, it must be confessed that so long as that government exists nominally, it is desirable that it should be amenable to general international law, rather than remain in isolation. But, perhaps, it might be added, that far more important, far more advantageous, is the adhesion, *in sincerity and good faith*, to the "comity of nations," of the mighty, energetic empire of Russia. That is a consummation pregnant with beneficial effects to the world. That is a result, the value of which cannot be over-estimated. And that it has now been attained there is every reason to hope. Even whilst the war lasted it was generally understood that the state of things to which he had succeeded was not congenial to the feelings of Alexander the Second. Well, that state of things is terminated. The career of peaceful improvement on which he appears to have entered, the increase of friendly intercourse between Russia and the West, the cordiality with which her late antagonists have expressed their desire that friendship should take the place of enmity; all things conspire to afford the young Emperor a noble opportunity of confirming the favourable prepossessions of Europe. On the whole it may be presumed, that by the arrival of the time when Russia shall have recovered the exhausting effects of the late struggle, she will be disposed to employ her recruited strength more wisely than in the resuscitation of projects of which, supposing

them to have been rightly attributed to the Emperor Nicholas, the impracticability has been so signally demonstrated.

And in what position does the close of the contest leave the relations between England and France? It was prophesied by some of those who regarded that alliance with jealousy,—who would willingly have introduced dissension,—who would have excited in the minds of the English people feelings of jealousy and distrust of the Emperor of the French,—that the war would be brought to a close, not through the firmness but through the crumbling and disruption of the alliance. What are the facts? Never was a great enterprise begun, carried on, and consummated with so perfect an accord between two powerful allies. Never was there, in circumstances so trying and delicate, an example of such unshaken cordiality, such undeviating loyalty and good faith. Sacrifices, sufferings, perils, and glories shared in common, have confirmed and cemented those good feelings which it has been the life-labour of Napoleon the Third to promote between his own gallant people and the people of that land which stands second only to his own in his esteem and affection. How high, and pure, and generous was the object with which the two great nations encountered the costs and sacrifices of war,—how bravely and honestly they worked together towards that object! It was well described by the venerable peer who seconded the Address in the House of Lords:—

“I will first refer to France, with whom we have unfortunately in former times been in a state of discord, but I trust will now remain in perpetual peace. Nothing could be more noble than the manner in which England and France united together for the attainment of common objects. They have been brothers

in arms, brothers in military labours, and in the victories of war; and I trust they will now continue brothers in the more glorious victories of peace. The confederacy between England and France will be memorable in history. Confederacies generally are proverbially frail. When one mighty power coalesces with an inferior power, there is then the acknowledged supremacy of the former, which prevents the evils of divided command. In the present instance, however, two nations, equal in power, had joined their forces together for the accomplishment of a great purpose. Their armies were under separate generals, and under the direction of independent sovereigns, and they had to act against the absolute will of one individual of great capacity, who was the absolute master of millions of brave, intelligent, and disciplined soldiers. These were the odds against which the confederacy had to contend, all calculated to multiply the difficulties and bring out the weakness of that confederacy, if any weakness existed. What was the secret of their success? First and foremost, the purity of their motive. That motive was so high as to raise them above all petty discord and little animosities. The next was the undoubted loyalty and good faith of the two confederate Powers; but besides that firm loyalty there was required that single, and wise, and judging head whose duty it was to find out and select one great and paramount object, the importance of which should be such that its acquisition should be final and decisive of the struggle. That principle pervaded their actions, and bound the wills of the nations into one common bond. They were thus bound together by united councils in one glorious enterprise, giving them compactness and force which enabled them to triumph against that supreme will with which they had to contend. * * * "Look," continued the speaker,

"at the union between France and England, and the importance which that union will have on arts, sciences, and civilisation. Who can tell the possible consequences of that union, which I trust will continue unbroken, and which, if it continue unbroken, will from day to day acquire new strength and solidity!"

In the Commons, the value of the alliance, or rather of the cordial esteem and friendship, between ourselves and our gallant neighbours, was likewise the subject of congratulatory allusion :—

"England carried on the war with energy, at least the latter part of it, and she has formed a real alliance with France, by which additional security is given for the continuance of peace, and which will enable her to pursue the arts of peace and promote the cause of civilisation at home and abroad."

Nor did honourable members forget the chief individual influence to which, under Providence, the two countries are indebted for the alliance :—

"The alliance of this country with France has been proved by severe trials, by some reverses, and by great successes. It is the first time in history that the two countries have learnt to trust each other ; and the attainment of great objects has been the result of a confidence which I believe to have been mainly due to the scrupulous honour and fidelity with which the Emperor of the French discharged every obligation he entered into with reference to England."

Most true it is that never before were France and England upon terms which could be described as those of sincere and trustful amity. Before now there has been peace, there has been nominal alliance, there has been occasional co-operation in diplomatic negotiations, and once or twice, as in the "untoward event" of Navarino, in more active measures ; but who can deny

that, century after century, the feeling between them has been the contrary of trustfulness — that the hearts of Frenchmen and Englishmen never beat really in unison ? It was reserved for the present Emperor, it has been his glorious privilege, his chosen labour, to promote a new and better sentiment — to substitute confidence, friendship, good-will, for the old rankling jealousies which had never been extirpated ; which had lingered on, amid all changes of governments and dynasties, making peace always precarious and hostility ever imminent. Those who remember — and who does not ? — the provocations which, whether as President or Emperor, he received from some of our orators and journalists — those who seriously call to mind the abuse and invective of which he was so long the object, will appreciate the constancy and firmness with which, in the face of all discouragements and annoyances, he pursued his object of drawing closer together the sentiments of the two nations, and founding mutual esteem on mutual intelligence. Generous and noble was the effort — complete is the success. Before the war began he had sown the seeds of the happy change, and that very event of war, which it was hoped by his enemies, and by the enemies of England and France, would have frustrated his labour, has crowned it with honourable triumph.

The debate on the Address gave the Earl of Clarendon, Her Majesty's Secretary for Foreign Affairs, and in virtue of his position as our principal representative at the Paris Conferences, an opportunity to bear testimony to the conduct of the Emperor. The noble Earl referred in the first place to "the great position which the Emperor had made for himself, and which he preserved, because it was founded in confidence on him, and above all on his perfect good faith." Certain it is that the

Imperial power of Napoleon the Third, founded on the well-won confidence of the people of France, and on the faith and truth with which, through every phasis of his proceedings as Chief of the State, he has acquitted himself of the great duties entrusted to him by numerous expressions of the national will, is maintained in increasing splendour and dignity, amid the enhanced respect of the civilised world, by the qualities which first created it. The entire passage is worth quoting, not the least interesting portion of it being that in which Lord Clarendon describes the Emperor's repudiation of those suspicions of England's good faith and sincerity which certain influences are, on all possible occasions, exerted to disseminate amongst foreigners. The Emperor's entire confidence in our truth and candour, at a juncture when we were doubted by many, is consistent with his whole character—with the respect he has always manifested not less for our national honesty than for our national might—with his utter disdain of the "Perfide Albion" fanaticism which parties whom we have protected are at this moment, as many times before, endeavouring to excite in France. Lord Clarendon said:—

"I feel that I have another duty to perform—namely, that I should in justice and gratitude bear unfeigned testimony to the honourable conduct, perfect good faith, and straightforward proceedings of the Emperor of the French. The Emperor of the French occupies a great position, which he has made for himself, and which he preserves, because it was founded in confidence on him, and above all on his perfect good faith; and I believe that this confidence will go on increasing, because the great position which he occupies, and the great power which he wields, have never disturbed his clear and honest judgment. It was

a striking coincidence, as showing the result of the Emperor's policy, that on the 30th of March last he received from the plenipotentiaries a Treaty of Paris in the Tuileries—in that very palace in which, on another 30th of March, a Treaty of Paris against France was signed by the representatives of the respective governments of Europe. My noble friend has alluded to certain difficulties which attended my position. I felt the difficulties arising out of a general belief of the insincerity of England—that England was inflamed by a thirst for military glory—and arising out of the warlike spirit which was exhibited in parliament and the press. I became deeply sensible of the existence of that feeling, and of the impression that we did not mean to make peace, and that we proposed to drag France on in the war, although she believed that every object had been attained. I must say that the Emperor of the French did not share in that impression. He had full confidence that what we had undertaken we would perform. He believed in the honour of England; and he believed also that no British representative would negotiate, wishing those negotiations to be unsuccessful."

In fact, the Emperor knew as well as any one that of all the powers which were parties in the negotiations, England was that which could best afford to carry on a long war; but he also knew that English statesmen had declared that they did not make war for the sake of war but for the sake of peace, and that they were willing and desirous that hostilities should cease as soon as the objects which had induced England to engage in these hostilities should be obtained. The Emperor knows us well. Himself a man of honour and sincerity, he has learned from experience to give us credit for similar qualities. And when the English government declared itself open to the acceptance of an "honourable peace,"

he believed that its declaration expressed its meaning and intention. He did not believe that Lord Clarendon's mission was a mission of lies and fraud. He believed that if the intention of England had been to carry on the war in any event, without regarding the feelings of Europe, the conditions on which peace could be made, or the original causes of the rupture, she would never have despatched her plenipotentiaries to Paris; that if she had pre-resolved that, come what could, there should not be peace, she would not have gone through the hypocritical farce of conferring on the subject. In short, knowing us and trusting us, he discountenanced the rumours and assertions which had gone abroad, as to Lord Clarendon's part in the consultations being merely that of a fraudulent sham. It was not the first occasion on which he had borne witness against a continental prejudice which was apt to impute disingenuousness—not to say perfidy—to British policy. With good reason the Earl of Ellesmere ascribed the happy termination of a season of trial, suffering, and sacrifice, to the cordial co-operation and generous confidence of "our faithful ally."

But it is not in parliament only, emphatic and unanimous as, amongst statesmen of various parties, were the tributes paid to his loyal and magnanimous conduct,—it is not in parliament only that we find Englishmen recording their sentiments of reverence for himself, and friendship for the great people on whom his wisdom, fortitude, and honesty have conferred such important service. Wherever, in public or private, his name is mentioned, the same sentiment is manifested. The exceptions are just sufficiently noticeable to prove the virtual universality of the rule. With respect, too, to the tone of the press, the utter failure of the attempt of one or two newspapers to get up a "cry" against the

Emperor in the year 1856, stands in pleasing contrast to the intemperate effusions into which too many English journalists allowed themselves to be betrayed a few years since. It is my conviction that the more respectable and by far the larger portion of the writers who took part in the indecorous exhibitions of 1851—53, sincerely regret their error; that, even if public opinion did not forbid it, they would not be found repeating the folly of the period alluded to. The disapproval with which isolated attempts at a renewal of the campaign of calumny have been received, proves that the people of this country heartily concur, in this instance, with the language used by the members of both Houses of Parliament.

In the month of May, the Lord Mayor* of London entertained the Queen's ministers and many persons of distinction. The banquet was disposed on the grand scale for which the hospitalities of the City of London are renowned, and which was worthily maintained by the late Lord Mayor, who, to the practical knowledge and sound common sense of a first-rate man of business, unites true gentlemanly courtesy and the acquirements of an accomplished scholar. Amongst the "merchant princes" of London it would be impossible to point to one who from character and position could be better qualified to perform the duties of host on an occasion which was no ordinary Mansion-House festivity, but when transactions of the deepest interest were to be treated by some of the principal actors in them.

The guests included many of the eminent men of various countries, including the French ambassador,

* The Right Hon. David Salomons.

and other members of the corps diplomatique, the prime minister of England, and several of his colleagues, peers, judges, members of parliament, and divines. Amongst the toasts proposed was one which, the Lord Mayor observed, "could not fail to sink deeply into the hearts of Englishmen, affirming as it did the necessity of the cultivation of those intimate relationships which had sprung up between England and France." His lordship proceeded to dwell on the importance to the whole world, as well as to England and France, of preserving those relationships, and drawing still closer the bonds of union and friendship:—

"The world has beheld the two most powerful nations on the face of the earth bound to each other in arms for the accomplishment of a great object—no less an object than the security of Europe, and the interests of the world at large. That great object they pursued with determination, but at the same time with moderation. Those two great nations have now retired from the contest in which they had been engaged, and I trust that the intimate alliance which subsisted in war will continue during the times of peace. The Emperor has been blessed in his domestic relations, and England most cordially rejoices in his felicity. We have in the City of London some interesting recollections of their Majesties the Emperor and Empress of the French, and I am sure the citizens of London will feel with me that no toast could be more honourable to the City, or more acceptable to themselves, than that which I am now about to propose—that of the Emperor and Empress of the French." (The toast was received with unbounded applause.)

The speech of the French ambassador, acknowledging the compliment paid to his Sovereign, described suc-

cinctly and impressively the sentiments of the Emperor with respect to the people of England; on the international alliance, and its present and prospective consequences:—

“ The sentiments which the Lord Mayor has just expressed correspond faithfully with those of the Emperor of France towards England. After the war, which, in the short space of two years, has solved one of the greatest problems of our age; after the war, which stands nobly amongst all wars for the objects it had in view, for the principles of humanity it has brought into practice, and for the moderation which has characterised its close; after a war, which, in a word, will rank in history as one of the most glorious episodes of civilisation, that which France desires above all is to preserve in peace the alliance which in war has been productive of such great results. That wish of France, which I am convinced is also the wish of England, will be accomplished; for, gentlemen, at the point of history at which we have arrived, there no longer exists anything that can divide us. Our ancient animosities we have extinguished in the fraternity of the field of battle; but we have, perhaps, done still more for our union by mutually repudiating those bygone doctrines of false economy which based the prosperity of a country on the ruin of its neighbours. Thank God, we now know that the principle of national wealth is industry; that commerce establishes itself only between producers and producers; and that, consequently, the activity of our neighbours is as essential as our own to our prosperity. Let none, then, seek to divide us, for none will succeed in doing so. But, may the fraternal union of England and France continue in the general union of Europe, and may it serve as a solid and impregnable basis for all alliances which really

have in view the increase of international relations, and the happiness and honour of the people!"

The applause which greeted this address was but the echo of the feeling pervading the mind of the people of England. The rank and talent and wealth of the empire were well represented by the numerous and distinguished company whom the private, not less than the public, position of the Lord Mayor had gathered around him; but the plaudits which arose from these expressions were the expressions not merely of their own emotions, and of those of the wealthy and privileged classes, but of all the people of the United Kingdom; of the enlightened middle classes, of the honest, industrious millions, whose robust good sense so often enables them to discriminate the substantial merits of public questions without pausing upon subtleties and refinements.

The Queen's Ministers, departing from the reserve in which it is usual to enshroud official references to national policy, dwelt emphatically on the advantages of the Western alliance, and on the importance of perpetuating it. The First Lord of the Admiralty said:—

"I cordially reciprocate, and I am sure that every one in this room does the same, the noble and generous sentiments which have been enunciated by the ambassador of France; namely, that the alliance which has taken place between the two countries will be productive of the best consequences as regards the happiness and welfare of mankind. Long may the union of England and France continue, in peace, sincere and firm as it has been during the war! May their rivalry be a rivalry of friendship, resulting in the extension of commerce and the arts of civilisation, contributing still further to cement their alliance, and to promote the welfare and happiness of the entire human race!"

The Lord Mayor having proposed the toast of "Her Majesty's Ministers," in a speech which manifested a thorough acquaintance with the various bearings of the considerations involved in the Treaty of Peace, Lord Palmerston replied. After vindicating the general principles on which the Treaty had been concluded, his Lordship alluded to the part taken by England and France, and to the prospective effect of their union upon the general interests of Europe :—

"The more," said his lordship, "the people of this country compare the state of things two years ago with the condition in which Europe is placed by the Treaty which has been concluded by my noble friend who sits near me,—that treaty, I say, which, with such distinguished ability, with such foresight, with such anxious care to guard every interest which came under consideration, has been concluded,—the more that comparison is made, the more the people of this country will have reason to think that their sacrifices have not been made in vain ; that the efforts which they made have not been without adequate result ; and while they may look back to the war with pride and satisfaction on the one hand, on the other hand they may look to the peace with confidence as regards the future. You have heard, gentlemen, the noble and enlightened sentiments expressed by the ambassador of France,—sentiments worthy of that great nation, and above all of that great Sovereign, whom he so worthily represents in this country. The knowledge that those are the principles of our great ally, and the principles that will guide his conduct, must inspire you still more with confidence in the results of the peace. It is a gratifying circumstance—a circumstance most reassuring to Europe—that those two great nations, the nations of England and France, are allied in common bonds ; that after having often stood side by

side in the field of battle, after having sat together in conference at the same table at which peace was made, they are sure to be bound for the future by ties doubly sacred, ties cemented by common danger, by community of interests, which, I trust, will perpetuate an alliance not only honourable and advantageous to the countries whom it binds, but one that will lay a foundation upon which the peace and prosperity of Europe will rest."

I have felt it due to the cause of truth to reproduce these passages from speeches delivered by some of our leading public men. At a time when, both here and in France, attempts to neutralise the beneficent effect of the Imperial labours are renewed,—when designs are concerted, and deliberately organised, to rend asunder our alliance, to revive jealousy, distrust, ill-will between Englishmen and Frenchmen, to render our mutual relations as precarious, as full of doubt and suspicion, as they had been for centuries during the prevalence of the doctrine, now so energetically reprobated alike in England and France, that the interest of each country consisted in enfeebling and impoverishing the other,—such sentiments, so expressed, possess a cheering significance.

The language of British statesmen, in alluding to the conduct and position of Napoleon the Third, affords a signal example of the triumph of courage and integrity over great difficulties. It would be idle to deny that so lately as four or five years ago, language of compliment—nay, language of common-place respectfulness—would have been so contrary to the unreasoning prejudice “got up” against him, that it would have required unusual nerve and fortitude to utter it either in parliament or in any public place. Every word of his was misinterpreted, every motive

distorted, every action misrepresented. Even some of our leading men were fain to court a cheer by pandering to that prejudice; and amongst them were more than one of those who have since recorded their conviction of the honour, the wisdom, and beneficence of one who was erstwhile the object of such unmeasured obloquy.

Now it required no common order of mind, no common measure of patience, forbearance, self-reliance, to maintain good temper and equanimity amid prejudices so obstinate and so general. And it required, too, a high degree of sagacity, and of fundamental friendliness towards ourselves, to cause the French ruler to keep his thoughts directed so steadfastly towards us, to persevere in his aspiration of founding a real alliance with England, instead of turning from us, and entering the continental combinations into which he would have been gladly admitted — combinations not too favourable to the interests and dignity of Great Britain. But he did persevere. He perceived that the public mind in this country had been abused; that, in ignorance of the motives and circumstances of his proceedings, actions justifiable and praiseworthy had been held up as criminal. He was further aware that there was a machinery constantly at work expressly for the manufacture of slander. He judged that the lapse of time and the development of accurate information would be required for the removal of the misapprehensions thus created; and strong in the consciousness of purity of purpose, he adhered to a policy, the results of which will probably be felt for ages with advantage by England and France — a policy which, like his power, was, in the words of the Earl of Clarendon, “founded on good faith,” but which, considering the amount of provocation he received to depart from it, may likewise be said to have been founded on his indo-

mitable preference of duty to all other considerations; on his discernment of the direction in which that duty lay in the interest and honour of France; and it may fairly be added, on his attachment to this country, as that with which, above all others, for the sake of the lasting welfare of both, it was desirable to cultivate amicable relations.

Had a different spirit governed the councils of France in 1853–4, when the quarrel with Russia was verging to the crisis — had the ruling man in France been one less firm in conviction, less steadfast in purpose — had he been a man of wavering, fickle temper, apt to be seduced by momentary glitter or temptation, or cajoled by plausible appeals to his vanity or cupidity — how different, now, might be the aspect of Europe, and of England especially! If, in 1853, the Emperor of the French had been of a temper to listen to the blandishments with which it was attempted to separate France from England on the Eastern question, what would have been the position of England, isolated in Europe? Would the statesmen, the merchants, the manufacturers, the intelligent men of all classes, interested in English honour and prosperity, would they now be congratulating themselves, as, with a few eccentric exceptions, they are doing, on the removal — “through the co-operation of our faithful ally” — of that chronic cause of uneasiness — that “standing menace” to the peace of Europe — embodied in the policy which has been at once rebuked and defeated?

Truly fortunate it was that such a juncture found a man equal to it,—that a crisis at once so delicate and momentous found a spirit and sagacity equal to its exigencies.

To this providential circumstance we owe the fact that the events preceding the war, and the events of

the war itself, so far from weakening the bonds of amity which it had been the effort of the Emperor to establish, have strengthened and confirmed them. This it was that enabled the Earl of Clarendon, following up his address to the House of Peers, to tell the guests of the Lord Mayor that "the events incidental to war, which occurred in connection with the alliance between this country and France, served the better to strengthen that union between them, and to exhibit the unswerving fidelity of our great ally." Such union, such fidelity, the Czar Nicholas had regarded as impossible. He was not ignorant of the exasperating tone which had been adopted by a portion of the British press, in its allusions to Napoleon the Third. He was not ignorant of the notions of "natural enmity" existing in England and France, and which made numbers regard cordial alliance as a thing that could not be. He was not unaware of the temptations that might have suggested themselves to the mind of the French Emperor to woo popularity amongst certain sections of his subjects by taking an attitude adverse to England. And the inducements to such a course were beyond doubt powerful—would, beyond doubt, have had great weight with an ordinary man. But the man to whom they were presented showed himself in this emergency, as in many others, of no ordinary stamp. Rising above the personal and narrow views which would have prevailed with an inferior mind, he steered consistently by the lights of justice and duty; and one of the results is that high moral position which, more effectually than the vast material force at his command, gives him such influence in the councils of Europe, and impels English statesmen to declare, with confidence, that his is a power which must go on increasing, because its foundations are laid in honour and truth.

It is scarcely possible to grasp an adequate conception of the difficulties wherewith the Emperor had to contend in consummating the policy which he has laid down as the rule of his government, including, as one of its prominent features, the interchange of good will and good offices between England and France. In preceding sections the reader has seen how pertinaciously the former prejudices of the Parisian populace have been fanned by the leaders and organs of a party which styles itself the supporter of order, but which has not scrupled to lend itself to the excitement of disorder—to every incendiary device by which it was imagined that the position of the President, and afterwards that of the Emperor, could be shaken—to every stratagem that held out the possibility of damaging him in the regards of the people of France. Amongst these devices the appeal to anti-British passions has been a favourite card, and been played through all the moods and tenses of unscrupulous dexterity; but vigilance and firmness, supported by public approbation, made the game a losing one; and each move of the ingenious agitators left them in a worse position.

But these gentlemen are not deterred by repeated discomfitures from persisting in a course so unprofitable and discreditable to them. When the Emperor said that the war and the peace had cemented the union of France and England, he expressed the common feeling of both countries; but that declaration was one out of which his enemies did not fail to essay the manufacture of what in England we term political capital. One would imagine that the Anglo-French alliance is a thing so obviously beneficial, so plainly conducive to the common weal, that no rational being, wishing to preserve a reputation for good sense and honesty, would impugn it. But not so. There is a party, or, more accurately

speaking, a discordant combination of political cliques, which, hating each other intensely, have for the nonce effected a species of junction, the present object of which is to damage the Imperial policy, without the slightest regard to any consideration save that it *is* the Imperial policy, and as such, must, if possible, be brought into disrepute. The intrigues of one of these cliques were partly instrumental in producing that conglomeration of delusion and error already alluded to as having exhibited itself a few years since, and which now appears so astounding to us. It was *then* thought a capital stroke to excite passions in England against the Emperor; the cue *now* is to excite passions in France against England, and through England against the Emperor. Then the experiment was enacted on the liberalism of this country; now the lists are entered in the interests of despotism, and simply because England having credit for liberalism, and the good understanding between ourselves and the Emperor being too firmly grounded to be shaken, it is deemed necessary, for the latter reason, to excite prejudice against us. The facts of the case are these. Had the Emperor manifested a cold or unfriendly disposition towards England, there would have been no end of arguments in proof of the necessity of actually fraternising with us; but as his disposition is the reverse of coldness, far less unfriendliness, the rôle of intrigue is to get up jealousy of the English alliance. Thus we find a newspaper representative* of this party, or combination

* The toleration, in Paris, of the newspaper alluded to, whose editorial contents consist in great part of effusions systematically aimed against the policy, indeed against the character of the Emperor, stands in curious relation to the statements so frequently made, as to the utter annihilation of freedom of expression in France.

of cliques, descanting on the peace in the following terms, the predicates suggested being taken as matters of fact, and the alliance of England being identified with them. It is a bold, but rather too transparent, specimen of the *suggestio falsi* :—

“ If the alliance of England obliges us to tolerate a permanent state of revolution and anarchy in Spain, we are not for the English alliance. If France will be compelled, in order to remain the ally of England, to permit revolution to introduce itself into Italy, to overthrow the kingdom of the Two Sicilies, to plant itself in Palermo, to menace the Sovereign Pontiff in Rome, then are we not in favour of the English alliance. If, in Switzerland, the oppression of honest folks, the despotism of the multitude, anarchy in the seat of power, are the conditions of the English alliance, we think that at that price it is too dear.”

It would be difficult to put together a more dishonestly distorted concatenation of hypotheses than is contained in the above. England is arraigned, by inference, as the incendiary of Europe, as the active fomenter of anarchy and convulsion. Herself pre-eminently the seat of order, she is represented as the indefatigable instigator of disorder elsewhere ; and this proposition being modestly assumed as fact, the argument passes on to the supposititious predicament of France dragged by her ally into all manner of outrage against her neighbours.

The conclusion to which the writer invites his readers is worthy of the quality of his premises. This conscientious gentleman, so anxious for the honour and glory of France, would fain get up a sectarian war between French and English influences in Turkey. Amongst the advantageous results to which wise and

good men look forward from the late hostilities, is the mitigation of religious feuds in the East. But the journalist of the Bourbon "fusionists" cannot concur with that hope. On the contrary, he insists that one of the first steps of France, in connection with her Eastern policy, should be to commence a system of intrigue, such as must inevitably bring her into collision with England. And then he proceeds to pronounce on the nature of the alliance which France ought to seek for the purpose of facilitating the laudable purposes indicated by him:—

"What is the destiny of that empire which Europe wishes to endow with a new regeneration? Which belief, which influence, will prevail there? This will remain, we trust, for a long time the subject of the contemplation of our government, which could not desire that our treasures should be wasted and our blood be spilt for a regeneration of the Ottoman Empire that should not have a permanent result on our influence. The best alliance for us is, therefore, that which appears to have the same interests as ourselves at Constantinople; namely, the alliance of Austria. France has a great task to accomplish in the regions still subject to the authority of the son of Mahmoud, which is, to establish, by the influence of her civilisation, the ascendancy of Catholicism. Her part is to place herself at the head of the Catholic interests in the East. United to Austria, she can perform great actions, and acquire at the same time immense advantages and much glory."

The attempt to curry favour with the most sordidly selfish passions and vanities is here palpable enough. How different from the pure, the nobly generous object, which united England and France in the late war! "Immense advantages," "much glory," "French influ-

ence," "the ascendancy of Catholicism!" such are the views propounded by the Bourbon journal as those which should actuate the course of the French government. They are set up as antagonistic to English influences—as leading to inevitable quarrels with the policy of England. And for the anticipated conflict of sects, and for selfish schemes of aggrandisement, the aid of Austria is invoked to effect the utter prostration of English influence,—the exclusion of England from any share in the councils which it may be necessary to adopt in order to secure for Europe, for Turkey, and for the world, the fruit of the efforts which we have made in common with our magnanimous ally.

It is worth while to take note of these indications of the animus of the persons who, as adherents of the family which has been driven from the throne of France amid the contemptuous indignation of her people, are even now engaged in plots for a revolution of reaction. We may smile at the absurdity of their projects, but we cannot but revolt from the want of principle that characterises them. Meanwhile it is pleasant to know that their manœuvring is not likely to disturb the harmony of sentiment which reigns between the two governments on all important points of policy, and that, in the words of the chief magistrate of the British capital, heartily responded to by sovereigns, statesmen, and people on both sides of the Channel, the union and accord, so faithfully maintained in war, promise to be further strengthened and perpetuated in peace.

It is right that the people of England and France should know the parties who have been striving to promote jealousy, to sow discord, to neutralise the benefits of the friendly relations established between the two countries which stand at the head of Christian civilisa-

tion. Desperate, in the view of all unprejudiced observers, as are the schemes for restoring to the throne of France any branch of the family which the national verdict has repeatedly condemned, there are partisans who cling with tenacity to those schemes, seeing in them their only chance of a return of that system of governing through corruption and "patronage," which degraded France, but aggrandised a host of political place-hunters. Every habitual reader of the newspapers observes, from time to time, mysterious paragraphs relative to negotiations for the "fusion" of the pretensions and interests of the Bourbon branches. A considerable proportion of the Orleanist and Legitimist partisans are supporters of the "fusion;" but there is a difficulty as to its conditions. The groundwork understood to have been adopted by the majority recognised the Comte de Chambord, grandson of Charles the Tenth, as "head of the family," making over to him whatever chance of royal power might be alleged for the Comte de Paris, son of Louis Philippe, by the adherents of that young prince. But this concession was objected to by friends of the latter, who say that the first thing to be done is to undermine the position of the Emperor, and that it will then be time enough to settle the question of precedence of regal pretensions. It may safely be predicated that the rival expectants will have to expend a large amount of patience, before they are called on to fix the terms of any "settlement" suggested by such a catastrophe as they contemplate; and there is something sufficiently ridiculous in the solemn tone of the controversies which arise on such questions as whether the fleur-de-lis or the tricolor is to be the "emblem" of the dynasty in expectancy. Pending, however, the discussion of these momentous topics, the two "interests" are perfectly in

accord respecting the necessity of concentrating their energies in defaming whatever may be the policy of the Imperial government. It is certainly a somewhat audacious use of the privileges of "opposition," to raise an outcry against an alliance which, whilst beneficial to England, is not less so to France. But these gentlemen do not lack audacity. Accordingly, *because* the alliance with England is known to be agreeable to the Emperor, they lay themselves out for diminishing, as much as they can, its popularity in France. Hence the tone of the prints which are maintained by them.

Planted firmly in the gratitude and confiding affection of France, in the respect of the civilised world, the Imperial power may well despise the trumpery intrigues in question, which chiefly serve to display the weakness of the parties concerned in them; though it must be confessed that few rulers are endowed with the forbearance and magnanimity requisite for the toleration of such manifestations, on the part of the members of dynastic cliques, in the metropolis of the empire. In this course the Emperor overrules the representations, which have been made more than once, that such persons deserve no leniency, and that the nuisance ought to be summarily suppressed. But it is perhaps better, on the whole, that they should be allowed to play their game out; that they should be permitted full scope for demonstrating, as they are effectually doing, their mendacity, their malignity, and their impotence.

Meanwhile the rule of Napoleon the Third, proceeding from, and exercised for, the people, gathers increased energy, rises in dignity and strength, with every occasion which tests its efficacy, its usefulness, its adaptation to the wants and interests of

France. Identified with the happiness, the prosperity, the moral and material elevation of the great nation which called it into existence, it proceeds in its high purposes, unbiassed by passion or personal asperities ; unencumbered by traditionary entanglements, moderate but vigorous, generous but vigilant ; dispersing by noble maxims, developed in noble deeds, the prejudices which in foreign countries attended its inauguration ; and, in cordial sympathy with the ally whom the Emperor most respects and values, affording, through the union of the two greatest forces and intelligences on earth, a pledge of a new and better era for Europe and the world.

THE INUNDATIONS.—BRITISH EXPRESSIONS.

As the circumstances attending the war practically demonstrated the effect of the efforts incessantly made by Napoleon the Third to substitute friendship for jealousy and misgiving in the relations between the two countries, the strength and sincerity of the better feeling were rendered equally evident by a visitation which recently befel our neighbours. When the full extent of the calamity became known in England, the manifestations of sympathy were not partial, were not ceremonious — they were spontaneous and universal. Doubtless, under any circumstances, sympathy would have been exhibited for misfortunes so fearful ; but it is not until lately that it would have taken so fraternal, so affectionate a tone. The meeting of the citizens of London may be referred to as a fair example of the spirit which actuated all classes. The Lord Mayor occupied the chair, and acquitted himself

with his usual tact and ability. Introducing the subject of the meeting, he alluded to a communication which he had addressed to Baron Haussman, Prefect of the Seine, "in order to learn how far such an expression of sympathy as he was sure would come from Englishmen would be acceptable to the citizens of Paris and the inhabitants of France, with whom they were united in ties of, he trusted, the most enduring nature."

The reply showed how entirely this sentiment was reciprocated: —

"I am deeply affected, my Lord (wrote Baron Haussman), at learning that in this occurrence the people of London, faithful to the sentiments of fraternity by which they are now for ever united with the people of Paris, are desirous to associate their efforts with ours for the misfortunes of our countrymen.

"If I may judge by my own impressions, this circumstance cannot fail to produce a lively feeling in France, and to contribute to draw more closely together the links of the cordial alliance now cemented between the two nations."

The speeches delivered in the course of the proceedings, in which men of the most varying shades of political opinion took part, evinced a singular degree of unanimity as to the spirit in which we held out the hand of sympathy to our allies. The Governor of the Bank of England drew a sensible distinction between the mere pecuniary value of the subscriptions and their higher value as manifestations of good-will: —

"The meeting would feel with him that the French people could support themselves, and that there was no misfortune which could befal France which they could not themselves meet. If, therefore, he asked them to

contribute to a subscription for the sufferers by the late inundation, it was only that they might show their sympathy with those sufferers in a tangible and substantial manner. There was nothing to wound the feelings of their neighbours in this English offering, for we were only evincing the sincere good feeling which actuated the people of both countries. The meeting which was then assembled would show the world that the alliance of the two countries did not depend upon the accident of political associations—did not depend upon mere alliance in time of war; but that the inhabitants of the two countries were, either in prosperity or in disaster, prepared to rely upon each other for aid and support."

In seconding the first resolution, the head of one of the most celebrated commercial establishments in the world "hoped and prayed that the feeling now engendered between the people of the two countries might lead to their mutual interest and prosperity;" and took the opportunity of paying a tribute to the conduct of the Emperor in the emergency which was the immediate cause of the meeting:—

"The Governor of the Bank had truly said that there was no want of money in France, and that it was the sympathy, rather than the money, of this country which they were called on to offer to their neighbours. Those who knew the resources of that country, and had watched the development and progress of public works in France, must feel that in the hour of trouble she had no need to look to other countries for support; and those who had read with interest, as every man must have done, of the promptitude with which not only that energetic and wonderful man who now ruled over the destinies of France, but the people of that country, had exerted themselves to relieve the distress of those

who had suffered by the inundations, felt that there was no want of charity amongst the French people."

Other speakers expressed themselves in a similar strain:—"Englishmen wished to show the people of France that they participated alike in their joys and sorrows—that we entertained feelings in common." A well-known country gentleman, one of those who represented this country at the great Agricultural Exhibition of Paris, whilst bearing testimony to the noble conduct of the Emperor, and dwelling on the prosperous and progressive state of France when the dreadful calamity occurred, claimed honour for English farmers, for the part which they had taken when the disastrous news reached them:—

"He must say, to the honour of a class of men for whom he entertained the highest respect, that the idea was promptly carried out by the tenant farmers of this country, men who had their stocks to look to, and had other business to attend to. They took subscription-papers, which they caused to be passed amongst their neighbours and friends, and they collected subscriptions in aid of the sufferers. Their subscriptions were tendered in a simple unaffected manner, and they were received by the French authorities in the same good spirit in which they were offered. The subscriptions were offered and received in a kindred spirit."

One of our leading "City men" dwelt upon the motives and meaning of the English subscriptions:—

"He wished it to be clearly understood by the French people, that they had not met there merely to contribute to the alleviation of their present difficulties, or to express sympathy for the misfortunes which had lately overcome them. They had a nobler and higher object in view—they sought, by this expression of sympathy, to cement the alliance between the

two countries. They had been at war, and as they had been formerly taught perhaps to fear and hate each other, so now let them love each other. In the character of the French nation there was much for the English to admire, and there were things in the institutions of England which the French might imitate with advantage. He would fain hope that there would be a cordial union between the people of both countries, so that when nations as well as monarchs met together, there would be a true Field of the Cloth of Gold, which would tend to promote the prosperity of all."

An eminent London banker spoke to the following effect :—

"He might be allowed to allude to the peculiar character of the people upon whom this terrible visitation had fallen—the peasantry of France,—than whom a more frugal, temperate, or contented body of men did not exist, and who, through all the changes which had occurred in that country, had preserved, in a remarkable degree, those elements of character of which they were delighted to read in the pages of Sterne or of Goldsmith.

"* * * * However much they regretted the cause, they would be glad to show their sympathy with their gallant allies, who in the hour of danger had struggled side by side with them, and assisted by whom they had been enabled to gain what, twelve months since, the most sanguine would have despaired of—a peace the more satisfactory because it was just and honourable to all parties. He looked upon the meeting of that day as a fitting crown to the alliance, which, through good report and evil report, through much suffering and difficulty, had been most honourably maintained by the Governments of the two countries. They had now an opportunity of showing their estimation of that glorious

people whom they now so well estimated, and who, he believed, were daily progressing in their knowledge and esteem of the people of this country.

“ * * * If the two nations stood together, they had it in their power to promote to the utmost the commerce and civilisation of the world, and the best interests of the human race. France and England could afford to be magnanimous, as they had historical recollections on which to repose. They had a god-like work before them — to maintain the peace of the world ; and he trusted God would give them power to carry out that mission. If they stood firmly together, opposed to all aggressive war, they would be enabled to stay it, whether arising from the delusions of despotic power or the restlessness of republican ambition. God grant that they might so stand, and he was sure that every day would tend to promote the peace and happiness of the two countries.”

A noble lord, who moved one of the resolutions, made some judicious observations in allusion to rumours of lingering animosities between England and France :—

“ He did not believe it possible that animosities could again arise between the two countries, which were bound together by the common interests of humanity and commerce, which acknowledged the same God, and which could have no better bond of interest than that of promoting each other’s welfare. He did not believe that hatred was natural to the people of the two nations, or could be perpetuated ; but he looked forward to the day when the friendship of these countries would become as lasting as their enmity had hitherto been supposed to be, and when the banners which he saw united upon the walls of that noble hall would remain durably united for the true interests and prosperity of mankind.”

The tone pervading the proceedings of the London

meeting was not more cordial than that of the provincial meetings assembled for the same object. To make further extracts would be but a recapitulation of the same sentiments expressed in different language. Everywhere was manifested the result of the long-continued endeavours of Napoleon the Third to bring the minds of the people of England and France into harmony — or, in the words of an eminent British writer, to “make them understand each other.” In sight of these results, and of the characteristic consistency with which the Emperor adheres to the policy that produced them, the people of England and France despise partisan rumours respecting combinations adverse to the interests of this country. We well know the meaning of such *canards*. There was a time when they might have created alarm, — they are now objects of contempt and derision.

The personal exertions of the Emperor during the crisis of the calamity have been elaborately described in the public journals, and have formed a theme of admiration throughout Europe. They need not be detailed here. But a brief outline which has appeared in the newspapers will be read with interest:—

“The Emperor has returned from his last visit to the Loire, and everything leads us to indulge to-day in the hope that his Majesty will be now enabled to obtain the repose which he requires after so many fatigues. It is certainly not a novel thing in France to see princes take part in the public sufferings, and associate with the calamities which oppress the country. We nevertheless are of opinion that the past contains no event of so striking a nature as that of which France has been lately the witness. Scarcely had the ravages of the Rhone be-

come known in Paris than the Emperor left in the night for Lyons. He suddenly arrived in the stricken town almost alone : this prompt appearance at such a moment was a great act of kindness ; the inhabitants, stupefied by events, took courage, and the most oppressed hearts breathed afresh. The Emperor caused himself to be transported in boats wherever the raging waters had invaded ; he appeared amongst dangerous currents on the inundated high roads, amidst the crumbling houses, conveying with him his courageous compassion and his succours. Surrounded on all sides, blocked up by a grateful population, he did not fear to confide himself alone, without police or escort, to the workmen of Lyons, taxed so strongly with demagogism ; and all had for the Emperor nothing but proofs of admiration and love. But the Rhone carried its devastations into Provence, and the august traveller determined on following to the end this terrible enemy. It was necessary to pass a roaring sea in order to enter Avignon ; the Emperor penetrated into the town in a boat, over the fallen ramparts. At Tarascon, at Arles, the Emperor visited in a miserable boat the town and the vast inundated plain ; he encountered dangers of all kinds, and passed over the tops of trees and the roofs of houses. We will extract on this subject a single passage from a letter written by a known republican to a political friend. ‘ You know my principles, and you are also aware that I shall never change them ; but I confess to you that I admire that man (*cet homme là*). I have seen him at Tarascon in a nutshell in which I would not have trusted myself to save my house.’ In fact, such acts, accomplished with simplicity and sincerity, have everywhere the same effect on men of character. Scarcely had the Emperor returned, than disastrous news from the Loire reached his ears. He left at once for Orleans, Blois, and Tours, bearing

with him the same initiative, the same intrepid sympathy for all suffering. Other calamities, the rupture of the dykes and, the inundation of the quarries of Angers, recalled the Emperor a second time to the Loire. No obstacles or interrupted communications caused him to hesitate. A great enterprise is cruelly damaged ; 10,000 workmen to whom it gave bread are without shelter or food. Amongst these workmen, whom a recent trial proved to be agitated by secret societies, the Emperor placed himself, and he met the same reception, the same sentiments of gratitude, as he had encountered on the part of the workmen of Lyons. The ardent wish of the Emperor, it will be remembered, was to share the dangers and glory of the army of the East. To oppose this project, it was necessary for the public sentiment, for the voice of France and Europe, to manifest itself. The Emperor has now taken his revenge on other battle-fields, by associating with the labours, the perils, and sufferings of the population, and by deriving on the spot a knowledge of the measures necessary to triumph over another enemy. Science, which in the end overcomes the most redoubtable fortresses, will doubtless be enabled to solve the new problems placed before it."

A P P E N D I X .

APPENDIX A.

THE TREATY OF PEACE.

A PAMPHLET which appeared some time since in Paris, under the comprehensive title "Le Traité de Paix," and purporting to emanate from "Un Ancien Diplomate," excited much interest amongst European politicians. There is a rumour—a rather generally accepted one—that the source of its inspiration ascends to a very high quarter. But, irrespective of the degree of credibility which may be attached to that report, the contents of the pamphlet deserve attention, illustrating, as they do, with a perspicuity which could only be imparted by a particular acquaintance with antecedents, the origin and progress of the negotiations, and some of the most important effects of the Treaty which consummated them. Having avoided, as much as the nature of my subject would permit, reference to political discussions, I shall not here enter into them; but the remarks of "An Old Diplomatist" place in so clear a light several points of universal interest relative to the causes, objects, and results of the war, and the new position of international relations which it has created in Europe, that I am induced to transcribe some of the more prominent passages.

The writer alludes to the preliminary communications between the Western Powers and Austria, and to the circumstances which had rendered it advisable for Russia

to submit to conditions which she had refused even to discuss at the Conferences of Vienna:—

“The conditions of any negotiation whatever were indicated by the Four Points, which formed the substance of the notes exchanged at Vienna on the 8th of August, 1854, and the realisation of which formed the object of the Treaty of the 2nd of December. One of the advantages of the four guarantees was their faculty of being restricted or extended according to the course of events. The resultless negotiations opened at Vienna in March, 1855, had sufficiently proved this; and in keeping within the Four Points, which responded so well to the interests engaged in the war, it was indispensable to interpret them in a sense which should have regard to the successes obtained within three months in the Baltic and the Sea of Azoff, as well as in the Crimea.

“The ideas of the French Government in this respect were perfectly settled, and it did not await the fall of Sebastopol to fix them. One of the first cares of Count Walewski, in taking the portfolio of Foreign Affairs, had been to make known at Vienna the sentiments of his Court on this important matter. The Cabinet of Paris wished to remain faithful to the principles which, forming the basis of the Treaty of the 2nd of December, constituted the natural bond of the three subscribing Powers; it desired by no means to weaken that bond; but, at the same time, it maintained a right of reserving the benefit of military events, and of extending its claims, if necessary, according to the measure of its sacrifices. It found the Cabinet of London in a similar disposition; and, after a complete agreement between the two great Western Powers, it was declared at Vienna that they regarded the Four Points as the *minimum* conditions of peace.

"France and England, victorious, could not be content with the interpretation given to the four guarantees in December, 1854, in the celebrated and unfortunate memorandum alternately accepted and repudiated by Prince Gortschakoff. Here was the difficulty; and it was upon this that the Cabinet of Vienna wished to sound the French Government through the medium of Baron de Bourqueney."

Some remarks are made upon the difficulty which politicians had in bringing themselves to believe that a power whose prestige had mounted so high, which had established such a character for pertinacity, and whose defensive resources were regarded as inexhaustible and invincible, could accept the terms which it was known the two Western Powers, acting in cordial consent, were resolved to insist upon as indispensable conditions of negotiation. After the peremptory manner in which Prince Gortschakoff had rejected, at the Vienna Conferences, any stipulation going to the limitation of the Russian naval force in the Black Sea, and after the emphatic recognition of the Prince's language by his Government, how could it be believed that the Cabinet of St. Petersburg would consent, not merely to the restriction of the number of ships of war, but to the entire suppression of its navy in the Black Sea?—"How believe, until on this point it had deceived all calculations, that it would accept a further limitation, which, whilst depriving it of the mouths of the Danube and of Ismail, still full of the souvenirs of Suvaroff, caused it likewise to lose the frontier of the Pruth, rendered famous by the defiances which from thence it had so often held out to Europe?"

But the change of temper was accounted for by the military successes of the Allies, by the perfect harmony of the councils of France and England, by the attitude

of Austria,—which, had the “bases” been rejected by Russia, could not have much longer deferred taking an active part with the Western Powers—by the close *entente* formed with Sweden, and by various circumstances, which could not fail to have weight with a Government otherwise not indisposed to councils more moderate than those of the Emperor Nicholas. The writer frankly admits that the feeling of England with respect to peace was far from fervid, and alludes to the coldness with which we regarded the mediation of Austria:—

“It remained to come to an understanding with England as to the mode of dealing with the proceedings of Austria.

“In the dispositions which existed between the two countries, no serious difference could arise upon this subject. We wish to misrepresent nothing; and we readily admit that England was less prepared than France for the idea of an early peace. The English Government had commenced the war without being prepared for it. After having made gigantic preparations to continue it, it naturally felt some difficulty in reconciling itself to propositions for peace, whatever might be their nature. It is known, moreover, through the language of leading men in Parliament, that England was not without prejudices with respect to Austria. These prejudices existed anterior to the crisis. * * * Let us hasten, however, to say, that though they revealed themselves clearly, they never interfered with more important considerations, or with the interests of the Anglo-French alliance. Doubtless the Cabinet of London showed less alacrity than France to conclude a treaty with Austria; it signified less confidence than the French Government had done in the value of that Treaty; but ultimately it agreed to it, and, whilst not concealing that it expected only secondary results

therefrom, it never pretended that the advantages which the Treaty offered should be despised.

“ But if the prejudices of Her Britannic Majesty’s Government re-appeared in presence of the propositions of Austria, they soon gave place to a more just appreciation of the general situation of affairs. The reasons which it thought it had for desiring the continuation of hostilities yielded before an attentive study of the bases which the Austrian proposals established as the conditions of peace. The resolution once taken, the English Cabinet laid aside all hesitation, and entered without *arrière-pensée* the path which now opened.

“ France wished no more than England for any peace that should not be completely satisfactory; and the Cabinet of Paris, whilst expediting the agreement as much as possible, in order not to give Russia time to penetrate and upset it, concurred with the English Government as to introducing into the Austrian ultimatum modifications of such a nature as to clearly define it, and to give greater extension to some of the clauses.

“ The Cabinet of Vienna, by acceding to this, gave proof of sentiments which could leave no doubt of the perfect sincerity of its intentions. It was therefore agreed that Austria should be the bearer to St. Petersburg of the propositions thus modified, and that she should present them to the Russian Cabinet as from her own initiative. The two Western Powers undertook to give them their adhesion, in case they should obtain that of Russia. It was understood that the acceptance of Russia was to be entire and unreserved, and should embrace at the same time both the whole of the details of the Austrian proposals, under penalty of their withdrawal on the lapse of three weeks from the period of being placed in the hands of Count Nesselrode. No room

was left for counter-proposals or subterfuges, and care was taken that whatever the result might be it should be clear."

The writer acknowledges the compliment paid to France and to the generous policy of the Emperor, by the nomination of Paris as the seat of the negotiations. That suggestion came from England, and was "consistent with that rivalry in good offices of which the alliance has produced so many proofs." The completeness with which the Porte adhered to the terms on which the Cabinets of London and Paris had determined to take their stand, marked her appreciation of the advantages which they secured for her, and of the care taken of her interests:—

"These conditions, indeed, agreed so well with her interests that she could not but applaud them. They even gave her an advantage which she had not hoped for. Not only did they disarm Russia in the Black Sea, but they gave the Ottoman Empire a warmly-contested territory. They gave her the mouths of the Danube and the citadel of Ismail, formerly the bulwark of the Ottoman power on the Danube, and a spot rendered in a manner sacred in the eyes of the Turks by the heroism which they had so often displayed there in their last struggles with the Russians. The Porte was therefore necessarily in accord with its allies as to the results which they contemplated giving to the bases of negotiation.

"Thus the Russian plenipotentiaries, when presenting themselves at the Congress of Paris, found the Allied Powers united upon the general principles of the negotiation."

The considerations involved in the neutralisation of the Black Sea, and the relative positions created by neutralisation, are reviewed by the writer, who afterwards proceeds to weigh the effect of the measures taken for

abolishing the preponderating or exclusive influence of Russia over the Christians of Turkey:—

“On the proposition of the President, Count Walewski, the Congress resolved to grapple at once with the difficulty, by arranging, before any other point, the neutralisation of the Black Sea.

“It will be remembered that if, at the period of the Conferences of Vienna, the idea of the neutralisation of that sea were approved in London, it was repelled in Vienna. It was not that the import of the condition was not understood in Vienna as well as in London, but because opinions in the former place were not yet prepared to accept the decisive and fundamental means which it presented of putting an end to the preponderance of Russia in the East. And when the Cabinet of Vienna was not prepared to admit any other limitation of the Russian navy in the Black Sea than that of its force previous to the war, how could it relish a condition which, under the appearance of reciprocity between the two States occupying the coasts, involved in reality the disappearance of the Russian flag of war from the waters on which it was formerly supreme?

“The acceptance by Austria of the principle of the neutralisation of the Black Sea, as one of the conditions stipulated in the ultimatum, shows how much circumstances had changed to our advantage.

“For the rest, Russia herself, which in 1855 had refused even to examine this condition, made now not the least objection. When in the month of December last the ultimatum of Austria was conveyed to St. Petersburg, and the Russian Cabinet thought at first to reply by counter-propositions, these counter-propositions implied important changes relative to the rectifications of the Bessarabian frontiers, and disowned all idea of any particular conditions irrespective of the Four

Points; they confined themselves, as regarded neutralisation, to some verbal modifications intended to limit the application of that principle. As to the principle itself, they admitted it without reserve. The Russian Government would have wished that, in the stipulation respecting the light vessels which it was to be authorised to keep in the Euxine, account should be taken not only of the necessities of service, but of the defence of the coast. Anxious, moreover, to exclude the arsenal of Nicolaieff from the places affected by the prohibition to maintain or create maritime arsenals of war, it wished that this prohibition should be specifically confined to the coast, strictly so called, of the Black Sea. By refusing, conformably to the engagement which she had made with France and England, to accept these counter-propositions, Austria showed the Cabinet of St. Petersburg that there could be no discussion upon these essential points, and by definitively giving adhesion to the system of neutralisation, Russia admitted it in all its extent and with all its consequences.

"The Allied Powers were thus fully prepared for insisting that neutralisation meant the cessation at Nicolaieff of constructions of any kind for the Russian war marine. They could not consent that Russia should reserve the right of maintaining a fleet on the Bug, a tributary of the Black Sea, when she renounced that of keeping in that sea any ships of war save the small vessels required for the service of the coasts. On the other hand, it would have been to 'force the situation,' and to render the conclusion of peace more difficult, to exact, by a positive stipulation in the Treaty, that Russia should bind herself to destroy, with her own hands, an arsenal which was removed from the theatre of war. But the more difficult the Allies felt it to insist on the destruction of Nicolaieff, the more incumbent was it on

Russia to furnish proof, in this regard, of her good-will and disinterestedness. By refusing any concession respecting Nicolaieff, she would have excited distrust, and given ground to her adversaries to question the sincerity of her intentions. They would have been justified in showing themselves more exacting, and in redoubling their precautions. But, not being required to contract literal obligations, she could undertake moral ones ; not being harshly required to bind herself down, she could promise, and, by giving the Allied Powers a satisfaction with which they were content, she saved her dignity. This was the object and effect of the declaration of Count Orloff, inserted in the protocols, and which is not less obligatory than the stipulations of the Treaty without possessing their solemn [formal?] character. It will be observed, too, that this declaration applied also to the Sea of Azoff, and to all the affluents of the Black Sea.

" To form an exact idea of the situation created for the contracting parties by the neutralisation of the Black Sea, it is important to bear in mind that Turkey maintains in that sea a number of light vessels equal to that allowed to Russia, and that the Sultan remains at liberty to keep, not only in the Mediterranean, but in the Sea of Marmora and the Bosphorus, as many ships of war as he pleases. Again, in virtue of the article which authorises [each of] the subscribing Powers to keep two light vessels at the mouth of the Danube, in order to secure the free navigation of the river, we possess an effective fleet at least equal in number, and superior in force, to the squadron permitted to Russia ; and in case of need our fleets, issuing from Toulon, Malta, Trieste, and Genoa, can in a few days introduce into the Euxine such a force of ships and guns, that this contingency alone forbids the Russian Government any idea of infringing in the East the obligations to which

she has assented. Not only, in fact, can she no longer dream either of surprising Constantinople by a *coup de main*, or of seconding, by the co-operation of the fleet, the march of her armies in Bulgaria, but on the first difficulty which she might attempt to excite, she is liable to see herself attacked by the forces of all the maritime powers, without possessing the means of contending at sea with any of them.

"The moral guarantees obtained from Russia would have been insufficient without the material guarantees just explained. It would have been useless to deprive the Cabinet of St. Petersburg of the influence which it exercised in the bosom of the Ottoman Empire, if means of oppression had been left it which would have permitted it to seize this influence again on the first favourable opportunity; the sacrifices of France and England would likewise have produced only imperfect results, if, when disarming Russia upon her coast, she were not likewise obliged to renounce the advantages which treaties had given her, or permitted her to claim, in the heart of that empire; and it was so much the more important to put an end for ever to this question, which had been the immediate cause of the war.

"The nature of the evil indicated the remedy. The influence of Russia over the Greek subjects of the Sultan was of long standing. The first efforts and first successes of that policy go back to Peter the Great. The simultaneous decay of the Ottoman administration, its vices, the excess of fanaticism, which, no longer finding the means of satisfying itself by conquests, recoiled against the non-Mussulman populations of the Empire, only too powerfully seconded this growing influence. France, too, which, without exercising a legal protectorate of any class of the Sultan's subjects, had, under her ancient kings, been long regarded as a tutelary

support of the Christians without distinction of communion, had finally wholly neglected the schismatics for the benefit of the Catholics. Russia, therefore, had no difficulty in persuading her co-religionists that they had no dependence but herself. It was thus that she succeeded in inspiring these populations with a high idea of her sentiments. The success of her arms having enabled her to introduce into the treaty of Kainardji a stipulation which authorised her, to a certain extent, to make representations to the Sultan in favour of the Christian churches, she had thus acquired, in the very heart of the Ottoman Empire, a position which assured her an action obviously incompatible with the rights of sovereignty.

"To remedy this, it was necessary not only to deprive the Russian Cabinet of all right of interference, but to dissipate the prejudices through whose aid Russia had succeeded in persuading her co-religionists that she alone took interest in their fate. It was especially requisite to induce the Porte to make reforms sufficiently judicious and complete to put an end to grievances which which were only too real. Even before the propositions of Austria were arranged, it had been agreed between the Cabinets of London and Paris on one side, and the Porte on the other, not to wait for the conclusion of peace before coming to an understanding as to the lot of the Christians."

The import of the Hatti-Schérif is considered in its effect on the condition of the Sultan's Christian subjects :—

"In order duly to appreciate its import, it would be necessary to take into account the importance and unexpected novelty of the improvements which it introduces into the condition of the Christians. This would be an immense task, involving no less than an exposition

of the administrative, political, and social organisation of the Ottoman Empire. Let us confine ourselves to showing how remote were our conditions from the *sened* which Prince Menschikoff had proposed to the Porte, and how greatly superior were the advantages comprised in the act emanating from the sovereignty of Abdul-Medjid to those which Russia assumed to force from him. There is besides, on this subject, an important remark to be made, which was too much lost sight of in the crisis created by the pretensions of the Russian Cabinet. When that Cabinet demanded that the Porte should engage to maintain the privileges granted *ab antiquo* to the Christian patriarchs and communities, it demanded in reality only the maintenance of most crying abuses. Far from contributing to protect or ameliorate the position of the Christians, it really set up an obstacle to all reform. These ancient privileges, accorded to the Greek clergy by the Sultans of former times, may be regarded as the true cause of the oppression and sufferings of the rayas. It was by means of these privileges that the patriarchs and bishops, uniting temporal power to their spiritual attributes, and being magistrates and administrators as well as priests, have for ages inflicted on the rayas constant vexations not less intolerable than the acts of fanaticism, which day by day became more rare. Russia found her account in maintaining these secular abuses. She secured the good graces of a powerful clergy, and if the sufferings of the people continued, the responsibility for the evil, cast upon the Turks, rendered the foreign protectorate more useful in appearance. The new Hatti-Schérif has applied a remedy to this state of things, and if it had no other advantages, would deserve the thanks of the Christians and the approbation of Europe.

" This Hatti-Schérif being promulgated, there still

remained an important question—Was it to be mentioned in the Treaty, and in what terms? The wish of the Porte would have been that the great Powers should hold her absolved towards them, in this matter, by the communication which she had made to them. The wish of Russia would have been, on the contrary, that it should be annexed to the Treaty, or at least that it should be formally declared that it should be enforced. This last arrangement would have been in effect to involve the independence of the Sultan. France and England agreed to take a middle course, which, whilst marking the interest which they and their allies felt for the non-Mussulman population of the Ottoman Empire, respected the sovereignty of the Sultan. The two Powers could not have taken any other course without exposing themselves to a charge of inconsistency. Having made war against Russia, to prohibit her from interference in the religious affairs of Turkey, they have acted conformably with the wise and salutary principle that caused them to take arms, by stipulating that the mention made, in the Treaty, of the Hatti-Schérif of the 18th of February, shall not constitute for any party a right of meddling incompatible with the independence and sovereignty of the Sultan. It would be difficult to reconcile better with the rights of the Porte the legitimate interest felt by the Powers for the Christians of Turkey. It was impossible, especially, to prove more fully to these populations that their true support was in Paris and London, not in St. Petersburg, or to deal a more heavy blow to Russian influence in the Ottoman Empire."

The consultations respecting the Danubian Principalities are next referred to. No difficulty occurred as to Servia, but the arrangements for the "future" of

Wallachia and Moldavia presented greater complication, and formed the subject of much discussion. The plan suggested by France was objected to by some of the plenipotentiaries. "Un Ancien Diplomate" is naturally partial to the views of his own government, and advocates them with energy:—

" This [Russian] influence was to be restricted upon another arena—the Principalities of the Danube. And here an important distinction should be made in the first place. Though Servia is included under this designation [Danubian Principalities], and though its position is analogous to that of Moldavia and Wallachia, it still differs from them in several respects, geographically and politically. Servia, situated on the right bank of the Danube, clings so closely to the territory of the Ottoman Empire, that it could not be separated from it without danger, and the mere relaxing of the ties which unite it to the Porte would involve grave inconveniences. Like the other two Principalities, that of the right bank possesses a national administration, guaranteed not long since by treaties between Russia and the Porte, and this exclusive guarantee could no more be maintained on one side of the Danube than on the other. Servia could not be replaced in the condition of a simple province of the Ottoman Empire, and committed without any guarantee to the chances of the future. But the guarantee once established, Europe had no longer anything to desire, save the reform of some of the national institutions, and on this point there was no room for disagreement between the Powers.

" It was less easy to come to an understanding respecting the changes to be introduced into the constitution of Moldavia and Wallachia. Their geographical position, isolating them from the rest of Turkey in Europe, properly so termed, is not on that account less

important for the defence of the empire, which they can, according to circumstances, either open to, or close against, an enemy. For nearly a century they have served as provisioning ground for the Russian armies on the road towards Constantinople, and the evident interest of Europe would be that they should form a bulwark against new aggressions. Such was the intent of the first point, and as to this object there could be but one wish amongst the Allied Powers.

"In order to attain it, France did not consider that it would suffice to substitute a joint guarantee for the exclusive guarantee of Russia. Already, at the Conferences of Vienna, the French Cabinet had intimated its views on this subject in a memorandum presented by Baron de Bourqueney, and which was annexed to the protocols of the Conferences. It asked for the union of the two Principalities under a hereditary government, which, without weakening the bonds that connect them with the Porte, might be entrusted to a prince chosen from the reigning families of Europe. The French Government did not change its tone respecting the advantages of an arrangement which appeared to it calculated to reconcile the wishes of the people with the interests of the Porte and of Europe. The union of the Principalities, it argued, is natural, because the two provinces are of the same race, speak the same language, belong to the same communion, possess the same institutions. It is requisite to give the country the elements of force of which it has need, if it be desired that it should serve in any degree as a barrier against an aggressive return of Russian influence. Hereditary power is indispensable, if supreme authority is to cease to be the object of perpetual intrigues, the bait of so many unscrupulous ambitions which have heretofore been the chief instruments of

Russia in the provinces. The principle of hereditary power contains nothing abnormal, for it is in force in another province of the Ottoman Empire, namely Egypt, and was likewise established in Servia in favour of the dynasty of Milosch. In fine, the difficulty of finding in the country the elements of a national dynasty might sufficiently explain the choice of a foreign prince.

"Was it really to be feared, as the Porte and some of its allies imagined, that a principality founded on these bases would soon, and almost necessarily, find itself in conflict with the sovereign power, and become to it, like Greece, a source of embarrassment formidable to the enemies of the Ottoman Empire? The position of the Moldo-Wallachians, with regard to the Porte, would be very different from that of the Greeks. Moldavia and Wallachia are separated from Turkey by a natural frontier, the Danube; they have not, as Greece has, numerous populations of their race in all parts of the Ottoman Empire, and are not in contact even with the Slaves of Bulgaria and Servia, except through material interests, which touch each other, so to say, without mingling. The new principality would have nothing to hope from the enfeeblement of the Turkish Empire—not a frontier to extend, not a village to conquer, at the expense of that Empire. It is, besides, not to be supposed that it would seek to break a bond of vassalage confirmed by European treaties, and to obtain an independence which would expose it to all the dangers of the formidable contiguity of its ancient protectors. It would study to continue in its lawful relations with the Porte, because these would constitute, in the first place, a guarantee of the identity of its interest with those of the Ottoman Empire, and through these with the interests of Europe itself.

"The arrangement proposed by the French Govern-

ment was therefore perfectly logical, and the objections made to it were more specious than solid.

" It had, however, been previously arranged between the Allied Powers, and with good reason, that the re-organisation, properly so called, of the Principalities, should not be directly determined by the Congress. It was indispensable to pronounce, without delay, the definitive suppression of the Russian protectorate, to lay down the principle of the joint guarantee as well as the basis of the system of defence which the Principalities might adopt in concert with the Sultan ; but it was impossible to regulate questions of detail immediately, for this would have greatly complicated the deliberations. Besides, there was wanting one of the essential elements of discussion, viz. the will* of the country, which had been not less carefully regarded than the interest of the Powers and that of the Porte. Prudence, expediency, and even the necessity of consulting opinion in the Principalities, rendered it proper, therefore, to adjourn the deductions from the principles which were laid down, and to postpone for further examination the definitive organisation of the two provinces. Guided by these considerations, Count Walewski confined himself to intimating the views of his Government, proposing to refer to a commission, which should proceed to the spot, the study and preliminary settlement of questions with which the Congress could not grapple without indefinitely retarding the conclusion of peace.

" Is it to be presumed, as Aali Pasha and Count Buol asserted at the Conferences, that the Principalities will reject the idea of union ? Is there any appearance that there would be less liking at Jassy than at Bucharest for an arrangement which would diminish the import-

* The expression of the will?

ance of one of these two capitals to the advantage of the other ? Ought diversity of local interests to prevail over community of political interests ? Doubtless, regarding matters from this narrow point of view, Wallachia has more to gain by fusion than Moldavia, because Bucharest occupies a position more central, and nearer the Danube. But what signifies this advantage, if, in losing the privilege of being the chief city of a petty province, without power and without importance, Jassy obtains that of being the second city of a great principality — containing a population of more than four millions, and occupying a place in the political system of the East ? There is not, we believe, in Moldavia, a single man of intelligence and of devotion to his country, who could hesitate as to the alternative ; and we have no doubt that opinions, sincerely consulted, will be unanimous, as Count Walewski said at the Congress, in demanding the union of the two Principalities.

“ In short, the Treaty of Paris has on this point established all essential principles. If questions of detail remain to be settled, it depends much on the Principalities themselves that they be settled advantageously for them. The French Government has fully done its duty with regard to them, and there is reason to believe that on this point, as on all others, the ultimate result will give full satisfaction to the interests which it has been our object to guard.

“ We will not dwell upon the measures taken for securing the free navigation of the Danube. The neutralisation of the Black Sea ; the presence, at the mouths of the river, of ships belonging to the Powers which signed the Treaty of Paris ; the appointment of commissions, charged to make necessary regulations for navigation, and to superintend the execution thereof ; above all,

the rectification of the frontiers, which throws back those of Russia many leagues to the north, are so many guarantees which can leave no uneasiness respecting the freedom of a channel of communication so important to all Germany.

" Thus, the Treaty of Paris protects from new chances of war countries which have not ceased, from time immemorial, to be a prey to all its horrors. Those countries, blessed by Heaven, whither the earliest navigators went to seek the golden fleece, and towards which we ourselves turn when the harvests of our less favoured fields do not suffice for our wants—those fertile regions are at length about to experience the effects of a durable peace. The basin of the Black Sea ceases to be a closed field where two champions unequal in strength were incessantly disputing, the weakest at the mercy of the strongest, a constant source of quietude to the world. They are now both disarmed upon the perpetual theatre of their contests, and the independence of the one whom we have had an interest in defending, having become a principle of public law, rests henceforth on such guarantees that the other can no longer dream of attacking it, unless in the event of a complete disturbance of the existing relations between the Powers, and of changes in their intentions too contrary to their interests to be probable."

In his conclusions, "An Old Diplomatist" calls attention to the jealousy and dislike with which France had been long regarded by the continental powers—feelings aggravated by the revolutions of 1830 and 1848, one effect of which had been to give Russia a greater degree of ascendancy than ever "by binding tighter the bonds of moral dependence on her, in which, since 1815, the German governments had involved themselves. He refers to the comparative estrangement which the con-

duct of Louis Philippe had produced between England and France, towards the close of that king's reign, and to the isolation of France in the councils of Europe, contrasting it with the happier relations which now exist:—

“Such a position had quite deprived our foreign policy of all freedom and effective influence. If there were in Europe less actual hatred for us than in 1815, there was not less ill-will. If our armies were no longer redoubted, our revolutions were more feared. Our thirty years of efforts wasted in the sterile disputes of party were regarded abroad as a sign of incurable impotence. We had almost arrived at the predicament of despairing of ourselves. The severe judgments which we ourselves passed upon the ulcers of our internal civilization, and upon the precarious condition of our relations abroad, are yet remembered. It seemed as if there were no longer in Europe more than two great governments—those of England and Russia. The whole world seemed to gravitate fatefully around these. In the opinion of many, even England herself was no longer in the path of progress, and universal dominion was reserved by Providence for Russia.

“The war has profoundly changed that state of things. France comes out of the crisis with alliances which replace the great Powers respectively in their natural positions, and form the elements of a political system really conformable to the essential interests of Europe.

“The idea of an alliance with England is not new in France. Mutual esteem, founded on a due appreciation of their respective merits, a mutual conviction that they could not recommence their ancient contests without inflicting on each other most frightful calamities, alike contribute to reconcile them. The identity of their

interests, in presence of the development which the power of Russia has received since 1815, is likewise evident, and would alone suffice to associate their proceedings closely. Nevertheless, despite so many motives for good understanding, there did not, until the beginning of the crisis which is now terminated, exist between France and England an alliance truly so called; and even in the East, where so many considerations invited the two Governments to act in concert, they had been at one time on the point of appealing to arms for the settlement of their differences. Doubtless deceived by the souvenirs of 1840, Russia hoped to divide the two Powers again by offering England the temptation of an important share in the partition of the Ottoman Empire. Not only has that calculation been baulked, but a concord, of which, perhaps, history furnishes no example, has been established between the two countries. Lord Clarendon merely expressed without exaggeration an incontestable truth, when he declared, two years ago, before the English Parliament, that there was more concord between Paris and London than often existed between members of the same Cabinet. Since then, the understanding has become a regular alliance, the armies and fleets of both countries have in concert conducted a formidable enterprise to a happy issue, and peace has finally confirmed the work of war. The alliance has survived, complete and entire, the circumstances which created it; and it may well be believed that, having passed through so many trials without a single difficulty really serious having arisen between the two countries, it now unites in itself all the conditions of permanence."

One of the effects of the late contest, the writer observes, has been "to consummate the dissolution of the Holy Alliance." The proceedings of Austria, and even

of Russia, have, he argues, contributed to that result, and the firm and sincere friendship of England and France afford security against the revival of combinations obnoxious to the rights of nations and peoples. Russia herself has learnt a salutary lesson: —

“ There is reason to believe that the events, of which the effect has been thus to modify the general situation of the Powers and their respective position as regards ourselves, have not been without influence on the sentiments of Russia. As to the East, she has seen her long-cherished designs excite a resistance too serious to permit the possibility of their accomplishment. She could not bring them to a successful consummation save by dividing Europe, and the independence of Constantinople is a point upon which there will be but one opinion in Paris, London, and Vienna, so long as there shall exist enlightened Governments in these places. The extension of the frontiers of the Russian Empire to the Bosphorus would produce such a disturbance in international relations, that as long as political vitality is not extinct in France, England, and Austria, fleets and armies will be found to defend the keystone of the territorial system of Europe. The events in which we have taken part for the last three years have given signal demonstration of this. It would evince neither ability nor wisdom on the part of Russia to place herself in opposition to the unanimous resolution of Europe, even were not that resolution confirmed as it now is by solemn stipulations; and Russia has given in the past too many proofs of consummate prudence not to profit by the lessons of experience.

“ It may cost her feelings something to renounce traditional designs, to break through the illusions with which her most illustrious sovereigns flattered themselves, to reconcile herself to the idea that the road to

Byzantium is closed against her. But what great nations have not had their chimeras and their delusions? It has been the lot of all those that have played a great part in the world to aspire in their turn to universal dominion, and to wound themselves against the irresistible league of general interests which community of danger never fails to arouse. Russia has just made the essay, and even had she been better prepared for such vast projects than she really was, she would assuredly have failed. We do not doubt for a moment that she has made this confession to herself, and that she is resolved to keep loyally to the observance of her obligations to Europe. We believe likewise that her dispositions towards France, impressed since 1815, and especially since 1830, with so much jealousy, have been happily modified by a struggle which has taught her to know us better. We believe that she has renounced, like her ancient allies—now ours—the spirit of the old coalitions, which, after having combated us on the field of battle, made war with us for years in the councils of Europe.

“Whether we regard the clauses of the Treaty of Paris, or examine the relations which the crisis has enabled us to establish with the great Powers, the present peace appears to us to present all possible chances of duration, and to assure, for a long time, the repose of the world.”

Congratulations on a result which the writer considers so beneficial to Europe, and the attainment of which is due, in great measure, to the wisdom of the Imperial councils, through which France has become more highly esteemed by the European Cabinets than she ever was before *, close a paper which, it cannot be

* “She has at other times been more feared, caused greater com-

denied, is marked by decided prepossessions, but which is rendered highly interesting by the writer's familiarity with European politics.

motions in the world, and scattered more *éclat* around her, but has never been more truly respected."

APPENDIX B.

THE CONSTITUTION OF 1852.

THE text of the Constitution of 1852 ran to the following purport. It is, in its fundamental features, similar to that now existing. No alteration in essentials was made when the nation called the Chief Magistrate to the Imperial dignity. There was a change of form —no change of principles :—

“The President of the Republic, considering that the French people has been called to pronounce on the following resolution, viz.,—‘The people wills the maintenance of the authority of Louis Napoleon Bonaparte, and gives him the necessary powers to make a Constitution on the basis established in his proclamation of the 2nd December ;’—Considering that the bases proposed for the acceptance of the people were—

“1. A responsible chief appointed for ten years,—
“2. Ministers dependent on the Executive power alone,—

“3. A Council of State, formed of the most distinguished men, preparing the laws and maintaining the discussion of them in the Legislative body,—

“4. A Legislative body, discussing and voting the laws,—nominated by universal suffrage, without the *scrutin de liste*, which falsifies the election,—

“5. A second Assembly, formed of all the distinguished men of the country, a balancing power, guar-

dian of the fundamental pact and of the public interests,—

“ And considering that the people have answered affirmatively by 7,500,000 suffrages — he promulgates the Constitution, the tenor of which is as follows : —

SECTION I.

“ Art. 1. The Constitution recognises, confirms, and guarantees the great principles proclaimed in 1789, and which form the bases of the public rights of the French people.

SECTION II.— FORM OF THE GOVERNMENT OF THE REPUBLIC.

“ Art. 2. The Government of the French Republic is entrusted to Prince Louis Napoleon Bonaparte, actual President of the Republic, for ten years.

“ Art. 3. The President of the Republic governs by means of the Ministers, the Council of State, the Senate, and the Legislative body.

“ Art. 4. The Legislative power is exercised collectively by the President of the Republic, the Senate, and the Legislative body.

SECTION III.—OF THE PRESIDENT OF THE REPUBLIC.

“ Art 5. The President of the Republic is responsible to the French people, to whom he has always a right to appeal.

“ Art. 6. The President of the Republic is the Chief of the State. He commands the land and sea forces, declares war, concludes treaties of peace, political and commercial alliances, and makes the rules and decrees for the execution of the laws.

“ Art. 7. Justice is rendered in his name.

“ Art. 8. He alone has the initiative of the laws.

“ Art. 9. He has the right of pardoning.

“ Art. 10. He sanctions and promulgates the laws and the *Senatus-Consultes*.

“ Art. 11. He presents the state of the Republic every year to the Senate and Legislative body by a message.

“ Art. 12. He has a right to declare the state of siege in one or several departments, on condition of referring to the Senate with the shortest delay. The consequences of the state of siege are regulated by the law.

“ Art. 13. The Ministers depend solely on the Chief of the State. Each is responsible only as far as the acts of the Government regard him. There is no solidarity between them. They can only be impeached by the Senate.

“ Art. 14. The Ministers, members of the Senate, the Legislative body, and the Council of State, the officers of the land and sea forces, the magistrates, and the public functionaries, take the following oath :

“ ‘ I swear obedience to the Constitution, and fidelity to the President.’

“ Art. 15. A *Senatus-Consulte* fixes the sum allowed annually to the President of the Republic for the entire duration of his functions.

“ Art. 16. Should the President of the Republic die before the expiration of his power, the Senate convokes the nation to proceed to a new election.

“ Art. 17. The Chief of the State has a right, by a secret act deposited in the archives of the State, to point out to the people the name of the citizen he recommends, in the interest of France, to the confidence of the people and to its suffrages.

“ Art. 18. Until the election of the new President of the Republic, the President of the Senate governs

with the concurrence of the Ministers in office, who form themselves into a Government Council, and deliberate by a majority of votes.

SECTION IV.—OF THE SENATE.

“Art. 19. The number of Senators cannot exceed 150. It is fixed at 80 for the first year.

“Art. 20. The Senate is composed, 1st, of the Cardinals, Marshals, Admirals; 2nd, of the citizens whom the President of the Republic deems fit to elevate to the dignity of Senator.

“Art. 21. The Senators are not removable, and are for life.

“Art. 22. The functions of Senator are gratuitous; nevertheless, the President of the Republic may accord the Senators, by reason of the services rendered, and of their position as respects fortune, a personal dotation, which shall not exceed 30,000f. yearly.

“Art. 23. The President and Vice-Presidents of the Senate are named by the President of the Republic, and chosen amongst the Senators. They are appointed for one year. The salary of the President of the Senate is fixed by a decree.

“Art. 24. The President of the Republic convokes and prorogues the Senate. He fixes the duration of its session by a decree. The sittings of the Senate are not public.

“Art. 25. The Senate is the guardian of the fundamental pact, and of the public liberties. No law can be promulgated before being submitted to it.

“Art. 26. The Senate opposes the promulgation—1st, of laws which may be contrary to or impair the Constitution, religion, morals, liberty of worship, liberty of persons, equality of the citizens before the laws, inviolability of property, and the principle of the im-

mobility of the magistracy ; 2nd, of those which may endanger the safety of the territory.

“ Art. 27. The Senate regulates by a *Senatus-Consulte* — 1. The Constitution of the colonies and of Algeria ; 2. All that has not been provided for by the Constitution, and which is necessary to its maintenance ; 3. The signification of articles of the Constitution which may give rise to various interpretations.

“ Art. 28. These *Senatus-Consultes* shall be submitted to the sanction of the President of the Republic, and promulgated by him.

“ Art. 29. The Senate maintains or annuls acts which may be submitted to it as unconstitutional by the Government, or denounced for the same cause in petitions from the citizens.

“ Art. 30. The Senate may, in a report addressed to the President of the Republic, fix the bases of projects of law of great national interest.

“ Art. 31. It may also propose modifications in the Constitution. If the proposition be adopted by the Executive Power, this is stated by a *Senatus-Consulte*.

“ Art. 32. But all modifications of the fundamental bases of the Constitution shall be submitted to universal suffrage, as these have been enunciated in the proclamation of the 2nd of December, and adopted by the French people.

“ Art. 33. In case of the dissolution of the Legislative body, and till another convocation, the Senate, on the proposition of the President of the Republic, provides by measures of urgency for all that is necessary for carrying on the Government.

SECTION V.—OF THE LEGISLATIVE BODY.

“ Art. 34. The election has the population for basis.

“Art. 35. There will be one deputy to the Legislative body for every 35,000 electors.

“Art. 36. The deputies are elected by universal suffrage, without the *scrutin de liste*.

“Art. 37. They do not receive any salary.

“Art. 38. They are appointed for six years.

“Art. 39. The Legislative body discusses and votes projects of law and imposts.

“Art. 40. Every amendment adopted by the commission charged with the examination of a project of law shall be sent, without discussion, to the Council of State by the President of the Legislative body. If the amendment be not adopted by the Council of State, it cannot be submitted to the consideration of the Legislative body.

“Art. 41. The ordinary sitting of the Legislative body lasts three months ; its sittings are public, but the demand of five members is sufficient for its resolving itself into a secret committee.

“Art. 42. The account of the proceedings of the sittings of the Legislative body given by the journals, or any other channel of publication, shall consist only of the reproduction of the minutes drawn up at the close of each sitting by care of the President of the Legislative body.

“Art. 43. The President and Vice-Presidents of the Legislative body are named by the President of the Republic for one year; they are chosen from amongst the deputies. The salary of the President of the Legislative body is fixed by a decree.

“Art. 44. The Ministers cannot be members of the Legislative body.

“Art. 45. The right of petition is to be exercised as regards the Senate. No petition is to be addressed to the Legislative body.

“Art. 46. The President of the Republic convokes, adjourns, prorogues, and dissolves the Legislative body. In case of dissolution, the President of the Republic is bound to convoke a new one within the term of six months.

SECTION VI.—OF THE COUNCIL OF STATE.

“Art. 47. The number of the Councillors of State in ordinary service is from 40 to 50.

“Art. 48. The Councillors of State are named by the President of the Republic, and are liable to removal by him.

“Art. 49. The Council of State is presided over by the President of the Republic, and in his absence by the person whom he indicates as vice-president of the Council of State.

“Art. 50. The Council of State is charged, under the direction of the President of the Republic, to draw up projects of law and regulations of public administration, and to obviate the difficulties that may arise in matters of administration.

“Art. 51. It maintains, in the name of the Government, the discussion of the projects of law before the Senate and the Legislative body. The Councillors of State charged to speak in the name of the Government are appointed by the President of the Republic.

“Art. 52. The salary of each Councillor of State is 25,000f.

“Art. 53. The Ministers have rank, right of sitting, and a deliberative voice in the Council of State.

SECTION VII.—OF THE HIGH COURT OF JUSTICE.

“Art. 54. A High Court of Justice judges, without appeal or recourse to cassation, all persons who shall be sent before it as accused of crimes, attempts, or plots

against the President of the Republic, and against the internal and external safety of the State. It cannot be convened but in virtue of a decree of the President of the Republic.

“Art. 55. A *Senatus-Consulte* shall determine the organisation of this High Court.

SECTION VIII.—GENERAL AND TRANSITORY PROVISIONS.

“Art. 56. The provisions of the codes, rules, and regulations now existing, which are not opposed to the present Constitution, remain in force until they be legally abrogated.

“Art. 57. A law shall determine the municipal organisation. The mayor shall be named by the Executive Power, and need not be a member of the Municipal Council.

“Art. 58. The present Constitution shall be in force from the day when the great powers of the State organised by it shall be constituted. The decrees issued by the President of the Republic, from the 2nd of December to the present period, shall have all the force of law.

“Done at the Palace of the Tuileries the 14th of January, 1852.

“LOUIS NAPOLEON BONAPARTE.”

LIST of WORKS in GENERAL LITERATURE,

PUBLISHED BY

Messrs. LONGMAN, BROWN, GREEN, LONGMANS, and ROBERTS,
89, PATERNOSTER ROW, LONDON.

CLASSIFIED INDEX.

Agriculture and Rural Affairs.

	Pages
Bayton on Valuing Rent, &c.	4
Caird's Letters on Agriculture	6
Cecil's Stud Farm	6
London's Agriculture	12
Low's Elements of Agriculture	12

Arts, Manufactures, and Architecture.

Arnott on Ventilation	2
Bourse on the brew Proprietary	4
Brande's Dictionary of Sciences, &c.	6
" Organic Chemistry	6
Cherwell on Optics	6
Green's Engineering	6
Fairbairn's Informations for Engineers	6
Gwilt's Encyclopaedia of Architecture	6
Hartford's Large Drawings after Michael Angelo	6
Herring on Paper-Making	6
Humphreys's Portable Illuminated	10
Jamieson's Standard & Legendary Art	11
" Complete Book	11
Khart's Picturesque Life of Luther	6
London's Rural Architecture	12
MacDonnell's Theory of War	14
Malan's Aphorisms on Drawing	14
Moseley's Engraving	16
Passe's Art of Perfumery	17
Richardson's Art of Horsemanship	18
Servenon's on the Iron Trade	18
Stark's Prints	19
Stevens, by the American Club	4
Ure's Dictionary of Arts, &c.	22
Young on Pre-Raphaelitism	24

Biography.

Arago's Autobiography	22
" Lives of Scientific Men	22
Bodenstedt and Wagner's Schubert	22
Buckingham's (J. S.) Memoirs	5
Bunyan's Hypothecies	5
Clinton's (Fynes') Autobiography	22
Cockayne's Marshal Turneau	22
Denniston's Strange Landscapes	7
Forster's Personal Recollections	22
Macaulay's Life of Gascoigne	22
Marlowe's Life of Michael Angelo	22
Maydon's Autobiography, by Taylor	9
Hayward's Chatsfield and Selwyn	22
Holcroft's Memoirs	22
Lardner's Cabinet Cyclopaedia	13
Maurand's Biographical Treasury	14
Memorials of the Duke of Wellington	22
Memorials of the Admiralty	19
Memorials of Cleves	19
Hoppe's Life and Genius of Fuller	22
Russell's Memoirs of Moore	15
" Life of Lord Wm. Russell	12
St. John's Audubon	19
Southey's Life of Wesley	20
" Life and Correspondence	20
Stephen's Ecclesiastical Biography	14
Sydney Smith's Memorials	20
Taylor's Loyals	11
" Wesley	21
Waterton's Autobiography & Essays	22
Wheeler's Life of Herodotus	24

Books of General Utility.

Action's Household	2
" Cookery	2
Black's Treatise on Brewing	4
Cabinet Gazette	4
Lawyer's Lawyer	5
Quoit's Improved Own Book	4
Gillies's Lost in the Million	8
Hints on Etiquette	8
How to Nurse Sick Children	10
Hudson's Executive's Guide	10
" on Making Wills	10
Kettewell's Domestic Medicines	11
Lardner's Cabinet Cyclopaedia	13
London's Lady's Country Companion	13
"	13
Maurand's Treasury of Knowledge	15
" Biographical Treasury	14
" Geographical Treasury	14
" Scientific Treasury	15

Maurand's Treasury of History

Natural History	15
Pierre's Art of Perfumery	17
Finsor's Cookery of Fish	17
Focket and the Stunt	9
Fyodor's English Reading	18
Edwards's Medical Guide	18
Rush's Complete Dictionary	18
Baldwin's Art of Horsemanship	18
Riddle's Latin Dictionnaire	18
Roget's English Thesaurus	18
Evinton's Debater	18
Short Whist	20
Thomson's Interest Tables	21
Webster's Domestic Economy	22
Wood's Chronic Diseases	22
Willits's Popular Tables	24
Wilmet's Blackstone	24

Botany and Gardening.

Hooker's British Flora	9
" Guide to Kew Gardens	9
" " Kew Museum	9
Lindley's Introduction to Botany	13
" Theory of Horticulture	13
Loudon's Hortus Britannicus	13
" Amateur Gardener	13
" Trees and Shrubs	13
" Gardening	13
" Plants	13
" half instruction for Gardeners, &c.	13
Pereira's Materia Medica	17
Rivers's Rose-Amateur's Guide	18
Wilson's British Mosses	24

Chronology.

Blair's Chronological Tables	4
Brewer's Historical Atlas	4
Bunyan's Ancient Egypt	5
Haydn's Beethoven's Index	5
John's History of Poetry	11
John & Nicolas's Calendar of Victory	11
Nicola's Chronology of History	19

Commerce and Mercantile Affairs.

Gilbert's Treatise on Banking	8
Heads of a Young Master Mariner	13
Macleod's Banking	13
McCulloch's Commerce & Navigation	14
Service on Iron Trade	19
Thomson's Interest Tables	21
Tooke's History of Prices	21
Tucker's British Consul's Manual	23

Criticism, History, and Memoirs.

Blair's Chron. and Histor. Tables	4
Brewer's Historical Atlas	4
Bunyan's Ancient Egypt	5
Conolly's Anglo-Saxons	5
Burton's History of Scotland	5
Chapman's Gustavus Adolphus	6
Comptons and Howson's St. Paul	6
Erikson's History of India	7
Giles's Leper's Campaign	7
Gurley's Historical Sketches	8
Haydon's Autobiography, by Taylor	10
Jenamy's (Lord) Contributions	11
Jones's History of War	11
John's History of Poetry	11
John's Anglo-Saxons	12
Lardner's Cabinet Cyclopaedia	13
Macaulay's Crit. and Hist. Essays	13
" History of England	13
" Speeches	13
Matthews's Miscellaneous Works	14
" History of England	16
McClintock's Encyclopedia Americana	16
Maurand's Treas. of History	16
Master of the Duke of Wellington	16
Maurand's History of Rome	16
Montaigne's Essays	16
" Roman Republics	16
Milner's Church History	16
Moore's (Thomas) Manuscr., &c.	16
Moore's Greek Literature	16
Morley's Year of Revolution	17
North's Journal	17
Ranke's Life of Frederick II	17
Ranke's Latin Dictionnaire	18
Riddle's Latin Dictionnaire	18
Robert's Southern Counties	18
Rogier's Essays from French Review	18

Roget's English Thesaurus	18
Russell's Life of Lord W. Russell	19
Schmitz's History of Greece	19
Smith's Sacred Animals	20
Southey's Doctor	20
Stephens's Ecclesiastical Biography	21
" Lectures on French History	21
Sydney Smith's Works	21
" Lectures	22
" Memoirs	22

Taylor's Loyola	22
" Wesley	22
Thirlwall's History of Greece	22
Thornbury's Shakespeare's England	22
Townsend's State Trials	22
Tucker's Civil Controversies	22
Tucker's Middle Ages	22
" Sacred Hist. of the World	22

Velde's Austrian Court	22
Wade's England's Greatness	22
Whitelock's Swedish Embassy	22
Woods's Crimean Campaign	22
Young's Christ of Gaul	22

Geography and Atlases.

Arrasmith's Geogr. Dict. of Bible	2
Brewer's Historical Atlas	6
Butler's Geography and Atlases	6
Cabinet Gazette	6
Corwall's Its Mines, &c.	22
Durfort's Morocco	22
Hawkins's American Colonies	22
Johnson's General Gazetteer	22
Maurand's Treasury of Geography	22
" Russia and Turkey	22
Milner's Baltic Sea	15
" Crimes	15
" Russia	15
Murray's Encyclopedia of Geography	15
Shaw's British Gazetteer	15
Wheller's Geography of Herodotus	24

Juvenile Books.

Amy Herbert	19
Clara Bell	19
Eliza's Daughters (The)	19
Experiences of Life	19
Gertrude	19
Gilbert's Logie for the Young	8
Howitt's Boy's Country Book	10
" (Mary) Children's Ya-	10
Irons	19
Katherine Ashton	19
Lancaster's Parsonage	19
Margaret Farquhar	19

Medicine and Surgery.

Brodie's Psychological Inquiries	6
Bull's Hints to Mothers	6
" Management of Children	6
Copland's Dictionary of Medicine	6
Cust's Invalid's Own Book	7
Edmund's Mental Physiology	6
Holland's Medical Practice	6
How to Manage Infants	6
Kesteven's Domestic Medicine	11
Perera's Materia Medica	11
Reece's Medical Guide	12
West on Diseases of Infancy	22
Wilson's Doctor's Manual	24

Miscellaneous and General Literature.

Carroll's Letters and Addresses	20
Defoe's Life of a Pyrate	7
Digby's Lover's Seat	7
Edwin's Tales of Faith	7
Grieg's Political and Social Essays	8
Gurney's Evening Recitations	8
Howell's Book on Adulteration of Food	8
Hough's Book of Delights	8
Hollands's Book of Antiquities	8
Hollands's Book of Biography	8
Hockart's Key Guide	8
Howitt's Naval Lists of England	10
" Whistler's Remarkable Places	10
Jackson's Companions Book	11
Jaffray's (Lord) Contributions	11
Leest of the Old Squires	12
Macaulay's Crit. and Hist. Essays	12
" Specimens	12
Mackintosh's Miscellaneous Works	12
Members of a Maître d'Armes	12

CLASSIFIED INDEX.

Martindale's Church and Catacombs	14	Martinus' Christian Life	14	Moseley's Engineering & Architecture	17
Martineau's Miscellanies	14	" Hymns	14	Noes	17
Pascal's Works, by Pearce	17	Milner's Church of Christ	15	Our Coal Fields, and our Coal-Pits	22
Pilkington's Contributions to Education	17	Montgomery's Organized Lyons	15	Owen's Lectures on Comp Anatomy	17
Penny on the Action of Human Life	17	Morgan on "The Art of the Body	15	Perron on Polarized Light	17
Priests of the Order of the Cross	23	" Body and Soul	15	Phillips's Elements of Physics	17
Profitt's English Reading	18	" Man and his Motives	15	Philipps's Fauna of Cornwall, etc.	17
Richter's Comp to Latin Dictionary	18	Mormonism	15	" Mineralogy	17
Rowson's Debater	18	Neale's Closing Scene	15	Pertch's Geology of Londonderry	18
Seaward's Narrative of his Shipwreck	19	Newman's (Dr.) " Discourses	17	Powell's Unity of Words	18
Sir Roger de Coverley	20	" on Universities	16	Smee's Electro Metallurgy	20
Smith's (Rev. Sydney) Works	20	Ranke's Ferdinand & Maximilian	23	Steam Engine ("The")	4
Somerville's Consolations Books	23	Readings for Lent	19	Wilson's Electric Telegraph	23
" The Doctor for	23	Confirmation	19		
Somerville's Attae Philosopher	23	Robinson's Leaven to the Greek	19		
" Confessions of a Working Man	23	Testament	19		
Spencer's Psychology	23	Saints our Example	19	Saler's Rife and Hound in Caylen	3
Stephen's Essays	21	Sermon in the Mount	19	Hiana's Duties and Sports	3
Stowe's Training System	21	Sinclair's Journey of Life	20	Cecil's Stable Practice	3
Strachey's Hebrew Politics	21	Smith's (Sydney) Moral Philosophy	20	" Stud Farm	3
Thomson's Laws of Thought	21	" (G) Sacred Annals	20	The Cricket Field	7
Whewell's State of Prob.	21	" Harmony of Divine Di-	20	Davy's Pastoral Colloquies	7
Willoughby's Popular Tables	21	personas	20	Ephemeris of Angling	7
Young's English-Greek Lexicon	21	" (J) Voyage and Shipwreck	20	" Book of the Salmon	7
" Latin Gradas	24	of St. Paul	20	Hawker's Young Sportsman	9
Sampson's Latin Grammar	21	Souther's Life of Wesley	20	Hawke's Friend Field	9
Natural History in general.		Stephen's Ecclesiastical Biography	21	Idly Hobbies and Hobbies	14
Cadoux's Popular Cosmology	8	Taylor's (J J) Discourses	21	Pocket and the Stud	9
Ephemeris and Young on the Salmon	8	Taylor's Loyola	21	Practical Horsemanship	9
Giese's Natural History of Families	8	Wesley	21	Richardson's Horsemanship	12
Goldsborough's History of Creation	8	Thatcher's Germania	5	Rouille's " Fly-Fisher's Entomo-	12
Kirk's General's Handbook	11	Thomas on the Atonement	21	logy	12
Levi's Elements of Natural History	12	Thumb Bible ("The")	21	Stable Talk and Table Talk	9
Mann's on Reproduction	14	Tomlinson's Introduction to the Bible	21	Stanhope's Jane	21
Mandibor's Natural History	15	Turner's Sacred History	22	Stonehenge on the Greyhound	21
Turton's Shells of the British Islands	22	Twinning's Bible Types	22	Thackeray's Counter Guide	21
Van der Hoeven's Handbook of		Wheeler's Popular Bible Harmony	22	The Stud, for Practical Purposes	9
Zoology	22	Young's Christ of History	22		
Van Technik's Sketches in the Alps	22	" Mystery	22		
Waterson's English Natural Hist.					
Younit's The Dog	24				
" " The Horse	24				
I.-Volume Encyclopedias and Dictionaries.					
Arrowsmith's Geog. Dict of Bible	2	Poetry and the Drama.			
Elaine's Rural Sports	4	Aikin's (Dr.) British Poets	2	Cant's Stable Practice	9
Brande's Science, Literature, and Art	4	Arnold's (John) Poetical Works	2	" Stud Farm	9
Copland's Dictionary of Medicine	4	Burn's (Jeanne) Poetical Works	2	Hunting Field ("The")	9
Craig's Civil Engineering	6	Boileau's Ballads from Herodotus	4	Miles's Horse-Shoeing	15
Griffith's Architecture	6	Calvert's Wife's Manual	6	" on the Horse's Foot	15
Johnson's Geographical & Political Dictionary	11	" Poems	6	Pocket and the Stud	9
London's Gardener's Calendar	11	Flower and their Kindred Thoughts	11	Practical Horsemanship	9
" " Rural Architecture	12	Goldsmith's Poems, illustrated	2	Richardson's Horsemanship	12
" " Gardening	12	L E L's Poetical Works	12	Stable Talk and Table Talk	9
" Plants	12	Llwood's Anthology, Oxenheads	12	Stanhope's Jane	21
" Trees and Shrubs	12	Lynch's Rhymes	5	Stonehenge on the Greyhound	21
McCollum's Geographical Dictionary	14	Macaulay's Lays of Ancient Rome	13	Thackeray's Counter Guide	21
" Dictionary of Commerce	14	Mac Donald's Within and Without	14	The Stud, for Practical Purposes	9
Murray's Encyclopedia of Geography	15	Montgomery's Poetical Works	15		
Sharp's British Gazetteer	15	" Original Hymns	15		
Urquhart's Dictionary of Arts, &c.	22	Moore's Poetical Works	16		
Webster's Domestic Economy	22	" Fucuram	16		
Religious & Moral Works.		" Lalla Rookh	16		
Amy Herbert	19	" " Sack and Madder	16		
Arrowsmith's Geog. Dict of Bible	19	Reader's Man in Paradise	18		
Bloomfield's Greek Testament	4	Shakespeare, by Bowdler	19	Ferguson's Swiss Travels	21
Calvert's Wife's Manual	4	Southey's Poetical Works	20	French's Interiors	6
Cleve Hall	12	" British Poets	21	Forrester's Rambles in Norway	21
Conqueror's Essays	6	Thomson's Seasons, illustrated	21	" Sarria and Coracia	21
Conybeare and Howson's St. Paul	6			Gronovius's Philippines	21
Cotton's Instructions in Christianity	7			Groverick's Corica	21
Dale's Domestic Liturgy	7			Hector's Japan	21
Defence of Errors of Youth	7			Hill's Travels in Siberia	9
Disciplines	7			Hope's Brittany and the Bubis	21
David's Daughter ("The")	19			" Chase in Brittany	21
Delius of Faith	7			Howitz's Art Student in Munich	10
Engelhardt's Great Compendium	1			" (W) Victoria	10
" " Child-Consent."	1			Hue's Chinese Empire	21
Elliott's "The Jewess"				Hue and Gabet's Tartary & Tibet	21
Experience ("The") of Life	19			Huxley and Armenty's Africa	10
Gertrude	12			Hughes's Australian Colonies	21
Harrison's Light of the Forces	8			Humboldt's Aspects of Nature	10
Hock's Lectures on Passion Week	9			Hurford's Pictures from Cuba	21
Horne's Introduction to Scripture	19			Hutchinson's African Explorations	21
" Abridgment of ditto	10			Janssen's Canada	21
Humphrey's "I'm all Illuminated	10			Jermann's St. Petersburg	21
Ivor's	10			Kennan's Eastern Tour	11
Jackson's Sacred Legends	11			Lange's " Notes of a Traveller	21
" " Mountain Legends	11			" Notes of the North-West Passage	14
" Legends of the Madonna	11			Mason's Johns of Natal	21
" Legends on Female End-	11			Mayo's Arctic Discoveries	21
playment	11			Miles's Rambles in Ireland	21
Matthew's "The Work"	11			Miller's Voyages round the World	21
McLennan's "Theology on Zoroaster	11			" Second Edit.	17
Lockhart's Accidents	11			Scott's Danish Novels	19
Milner's "Moral Life of Luther	8			Shelley's Necessities	19
Lockhart's Pastoral	10			Wild's United States and Canada	21
Linton's "The Unknown Friend"	12			Worse's African Wanderings	21
" " Life of Shelley	12			Wheeler's Travels of Herodotus	21
Lockhart's "The Wives of	8			Walker's Slave and Slave-Times	21
Macaulay's "The History of England"	14				
Macaulay's "The History of England"	14				
Macaulay's "The History of England"	14				

WORKS OF FICTION.

Arnold's Oakfield	1
Macaulay's "Vita Veracissima"	1
Matthew's "The Vicar of Wakefield"	1
Monk's "The Copper Beeches"	1
Novell's "The Pilgrim's Progress"	1
Scott's "The Heart of Midlothian"	1
Waverley's "Waverley"	1

ALPHABETICAL CATALOGUE
of
NEW WORKS and NEW EDITIONS

PUBLISHED BY

Messrs. LONGMAN, BROWN, GREEN, LONGMANS, and ROBERTS
PATERNOSTER ROW, LONDON.

Miss Acton's Modern Cookery, for Private Families, reduced to a System of Easy Practice in a Series of carefully-tested Receipts, in which the Principles of Baron Liebig and other eminent Writers have been as much as possible applied and explained. Newly revised and enlarged Edition; with 8 Plates, comprising 27 Figures, and 150 Woodcuts. Fcp. 8vo. 7s. 6d.

Acton.—The English Bread-Book, for Domestic Use, adapted to Families of every grade: Containing plain Instructions and Practical Receipts for making numerous varieties of Bread; with Notices of the present System of Adulteration and its Consequences, and of the Improved Baking Processes and Institutions established Abroad. By ELIZA ACTON. [In the press.]

Arago (F.)—Meteorological Essays. By FRANCOIS ARAGO. With an Introduction by BARON HUMBOLDT. Translated under the superintendence of Lieut.-Colonel E. SABINE, B.A., Treasurer and V.P.R.S. 8vo. 18s.

Arago's Popular Astronomy. Translated and Edited by Admiral W. H. SMYTH, F.R.S.; and ROBERT GRANT, M.A., F.R.A.S. In Two Volumes. Vol. I. 8vo. with Plates and Woodcuts, 21s.

Arago's Lives of Distinguished Scientific Men. Translated by the Rev. BADEN POWELL, M.A.; Rear-Admiral W. H. SMYTH; and R. GRANT, M.A. 8vo. [Nearly ready.]

Akin, Select Works of the British Poets, from Ben Jonson to Beattie. With Biographical and Critical Prefaces by DR. AKIN. New Edition, with Supplement by LUCY AKIN; consisting of additional Selections from more recent Poets. 8vo. price 18s.

Arnold.—Oakfield; or, Fellowship in the East. By W. D. ARNOLD, Lieutenant 58th Regiment, Bengal Native Infantry. Second Edition. 2 vols. post 8vo. price 21s.

Arnold.—Poems. By Matthew ARNO. Second Edition of the *First Series*. Fc. 8vo. price 5s. 6d.

Arnold.—Poems. By Matthew ARNO. Second Series, about one-third new; the rest finally selected from the Volumes of 1849 & 1852, now withdrawn. Fop. 8vo. price 6s.

Arnott.—On the Smokeless Fire-place, Chimney-valves, and other means, old and new, of obtaining Healthful Warmth and Ventilation. By NELSON ARNOTT, M.D., F.R. F.G.S. 8vo. 6s.

Arrowsmith.—A Geographical Dictionary of the Holy Scriptures: Including also Notices of the chief Places and Persons mentioned in the APOCALYPHA. By the Rev. A. ARROWSMITH, M.A. 8vo. 15s.

Joanna Baillie's Dramatic and Poetic Works: Comprising the Plays of the Seasons, Miscellaneous Dramas, Metrical Legends, Fugitive Pieces, and Ahalya. Second Edition, with a Life of Jean Baillie, Portrait, and Vignette. Squared 8vo. 21s. cloth; or 42s. bound morocco by Hayday.

Baker.—Eight Years' Wanderings in Ceylon. By S. W. BAKER, Esq. With 6 coloured Plates. 8vo. price 15s.

Baker.—The Rifle and the Hound. By S. W. BAKER, Esq. With colour Plates and Woodcuts. 8vo. price 12s.

Dr. Barth's Travels and Discoveries in Africa. With Maps and Illustrations. Comprising Journeys from Tripoli to Kouka from Kouka to Yola, the Capital of Adamawa, and back; to Kanem, accompanying a Slave-Hunting Expedition to Musgo; his Journey to and Residence in Bagirmi. Also, a Journey from Kouka to Timbuctoo; Residence in Timbuctoo; and Journey back to Kouka. Vols. I., II., and III. 8vo. [Nearly ready.]

Bayldon's Art of Valuing Rents and Tillages, and Claims of Tenants upon Quitting Farms, both at Michaelmas and Lady-Day; as revised by Mr. DONALDSON. *Seventh Edition, enlarged and adapted to the Present Time: With the Principles and Mode of Valuing Land and other Property for Parochial Assessment and Enfranchisement of Copyholds, under the recent Acts of Parliament.* By ROBERT BAKER, Land-Agent and Valuer. Svo. 10s. 6d.

Black's Practical Treatise on Brewing, based on Chemical and Economical Principles: With Formulae for Public Brewers, and Instructions for Private Families. New Edition, with Additions. Svo. 10s. 6d.

Blaine's Encyclopædia of Rural Sports; or, a complete Account, Historical, Practical, and Descriptive, of Hunting, Shooting, Fishing, Racing, and other Field Sports and Athletic Amusements of the present day. New Edition, revised by HARRY HROVERE, HERSCHEM, and Mr. A. GRAHAM. With upwards of 600 Woodcuts. Svo. price 50s. half-bound.

Blair's Chronological and Historical Tables, from the Creation to the Present Time: With Additions and Corrections from the most authentic Writers; including the Computation of St. Paul, as connecting the Period from the Exodus to the Temple. Under the revision of SIR HENRY ELLES, K.H. Imperial Svo. 31s. 6d. half-morocco.

Bloomfield.—The Greek Testament, with copious English Notes, Critical, Philological, and Explanatory. Especially adapted to the use of Theological Students and Ministers. By the Rev. S. T. BLOOMFIELD, D.D., F.S.A. Ninth Edition, revised throughout; with Dr. Bloomfield's *Supplementary Annotations* incorporated. 2 vols. Svo. with Map, price £2. 8s.

Dr. Bloomfield's College and School Greek Testament: With brief English Notes, chiefly Philological and Explanatory, - especially formed for use in Colleges and the Public Schools. Seventh Edition, improved; with Map and Index. Fcp. Svo. 7s. 6d.

Dr. Bloomfield's College and School Lexicon to the Greek Testament. Fcp. Svo. price 10s. 6d.

Bode.—Ballads from Herodotus: With an Introductory Poem. By the Rev. J. B. BOBB, M.A., late Student of Christ Church. Second Edition, with four additional Pieces. 16mo. price 7s.

Bourne.—A Treatise on the Steam-Engine, in its Application to Mines, Mills, Steam-Navigation, and Railways. By the Artisan Club. Edited by JOHN BOURNE, C.E. New Edition; with 33 Steel Plates and 349 Wood Engravings. 4to. price 27s.

Bourne's Catechism of the Steam-Engine in its various Applications to Mines, Mills, Steam-Navigation, Railways, and Agriculture: With Practical Instructions for the Manufacture and Management of Engines of every class. Fourth Edition, enlarged; with 89 Woodcuts. Fcp. Svo. 6s.

Bourne.—A Treatise on the Screw Propeller: With various Suggestions of Improvement. By JOHN BOURNE, C.E. New Edition, thoroughly revised and corrected. With 20 large Plates and numerous Woodcuts. 4to. price 38s.

Brande.—A Dictionary of Science, Literature, and Art: Comprising the History, Description, and Scientific Principles of every Branch of Human Knowledge; with the Derivation and Definition of all the Terms in general use. Edited by W. T. BRANDE, F.R.S.L. and E.; assisted by DR. J. CAUVIN. Third Edition, revised and corrected; with numerous Woodcuts. Svo. 60s.

Professor Brande's Lectures on Organic Chemistry, as applied to Manufactures; including Dyeing, Bleaching, Calico-Printing, Sugar-Manufacture, the Preservation of Wood, Tanning, &c., delivered before the Members of the Royal Institution. Arranged by permission from the Lecturer's Notes by J. SCOTT, M.B. Fcp. Svo. with Woodcuts, price 7s. 6d.

Brewer.—An Atlas of History and Geography, from the Commencement of the Christian Era to the Present Time: Comprising a Series of Sixteen coloured Maps, arranged in Chronological Order, with Illustrative Memoirs. By the Rev. J. S. BREWER, M.A., Professor of English History and Literature in King's College, London. The Maps engraved by H. Waller, M.R.G.S. Royal Svo. 12s. 6d. half-bound. .

Brodie.—Psychological Inquiries, in a Series of Essays intended to illustrate the Influence of the Physical Organisation on the Mental Faculties. By SIR BENJAMIN C. BRODIE, Bart. Third Edition. Fcp. Svo. 5s.

Brougham and Reuth.—Analytical View of Sir Isaac Newton's Principia. By HENRY LORD BROUHAM, F.R.S., Member of the National Institute of France and of the Royal Academy of Naples; and H. J. REUTH, B.A., Fellow of St. Peter's College, Cambridge. Svo. price 14s.

Buckingham.—Autobiography of James Silk Buckingham : Including his Voyages, Travels, Adventures, Speculations, Successes and Failures, frankly and faithfully narrated ; with Characteristic Sketches of Public Men. Vols. I. and II. post 8vo. 2ls. *
* Vols. III. and IV., edited by the Author's Son, and completing the work, are preparing for publication.

Bull.—The Maternal Management of Children in Health and Disease. By T. BULL, M.D., Member of the Royal College of Physicians ; formerly Physician-Accoucheur to the Finsbury Midwifery Institution. New Edition. Fcp. 8vo. 5s.

Dr. T. Bull's Hints to Mothers on the Management of their Health during the Period of Pregnancy and in the Lying-in Room : With an Exposure of Popular Errors in connexion with those subjects, &c. ; and Hints upon Nursing. New Edition. Fcp. 8vo. 5s.

Bunsen.—Christianity and Mankind, their Beginnings and Prospects. By CHRISTIAN CHARLES JOSIAS BUNSEN, D.D., D.C.L., D.Ph. Being a New Edition, corrected, remodelled, and extended, of *Hippolytus and his Age*. 7 vols. 8vo. 25. 5s.

* This Second Edition of the *Hippolytus* is composed of three distinct works, which may be had separately, as follows.—

1. Hippolytus and his Age ; or, the Beginnings and Prospects of Christianity. 3 vols. 8vo. price 21. 10.
2. Outline of the Philosophy of Universal History, applied to Language and Religion, Containing an account of the Alphabetical Conferences. 2 vols. 8vo. price 21. 10.
3. Analecta Anti-Nicoma. 3 vols. 8vo. price 12. 2s.

Bunsen.—Lyra Germanica : Hymns for the Sundays and chief Festivals of the Christian Year. Translated from the German by CATHERINE WINKWORTH. Second Edition. Fcp. 8vo. 5s.

* This selection of German Hymns has been made from a collection published in Germany by the Chevalier BUNSEN, and forms a companion volume to,

Theologia Germanica : Which setteth forth many fair lineaments of Divine Truth, and saith very lofty and lovely things touching a Perfect Life. Translated by SUSANNA WINKWORTH. With a Preface by the Rev. CHARLES KIRKESLEY ; and a Letter by Chevalier BUNSEN. Second Edition. Fcp. 8vo. 5s.

Bunsen.—Egypt's Place in Universal History : An Historical Investigation, in Five Books. By C. O. J. BUNSEN, D.D., D.C.L., D.Ph. Translated from the German by C. H. COXWELL, Esq., M.A. With many Illustrations. Vol. I. 8vo. 28s. ; Vol. II. 8vo. 30s.

Burton.—First Footsteps in East Africa or, an Exploration of Harar. By RICHARD F. BURTON, Bombay Army ; Author of *A Pilgrimage to Medina and Mecca*. With Maps and coloured Plates. 8vo. 18s.

Burton.—Personal Narrative of a Pilgrimage to El-Medinah and Meccah. By RICHARD F. BURTON, Bombay Army. With Map, Plates, and Woodcuts. 3 vols. 8vo price £2. 3s.

Burton (J. H.)—The History of Scotland from the Revolution to the Extinction of the Last Jacobite Insurrection (1688-1746). By JOHN HILL BURTON. 2 vols. 8vo. 26s.

Bishop S. Butler's General Atlas of Modern and Ancient Geography ; comprising Fifty-two full-coloured Maps ; with complete Indices. New Edition, nearly all re-engraved, enlarged, and greatly improved. Edited by the Author's Son. Royal 4to. 24s. half-bound.

The Modern Atlas of 28 full-coloured Maps. Separately Royal 8vo. price 12s.
The Ancient Atlas of 24 full-coloured Maps. Royal 8vo. price 12s.

Bishop S. Butler's Sketch of Modern and Ancient Geography. New Edition, thus roughly revised, with such Alterations introduced as continually progressive Discoveries and the latest Information have rendered necessary. Post 8vo. price 7s. 6d.

Bishop J. Butler's Fifteen Sermons preached at the Rolls Chapel. With Notes Analytical, Explanatory, and Illustrative, and Observations in reply to Mackintosh Wardlaw, and Maurice, by Rev. ROBERT CARMICHAEL, M.A., Fellow of Trinity College, Dublin. 8vo. 9s.

The Cabinet Lawyer : A Popular Digest of the Laws of England, Civil and Criminal with a Dictionary of Law Terms, Maxim Statutes, and Judicial Antiquities ; Correct Tables of Assessed Taxes, Stamp Duties, Excise Licenses, and Post-Horse Duties Post-Office Regulations ; and Prison Discipline. 17th Edition, comprising the Public Acts of the Session 1856. Fcp. 8vo. 10s. 6d.

The Cabinet Gazetteer : A Popular Exposition of All the Countries of the World their Government, Population, Revenue, Commerce, and Industries ; Agriculture, Manufactured, and Mineral Products ; Religion, Laws, Manners, and Social Statistics. With brief Notices of their History and Antiquities. By the Author of *The Cabinet Lawyer*. Fcp. 8vo. 10s. 6d. cloth ; or 12s. bound in calf.

NEW WORKS AND NEW EDITIONS

Jaird.—English Agriculture in 1850 and 1851. Its Condition and Prospects. By JAMES CAREY, Esq., of Baldoon, Agricultural Commissioner of *The Times*. The Second Edition. 8vo. price 14s.

Calvert.—Pneuma; or, the Wandering Soul: A Parable, in Rhyme and Outline. By the Rev. WILLIAM CALVERT, M.A., Minor Canon of St. Paul's Cathedral. With 20 Etchings by the Author. Square crown 8vo. 10s. 6d.

Calvert.—The Wife's Manual; or, Prayers, Thoughts, and Songs on Several Occasions of a Matron's Life. By the Rev. W. CALVERT, M.A. Ornamented from Designs by the Author in the style of Queen Elizabeth's Prayer-Book. Second Edition. Crown 8vo. 10s. 6d.

Carlisle (Lord).—A Diary in Turkish and Greek Waters. By the Right Hon. the EARL OF CARLISLE. Fifth Edition. Post 8vo. price 10s. 6d.

Catlow.—Popular Conchology; or, the Shell Cabinet arranged according to the Modern System: With a detailed Account of the Animals, and a complete Descriptive List of the Families and Genera of Recent and Fossil Shells. By AGNES CATLOW. Second Edition, much improved; with 405 Woodcut Illustrations. Post 8vo. price 14s.

Cecil.—The Stud Farm; or, Hints on Breeding Horses for the Turf, the Chase, and the Road. Addressed to Breeders of Race-Horses and Hunters, Landed Proprietors, and especially to Tenant Farmers. By OSCAR. 8vo. with Frontispiece, 5s.

Gedf's Stable Practice; or, Hints on Training for the Turf, the Chase, and the Road; with Observations on Racing and Hunting, Warming, Race-Riding, and Handicapping: Addressed to Owners of Racers, Hunters, and other Horses, and to all who are conversant in Racing, Steeple-Chasing, and Fox-Hunting. Pop. 8vo. with Plate, price 5s. half-bound.

Gleyreul On the Harmony and Contrast of Colours, and their Applications to the Arts: Including Painting, Interior Decoration, Tapestry, Carpets, Mosaics, Coloured Glassing, Paper-Staining, Calico-Printing, Letterpress-Printing, Map-Colouring, Dress, Landscape and Flower-Gardening, &c. &c. Translated by CHARLES MARSH. Second Edition; with 4 Plates. Crown 8vo. price 10s. 6d.

Chapman.—History of Gustavus Adolphus, and of the Thirty Years' War up to the King's Death. With some Account of its Conclusion by the Peace of Westphalia, in 1648. By B. CHAPMAN, M.A., Vicar of Letherhead. 8vo. with Plans, 12s. 6d.

Clinton.—Literary Remains of Henry Fynes Clinton, M.A., Author of the *Fest Hellenae*, the *Fest Roman*, &c.: Comprising an Autobiography and Literary Journal, and brief Essays on Theological Subjects. Edited by the Rev. C. J. FYNES CLINTON, M.A. Post 8vo. 9s. 6d.

Conybeare.—Essays, Ecclesiastical and Social: Reprinted, with Additions, from the *Edinburgh Review*. By the Rev. W. J. CONYBEARE, M.A., late Fellow of Trinity College, Cambridge. 8vo. 12s.

Conybeare and Howson.—The Life and Epistles of Saint Paul: Comprising a complete Biography of the Apostle, and a Translation of his Epistles inserted in Chronological Order. By the Rev. W. J. CONYBEARE, M.A.; and the Rev. J. S. HOWSON, M.A. Second Edition, carefully revised and corrected, and printed in a more convenient form; with several Maps and Woodcuts, and 4 Plates. 2 vols. square crown 8vo. 31s. 6d. cloth.

* The Original Edition, with more numerous Illustrations, in 3 vols. 8vo. price 42s.—may also be had.

Dr. Copland's Dictionary of Practical Medicine: Comprising General Pathology, the Nature and Treatment of Diseases, Morbid Structures, and the Disorders especially incidental to Climates, to Sex, and to the different Epochs of Life; with numerous approved Formulae of the Medicines recommended. Vols. I and II. 8vo. price £8; and Parts X. to XVII. 4s. 6d. each.

* Part XVIII., completing the work, is preparing for publication.

Cresy's Encyclopaedia of Civil Engineering, Historical, Theoretical, and Practical. Illustrated by upwards of 8,000 Woodcuts. Second Edition, revised and brought down to the Present Time in a Supplement, comprising Metropolitan Water-Supply, Drainage of Towns, Railways, Cubical Proportion, Brick and Iron Construction, Iron Screw Piles, Tubular Bridges, &c. 8vo. 63s. cloth.—The SUPPLEMENT separately, price 12s. 6d. cloth.

Cotton.—Instructions in the Doctrine and Practice of Christianity. Extended chiefly as an Introduction to Confirmation. By G. E. L. CORCORAN, M.A., late Fellow of Trinity College, Cambridge. 12mo. 2s. 6d.

The Cricket-Field; or, the Science and History of the Game of Cricket. By the Author of *Principles of Scientific Batting*. Second Edition, greatly improved; with Plates and Woodcuts. Fcp. Svo. price 5s. half-bound.

Lady Cust's Invalid's Book.—The Invalid's Own Book: A Collection of Recipes from various Books and various Countries. By the Honourable LADY CUST. Second Edition. Fcp. Svo. price 2s. 6d.

Dale.—The Domestic Liturgy and Family Chaplain, in Two Parts: PART I. Church Services adapted for Domestic Use, with Prayers for Every Day of the Week, selected from the Book of Common Prayer; PART II. an appropriate Sermon for Every Sunday in the Year. By the Rev. THOMAS DALE, M.A., Canon Residentiary of St. Paul's. Second Edition. Post 4to. 2ls. cloth; 8ls. 6d. calf; or £2. 10s. morocco.

Separately { THE FAMILY CHAPLAIN, 18s.
THE DOMESTIC LITURGY, 10s. 6d.

Davy (Dr. J.)—The Angler and his Friend; or, Piscatory Colloquies and Fishing Excursions. By JOHN DAVY, M.D., F.R.S., &c. Fcp. Svo. price 6s.

Delabecque.—Report on the Geology of Cornwall, Devon, and West Somerset. By SIR HENRY T. DELABECQUE, F.R.S., late Director-General of the Geological Survey. With Maps, Plates, and Woodcuts. Svo. price 14s.

De la Rive.—A Treatise on Electricity, in Theory and Practice. By A. DE LA RIVE, Professor in the Academy of Geneva. Translated for the Author by C. V. WALKER, F.R.S. In Three Volumes; with numerous Woodcuts. Vol. I. Svo. price 18s.; Vol. II. price 28s.

Dennistoun.—Memoirs of Sir Robert Strange, Knight, Engraver, Member of several Foreign Academies of Design; and of his Brother-in-law, Andrew Lumudsen. By JAMES DENNISTOUN, of Dennistoun. 2 vols. post Svo. with Illustrations, 21s.

Digby.—The Lover's Seat: Kathemera; or, Common Things in relation to Beauty, Virtue, and Truth. By KENNETH HENRY DIGBY, Author of *Mores Catholicæ*, &c. 2 vols. fcp. Svo. 12s.

Discipline. By the Author of "Letters to my Unknown Friends," &c. Second

Dodd.—The Food of London: A Sketch of the chief Varieties, Sources of Supply, probable Quantities, Modes of Arrival, Processes of Manufacture, suspected Adulteration, and Machinery of Distribution of the Food for a Community of Two Millions and a Half. By GEORGE DODD, Author of *British Manufactures*, &c. Post Svo. 10s. 6d.

The Eclipse of Faith; or, a Visit to a Religious Sceptic. 7th Edition. Fcp. Svo. 5s.

Defence of The Eclipse of Faith, by its Author: Being a Rejoinder to Professor Newman's *Reply*. Including a full Examination of that Writer's Criticism on the Character of Christ; and a Chapter on the Aspects and Pretensions of Modern Deism. Second Edition, revised. Post Svo. 5s. 6d.

The Englishman's Greek Concordance of the New Testament: Being an Attempt at a Verbal Connexion between the Greek and the English Texts; including a Concordance to the Proper Names, with Indexes, Greek-English and English-Greek. New Edition, with a new Index. Royal Svo. price 42s.

The Englishman's Hebrew and Chaldee Concordance of the Old Testament: Being an Attempt at a Verbal Connexion between the Original and the English Translations; with Indexes, a List of the Proper Names and their Occurrences, &c. 2 vols. royal Svo. £3. 18s. 6d.; large paper, £4. 14s. 6d.

Ephemera's Handbook of Angling; teaching Fly-Fishing, Trolling, Bottom-Fishing, Salmon-Fishing; with the Natural History of River-Fish, and the best Modes of Catching them. Third Edition, corrected and improved; with Woodcuts. Fcp. Svo. 5s.

Ephemera.—The Book of the Salmon: Comprising the Theory, Principles, and Practice of Fly-Fishing for Salmon: Lists of good Salmon Flies for every good River in the Empire; the Natural History of the Salmon, its Habits described, and the best way of artificially Breeding it. By EPHEMERA; assisted by ANDREW YOUNG. Fcp. Svo. with coloured Plates, price 14s.

W. Erskine, Esq.—History of India under Baber and Humayun, the First Two Sovereigns of the House of Taimur. By WILLIAM ERSKINE, Esq. 2 vols. Svo. 32s.

Etheridge.—Jerusalem and Tiberias; Sora and Cordova: A View of the Religious and Scholastic Learning of the Jews. Designed as an Introduction to Hebrew Literature. By J. W. ETHERIDGE, M.A., Ph.D.

NEW WORKS AND NEW EDITIONS

'airbairn.—*Useful Information for Engineers*: Being a Series of Lectures delivered to the Working Engineers of Yorkshire and Lancashire. With a Series of Appendices, containing the Results of Experimental Inquiries into the Strength of Materials, the Causes of Boiler Explosions, &c. By WILLIAM FAIRBAIRN, F.R.S., F.G.S. With Plates and Woodcuts. Royal 8vo. price 15s.

Faraday (Professor). — *The Subject-Matter of Six Lectures on the Non-Metallic Elements*, delivered before the Members of the Royal Institution, by PROFESSOR FARADAY, D.C.L., F.R.S., &c. Arranged by permission from the Lecturer's Notes by J. SCOTT-FERIN, M.B. Fcp. 8vo. price 5s. 6d.

Flemish Interiors. By the Writer of *A Glance behind the Grilles of Religious Houses in France*. Fcp. 8vo. 7s. 6d.

Forester.—Travels in the Islands of Corsica and Sardinia. By THOMAS FORESTER, Author of *Rambles in Norway*. With numerous coloured Illustrations and Woodcuts, from Sketches made during the Tour by Lieutenant-Colonel M. A. BIDDOLETT, R.A. Imperial 8vo. [In the press.]

Fulcher.—*Life of Thomas Gainsborough*, R.A. By the late GEORGE WILLIAMS FULCHER. Edited by his SON. With 4 Illustrations. New Edition. Fcp. 8vo. [Nearly ready.]

Gilbart.—A Practical Treatise on Banking. By JAMES WILLIAM GILBART, F.R.S., General Manager of the London and Westminster Bank. Sixth Edition, revised and enlarged. 2 vols. 12mo. Portrait, 16s.

Gilbart.—Logic for the Million: a Familiar Exposition of the Art of Reasoning. By J. W. GILBART, F.R.S. 4th Edition; with Portrait of the Author. 12mo. 3s. 6d.

Gilbart.—Logic for the Young: consisting of Twenty-five Lessons in the Art of Reasoning. Selected from the Logic of Dr. Isaac Watts. By J. W. GILBART, F.R.S. 12mo. 1s.

The Poetical Works of Oliver Goldsmith. Edited by BOLTON COXON, Esq. Illustrated by Wood Engravings, from Designs by Members of the Etching Club. Square 8vo. cloth, 2ls.; morocco, 3l. 1s.

Jones.—A Naturalist's Sojourn in Normandy. By P. H. GODEFROY, Esq. With

Mr. W. R. Greg's Contributions to The Edinburgh Review.—Essays on Political and Social Science. Contributed chiefly to the *Edinburgh Review*. By WILLIAM R. GREG. 2 vols. 8vo. price 24s.

Grove.—The Correlation of Physical Forces. By W. R. GROVE, Q.C., M.A., F.R.S., &c. Third Edition, with Notes and References. 8vo. price 7s.

Gurney.—St. Louis and Henri IV.: Being a Second Series of Historical Sketches. By the Rev. J. HAMPTON GURNEY, M.A. Fcp. 8vo. 6s.

Evening Recreations; or, Samples from the Lecture-Room. Edited by the Rev JOHN HAMPTON GURNEY, M.A., Rector of St. Mary's, Marylebone. Crown 8vo. 5s.

Gwilt.—An Encyclopaedia of Architecture, Historical, Theoretical, and Practical. By JOSEPH GWILT. With more than 1000 Wood Engravings, from Designs by J. S. GWILT. Third Edition. 8vo. 42s.

Halloran.—Eight Months' Journal of Visit to Japan, Loochoo, and Pootoo. By ALFRED LAURENCE HALLORAN, Master R.N., F.R.G.S., Polperro, Cornwall. With Etchings and Woodcuts from Designs by the Author. Post 8vo. [Nearly ready.]

Hare (Archdeacon).—The Life of Luther, in Forty-eight Historical Engravings. By GUSTAV KÖNIG. With Explanations by ARCHDEACON HARE and SUSANNA WINKWORTH. Fcp. 4to. price 28s.

Harford.—Life of Michael Angelo Buonarroti; comprising Memoirs of Savonarola and Vittoria Colonna, and much Contemporary History. By JOHN S. HARFORD, D.C.L., F.R.S., Member of the Roman Academy of Painting of St. Luke, and of the Archaeological Society of Rome. 2 vols. 8vo. with Portrait of Michael Angelo, and numerous Illustrations. [In the press.]

Also, to be sold separately, in folio,

Engravings illustrative of the Works of Michael Angelo, both in Painting and Architecture; with Explanatory Descriptions of the latter, by C. R. COOKSON, Esq., M.A.

Harrison.—The Light of the Forge; or, Counsels drawn from the Sick-Bed of H. M. By the Rev. W. HARRISON, M.A., Domestic Chaplain to H.R.H. the Duchess of Cam-

Harry Hieover.—Stable Talk and Table Talk; or, Spectacles for Young Sportsmen. By HARRY HIEOVER. New Edition, 2 vols. Svo. with Portrait, price 2*s*.

Harry Hieover.—The Hunting-Field. By Harry HIEOVER. With Two Plates. Fcp. Svo. 5*s*. half-bound.

Harry Hieover.—Practical Horsemanship. By HARRY HIEOVER. Second Edition; with 2 Plates. Fcp. Svo. 5*s*. half-bound.

Harry Hieover.—The Stud, for Practical Purposes and Practical Men: Being a Guide to the Choice of a Horse for use more than for show. By HARRY HIEOVER. With 2 Plates. Fcp. Svo. price 5*s*. half-bound.

Harry Hieover.—The Pocket and the Stud; or, Practical Hints on the Management of the Stable. By HARRY HIEOVER. Second Edition; with Portrait of the Author. Fcp. Svo. price 5*s*. half-bound.

Hassall (Dr.)—Food and its Adulterations: Comprising the Reports of the Analytical Sanitary Commission of The Lancet for the Years 1851 to 1854 inclusive, revised and extended. By ARTHUR HILL HASSALL, M.D., &c., Chief Analyst of the Commission. Svo. with 159 Woodcuts, 2*s*.

Col. Hawker's Instructions to Young Sportsmen in all that relates to Guns and Shooting. 10th Edition, revised and brought down to the Present Time, by the Author's Son, Major P. W. L. HAWKER. With a New Portrait of the Author, and numerous Plates and Woodcuts. Svo. 2*s*.

Haydon.—The Life of Benjamin Robert Haydon, Historical Painter, from his Autobiography and Journals. Edited and compiled by TOM TAYLOR, M.A., of the Inner Temple, Esq. 3 vols. post Svo. 3*s*. 6*d*.

Haydn's Book of Dignities: Containing Rolls of the Official Personages of the British Empire, Civil, Ecclesiastical, Judicial, Military, Naval, and Municipal, from the Earliest Periods to the Present Time. Together with the Sovereigns of Europe, from the Foundation of their respective States; the Peerage and Nobility of Great Britain; &c. Being a New Edition, improved and continued, of Beatson's Political Index. Svo. 2*s*. half-bound.

Herring.—Paper and Paper-Making, Ancient and Modern. By RICHARD HERRING. With an Introduction by the Rev. GEORGE CROUX, LL.D. Second Edition, with Plates and Specimens. Svo. 7*s*. 6*d*.

Sir John Herschel.—Outlines of Astronomy. By SIR JOHN F. W. HERSCHEL Bart., &c. New Edition; with Plates and Wood Engravings. 8vo. price 1*s*.

Hill.—Travels in Siberia. By S. S. HILL Esq., Author of *Travels on the Shores of the Baltic*. With a large Map of Europe and Asiatic Russia. 2 vols. post Svo. 2*s*.

Hints on Etiquette and the Usages of Society: With a Glance at Bad Habits. New Edition, revised (with Additions) by Lady of Bank. Fcp. Svo. price Half-a-Crown.

Holland.—Medical Notes and Reflections. By SIR HENRY HOLLAND, Bart. M.D., F.R.S., &c., Physician in Ordinary to the Queen and Prince Albert. Third Edition, with Alterations and Additions. Svo. 1*s*.

Holland.—Chapters on Mental Physiology. By SIR HENRY HOLLAND, Bart., F.R.S., &c. Founded chiefly on Chapters contained in the First and Second Editions of *Medical Notes and Reflections* by the same Author. Svo. price 1*s*. 6*d*.

Hook.—The Last Days of Our Lord's Ministry: A Course of Lectures on the principal Events of Passion Week. By the Rev. W. F. HOOK, D.D. New Edition. Fcp. Svo. price 6*s*.

Hooker.—Kew Gardens; or, a Popular Guide to the Royal Botanic Gardens of Kew. By SIR WILLIAM JACKSON HOOKER K.H., &c., Director. New Edition; with many Woodcuts. 16mo. price Sixpence.

Hooker.—Museum of Economic Botany or, a Popular Guide to the Useful and Remarkable Vegetable Products of the Museum in the Royal Gardens of Kew. By SIR W. J. HOOKER, K.H., &c., Director. With 24 Woodcuts. 16mo. price 1*s*.

Hooker and Arnott.—The British Flora comprising the Phanerogamous or Flowering Plants, and the Ferns. Seventh Edition with Additions and Corrections; and numerous Figures illustrative of the Umbelliferous Plants, the Composite Plants, the Grasses, and the Ferns. By SIR W. J. HOOKER, F.R.A. and L.S., &c.; and G. A. WALKER-ARNOTT, LL.D., F.L.S. 12*m*o. with 12 Plates, price 1*s*.; with the Plate coloured, price 2*s*.

Horne's Introduction to the Critical Study and Knowledge of the Holy Scriptures. *Third Edition*, revised, corrected, and brought down to the present time. Edited by the Rev. T. HARTWELL HORNE, B.D. (the Author); the Rev. SAMUEL DAVIDSON, D.D. of the University of Halle, and LL.D., and S. PRIDEAUX TREGELLES, LL.D. With 4 Maps and 22 Vignettes and Facsimiles. 4 vols 8vo £3. 18s. 6d.

* * The Four Volumes may also be had separately as follows—

Vol. I.—A Summary of the Evidence for the Genuineness, Authenticity, Uncorrupted Preservation, and Inspiration of the Holy Scriptures. By the Rev. T. H. Horne, B.D. 8vo 18s.

Vol. II.—The Text of the Old Testament considered. With a Treatise on Sacred Interpretation, and a brief Introduction to the Old Testament Books and the Apocrypha By S. Davidson, D.D. (Halle) and LL.D. 8vo. 25s.

Vol. III.—A Summary of Biblical Geography and Antiquities. By the Rev. T. H. Horne, B.D. 8vo 18s.

Vol. IV.—An Introduction to the Textual Criticism of the New Testament. By the Rev. T. H. Horne, B.D. The Critical Part re-written, and the remainder revised and edited by F. P. Tregelles, LL.D. 8vo. 18s.

Horne.—A Compendious Introduction to the Study of the Bible. By the Rev. T. HARTWELL HORNE, B.D. New Edition, with Maps and Illustrations. 12mo. 9s.

How to Nurse Sick Children: Intended especially as a Help to the Nurses in the Hospital for Sick Children; but containing Directions of service to all who have the charge of the Young. Fcp. 8vo. 1s. 6d.

Howitt (A. M.)—An Art-Student in Munich. By ANNA MARY HOWITT. 2 vols. post 8vo. price 14s.

Howitt.—The Children's Year. By Mary Howitt. With Four Illustrations, from Designs by A. M. Howitt. Square 16mo. 5s.

Howitt.—Land, Labour, and Gold; or, Two Years in Victoria: With Visit to Sydney and Van Diemen's Land. By WILLIAM HOWITT. 2 vols. post 8vo. 21s.

Howitt.—Visits to Remarkable Places: Old Halls, Battle-Fields, and Scenes illustrative of Striking Passages in English History and Poetry. By WILLIAM HOWITT. With about 80 Wood Engravings. New Edition. 2 vols. square crown 8vo. 26s. cloth, gilt top.

William Howitt's Boy's Country Book: Being the Real Life of a Country Boy, written by himself; exhibiting all the Amusements, Pleasures, and Pursuits of Children in the Country. New Edition; with 40 Woodcuts. Fcp. 8vo. price 6s.

Howitt.—The Rural Life of England. By WILLIAM HOWITT. New Edition, corrected and revised; with Woodcuts by Warwick and Williams. Medium 8vo. 21s.

Huc.—The Chinese Empire: A Sequel to Huc and Gabet's *Journey through Tartary and Thibet*. By the Abbé HUC, formerly Missionary Apostolic in China. Second Edition; with Map. 2 vols. 8vo 24s.

Hudson's Plain Directions for Making Wills in conformity with the Law: With a clear Exposition of the Law relating to the distribution of Personal Estate in the case of Intestacy, two Forms of Wills, and much useful information. New and enlarged Edition; including the Provisions of the Wills Act Amendment Act. Fcp. 8vo. 2s. 6d.

Hudson's Executor's Guide. New and enlarged Edition; with the Addition of Directions for paying Succession Duties on Real Property under Wills and Intestacies, and a Table for finding the Values of Annuities and the Amount of Legacy and Succession Duty thereon. Fcp. 8vo. 6s.

Hudson and Kennedy.—Where there's a Will there's a Way: An Ascent of Mont Blanc by a New Route and Without Guides. By the Rev. C. HUNSON, M.A., St. John's College, Cambridge; and E. S. KENNEDY, B.A., Caius College, Cambridge. Second Edition, with Two Ascents of Mont Rose, a Plate, and a coloured Map. Post 8vo. 5s. 6d.

Humboldt's Cosmos. Translated, with the Author's authority, by MRS. SABINE. Vols. I and II 16mo. Half-a-Crown each, sewed; 3s. 6d. each, cloth: or in post 8vo. 12s. each, cloth. Vol. III. post 8vo. 12s. 6d. cloth: or in 16mo. Part I. 2s. 6d. sewed, 3s. 6d. cloth; and Part II. 3s. sewed, 4s. cloth.

Humboldt's Aspects of Nature. Translat with the Author's authority, by MRS SABINE. 16mo. price 6s.: or in 2 vols. 3s. 6d. each, cloth; 2s. 6d. each, sewed.

Humphreys.—Parables of Our Lord, illuminated and ornamented in the style of the Missals of the Renaissance by HENRY NOX HUMPHREYS. Square fcp. 8vo. 21s. in massive carved covers; or 30s. bound in morocco by Hayday.

Hunt.—Researches on Light in its Chemical Relations; embracing a Consideration of all the Photographic Processes. By ROBERT HUNT, F.R.S. Second Edition, with Plates and Woodcuts. 8vo. 10s. 6d.

Idle.—Hints on Shooting, Fishing, &c., both on Sea and Land, and in the Fresh-Water Lochs of Scotland: Being the Experiences of C. IDLE, Esq. Fcp. 8vo. 5s.

Mrs. Jameson's Legends of the Saints and Martyrs: First Series of *Sacred and Legendary Art.* Second Edition; with numerous Woodcuts, and 16 Etchings by the Author. Square crown 8vo. 28s.

Mrs. Jameson's Legends of the Monastic Orders, as represented in the Fine Arts: Second Series of *Sacred and Legendary Art.* Second Edition, enlarged; with 11 Etchings by the Author, and 88 Woodcuts. Square crown 8vo. price 28s.

Mrs. Jameson's Legends of the Madonna, as represented in the Fine Arts: Third Series of *Sacred and Legendary Art.* With 55 Drawings by the Author, and 152 Woodcuts. Square crown 8vo. 28s.

Mrs. Jameson's Commonplace-Book of Thoughts, Memories, and Fancies, Original and Selected. Part I. Ethics and Character; Part II. Literature and Art. *Second Edit.* revised and corrected, with Etchings and Woodcuts. Crown 8vo. 18s.

Mrs. Jameson's Two Lectures on the Employment of Women.

1. **SISTERS OF CHARITY,** Catholic and Protestant, Abroad and at Home. *Second Edition,* with new Preface. Fcp 8vo. 4s.
2. **The COMMUNITY of LABOUR:** A Second Lecture on the Social Employments of Women. Fcp. 8vo. 2s.

Jacquemet's Compendium of Chronology: Containing the most important Dates of General History, Political, Ecclesiastical, and Literary, from the Creation of the World to the end of the Year 1854. Edited by the Rev. J. ALCORN, M.A. Post 8vo. price 7s. 6d.

Jennings.—Social Delusions concerning Wealth and Want. By RICHARD JENNINGS, M.A., Trinity College, Cambridge; Author of *Natural Elements of Political Economy.* Fcp 8vo. 4s.

Lord Jeffrey's Contributions to The Edinburgh Review. A New Edition, complete in One Volume, with a Portrait engraved by Henry Robinson, and a Vignette. Square crown 8vo. 21s. cloth; or 30s. calf. —Or in 8 vols. 8vo. price 42s.

Bishop Jeremy Taylor's Entire Works: With Life by BISHOP HUXLEY. Revised and corrected by the Rev. CHARLES PAGE HUXLEY, Fellow of Oriel College, Oxford. Now complete in 10 vols. 8vo. 10s. 6d. each.

Johns and Nicolas's Calendar of Victory: Being a Record of British Valour and Conquest by Sea and Land, on Every Day in the Year, from the Earliest Period to the Battle of Inkermann. Fcp. 8vo. 12s. 6d.

Johnston.—A Dictionary of Geography, Descriptive, Physical, Statistical, and Historical; Forming a complete General Gazetteer of the World. By A. KEITH JOHNSTON, F.R.S.E., F.R.G.S., F.G.S., Geographer at Edinburgh in Ordinary to Her Majesty. Second Edition, thoroughly revised. In 1 vol. of 1,360 pages, comprising about 50,000 Names of Places. 8vo. 36s. cloth; or half-bound in Russia, 41s.

Jones (Owen).—Flowers and their Kindred Thoughts. A Series of Stanzas. By MARY ANNE BACON. With beautiful Illustrations of Flowers, designed and executed in illuminated printing by OWEN JONES. Reprinted. Imperial 8vo. price 31s. 6d. calf.

Kalisch.—Historical and Critical Commentary on the Old Testament. By Dr. M. KALISCH, M.A. First Portion—Exodus: in Hebrew and English, with copious Notes, Critical, Philological, and Explanatory. Svo. 15s.

* An edition of the *Exodus*, as above (for the use of English readers), comprising the English Translation, and an abridged Commentary. 8vo. price 12s.

Kemble.—The Saxons in England: A History of the English Commonwealth till the Norman Conquest. By JOHN M. KEMBLE, M.A., &c. 2 vols. 8vo. 28s.

Kemp.—The Phasis of Matter: Being an Outline of the Discoveries and Applications of Modern Chemistry. By T. LINDLEY KEMP, M.D. With 148 Woodcuts 2 vols. crown 8vo. 21s.

Kennard.—Eastern Experiences collected during a Winter's Tour in Egypt and the Holy Land. By ADAM STEINMETZ KENNARD. Post 8vo. 10s. 6d.

Kesteven.—A Manual of the Domestic Practice of Medicine. By W. B. KESTEVEN, Fellow of the Royal College of Surgeons of England, &c. Square post 8vo. 7s. 6d.

Kirby and Spence's Introduction to Entomology; or, Elements of the Natural History of Insects: Comprising an Account of Noxious and Useful Insects, of their Metamorphoses, Food, Stratagems, Habitations, Societies, Motions, Noises, Hibernation, Instinct, &c. *Seventh Edition,* with an Appendix relative to the Origin and Progress of the work. Crown 8vo. 6s.

NEW WORKS AND NEW EDITIONS

LARDNER'S CABINET CYCLOPÆDIA

History, Biography, Literature, the Arts and Sciences, Natural History, and Manufactures.
A Series of Original Works by

SIR JOHN HERSCHEL,
SIR JAMES MACKINTOSH,
ROBERT SOUTHEY,
SIR DAVID BREWSTER,

THOMAS KEIGHTLEY,
JOHN FORSTER,
SIR WALTER SCOTT,
THOMAS MOORE,

BISHOP THIRLWALL,
THE REV. G. E. GLIEG,
J. C. L. DE SIEVONDI,
JOHN PHILLIPS, F.R.S., G.S.

AND OTHER EMINENT WRITERS.

Complete in 122 vols. fcp. Svo. with Vignette Titles, price, in cloth, Nineteen Guineas.
The Works separately, in Sets or Series, price Three Shillings and Sixpence each Volume.

A List of the Works composing the CABINET CYCLOPÆDIA:

1. Bell's History of Russia	3 vols 10s. 6d	34. Lardner on Heat	1 vol 2s. 6d
2. Bell's Lives of British Poets	2 vols 7s.	35. Lardner's Hydrostatics and Pneumatics 1 vol. 2s. 6d	
3. Brewster's Optics	1 vol. 3s. 6d.	36. Lardner and Walker's Electricity and Magnetism	2 vols 7s
4. Cooley's Maritime and Inland Discoveries	3 vols 10s. 6d	37. Mackintosh, Forster, and Courtenay's Lives of British Statesmen	7 vols. 2s. 6d
5. Croker's History of France	2 vols. 10s. 6d	38. Mackintosh, Wallace, and Dell's History of England	10 vols. 3s
6. De Morgan on Probabilities	1 vol. 2s. 6d	39. Montgomery and Shelley's eminent Italian, Spanish, and Portuguese Authors 3 vols 10s. 6d.	
7. De Sismondi's History of the Italian Republics	1 vol. 2s. 6d.	40. Moore's History of Ireland	4 vols. 1s
8. De Sismondi's Fall of the Roman Empire	2 vols 7s.	41. Nicolas's Chronology of History	1 vol. 2s. 6d
9. Donovan's Chemistry	1 vol. 2s. 6d.	42. Phillips's Treatise on Geology	2 vols 7s.
10. Donovan's Domestic Economy	2 vols. 7s.	43. Powell's History of Natural Philosophy 1 vol. 2s. 6d.	
11. Dunham's Spain and Portugal	5 vols 17s. 6d.	44. Portet's Treatise on the Manufacture of Silk	1 vol. 2s. 6d
12. Dunham's History of Denmark, Sweden, and Norway	3 vols 10s. 6d.	45. Porte's Manufactures of Porcelain and Glass	1 vol. 2s. 6d
13. Dunham's History of Poland	1 vol. 2s. 6d	46. Roseau's British Lawyers	1 vol. 2s. 6d
14. Dunham's Germanic Empire	3 vols 10s. 6d	47. Scott's History of Scotland	2 vols. 7s.
15. Dunham's Europe during the Middle Ages	4 vols 11s.	48. Shelley's Lives of eminent French Authors	2 vols. 7s.
16. Dunham's British Dramatists	2 vols. 7s.	49. Shuckard and Swainson's Insects	1 vol. 2s. 6d
17. Dunham's Lives of Early Writers of Great Britain	1 vol. 2s. 6d.	50. Southey's Lives of British Admirals	6 vols. 17s. 6d
18. Fergus's History of the United States	2 vols. 7s.	51. Stebbing's Church History	2 vols. 7s.
19. Foshoko's Greek & Roman Antiquities	2 vols. 7s.	52. Stebbing's History of the Reformation	2 vols. 7s.
20. Forster's Lives of the Statesmen of the Commonwealth	5 vols. 17s. 6d	53. Swainson's Discourse on Natural History 1 vol. 2s. 6d	
21. Glegg's Lives of British Military Commanders	3 vols. 10s. 6d	54. Swainson's Natural History and Classification of Animals	1 vol. 2s. 6d
22. Grattan's History of the Netherlands	1 vol. 2s. 6d.	55. Swainson's Habits and Instincts of Animals	1 vol. 2s. 6d
23. Henslow's Botany	1 vol. 2s. 6d.	56. Swainson's Birds	2 vols. 7s.
24. Herschel's Astronomy	1 vol. 2s. 6d	57. Swainson's Fish, Reptiles, &c.	2 vols. 7s.
25. Herschel's Discourse on Natural Philosophy	1 vol. 2s. 6d.	58. Swainson's Quadrupeds	1 vol. 2s. 6d.
26. History of Rome	9 vols. 7s.	59. Swainson's Shells and Shell-Fish	1 vol. 2s. 6d
27. History of Switzerland	1 vol. 2s. 6d	60. Swainson's Animals in Menageries	1 vol. 2s. 6d
28. Holland's Manufactures in Metal	3 vols. 10s. 6d	61. Swainson's Taxidermy and Biography of Zoolologists	1 vol. 2s. 6d
29. James's Lives of Foreign Statesmen	5 vols 17s. 6d	62. Thirlwall's History of Greece	8 vols. 2s.
30. Kates and Lardner's Mechanics	1 vol. 2s. 6d.		
31. Keightley's Outlines of History	1 vol. 2s. 6d.		
32. Lardner's Arithmetic	1 vol. 2s. 6d.		
33. Lardner's Geometry	1 vol. 2s. 6d.		

Mrs. R. Lee's Elements of Natural History; or, First Principles of Zoology: Comprising the Principles of Classification, interspersed with amusing and instructive Accounts of the most remarkable Animals. New Edition; Woodcuts. Fcp. Svo. 7s. 6d.

Letters to my Unknown Friends. By a LADY, Author of *Letters on Happiness*. Fourth Edition. Fcp. Svo. 5s.

Happiness, addressed to a Friend. By a MEMBER of *Letters to my Unknown Friends*. Fcp. Svo. 5s.

L. E. L.—The Poetical Works of Letitia Elizabeth Landon; comprising the *Improvisatrice*, the *Venetian Bazaar*, the *Golden Violet*, the *Troubadour*, and Poetical Remains. New Edition; with 2 Vignettes by R. Doyle. 2 vols. 16mo. 10s. cloth; morocco, 21s.

Dr. John Lindley's Theory and Practice of Horticulture; or, an Attempt to explain the principal Operations of Gardening upon Physiological Grounds: Being the Second Edition of the *Theory of Horticulture*, much enlarged; with 98 Woodcuts. Svo. 21s.

Dr. John Lindley's Introduction to Botany. New Edition, with Corrections and copious Additions. 2 vols. Svo. with Six Plates and numerous Woodcuts, price 24s.

Linwood.—Anthologia Oxoniensis, sive Florilegium e Lusibus poetis diversorum Oxoniensium Gracis et Latinis decerpsum. Curante GUILIELMO LINWOOD, M.A., Edis Christi Alumno. Svo. price 14s.

Lorimer's (C.) Letters to a Young Master Mariner on some Subjects connected with his Calling New Edition. Fcp. Svo. 5s. 6d.

Loudon's Encyclopaedia of Gardening: Comprising the Theory and Practice of Horticulture, Floriculture, Arboriculture, and Landscape-Gardening. With many hundred Woodcuts. New Edition, corrected and improved by Mrs. LOUDON. Svo. 50s.

Loudon's Encyclopaedia of Trees and Shrubs, or Arboretum et Fruticetum Britannicum abridged: Containing the Hardy Trees and Shrubs of Great Britain, Native and Foreign, Scientifically and Popularly Described. With about 2,000 Woodcuts. Svo. 50s.

Loudon's Encyclopaedia of Agriculture: Comprising the Theory and Practice of the Valuation, Transfer, Laying-out, Improvement, and Management of Landed Property, and of the Cultivation and Economy of the Animal and Vegetable Productions of Agriculture. New Edition; with 1,100 Woodcuts. Svo. 50s.

Loudon's Encyclopaedia of Plants: Comprising the Specific Character, Description, Culture, History, Application in the Arts, and every other desirable Particular respecting all the Plants found in Great Britain. New Edition, corrected by Mrs. LOUDON. With upwards of 12,000 Woodcuts. Svo. £3. 18s. 6d.—Second Supplement, 21s.

Loudon's Encyclopaedia of Cottage, Farm, and Villa Architecture and Furniture. New Edition, edited by Mrs. LOUDON; with more than 2,000 Woodcuts. Svo. 68s.

Loudon's Self-Instruction for Young Gardeners, Foresters, Bailiffs, Land Stewards, and Farmers; in Arithmetic, Book-keeping, Geometry, Mensuration, Practical Trigonometry, Mechanics, Land-Surveying, Levelling, Planning and Mapping, Architectural Drawing, and Isometrical Projection and Perspective. Svo. Portrait, 7s. 6d.

Loudon's Hortus Britannicus; or, Catalogue of all the Plants found in Great Britain. New Edition, corrected by Mrs. LOUDON. Svo. 81s. 6d.

Mrs. Loudon's Lady's Country Companion; or, How to Enjoy a Country Life Rationally. Fourth Edition, with Plates and Woodcuts. Fcp. Svo. 5s.

Mrs. Loudon's Amateur Gardener's Calendar, or Monthly Guide to what should be avoided and done in a Garden. 16mo. with Woodcuts, 7s. 6d.

Low's Elements of Practical Agriculture; comprehending the Cultivation of Plants, the Husbandry of the Domestic Animals, and the Economy of the Farm. New Edition; with 200 Woodcuts. Svo. 21s.

Lynch.—The Rivulet: A Contribution to Sacred Song. By THOMAS T. LYNCH, Author of *Memorials of Theophilus Tripp*, &c. Second Edition, printed in a more convenient form. Royal 82mo. 2s. 6d.

Macaulay.—Speeches of the Right Hon. T. B. Macaulay, M.P. Corrected by HIMSELF. Svo. price 12s.

Macaulay.—The History of England from the Accession of James II. By THOMAS BABINGTON MACAULAY. New Edition. Vols. I. and II. Svo. price 82s.; Vols. III. and IV. price 86s.

Mr. Macaulay's Critical and Historical Essays contributed to The Edinburgh Review. Four Editions, as follows:—

1. A LIBRARY EDITION (the *Edinburgh*), in 8 vols. Svo price 30s.
2. Complete in ONE VOLUME, with Portrait and vignette. Square crown Svo. price 21s. cloth; or 30s. calf.
3. Another NEW EDITION, in 8 vols. fcp. Svo. price 21s. cloth.
4. The PEGWELL'S EDITION, in 2 vols. crown Svo. price 2s. cloth.

Macaulay.—Lays of Ancient Rome, with Virgil and the Armada. By THOMAS BABINGTON MACAULAY. New Edition. 16mo. price 4s. 6d. cloth; or 10s. 6d. bound in morocco.

Mr. Macaulay's Lays of Ancient Rome. With numerous Illustrations, Original and from the Antique, drawn on Wood by George Scharff, jun., and engraved by Samuel Williams. New Edition. Fcp. 4to. price 21s. boards; or 42s. bound in morocco.

MacDonald.—*Within and Without: A Dramatic Poem.* By GEORGE MACDONALD. Crown Svo. 7s. 6d.

Macdonald.—*Villa Verocchio; or, the Youth of Leonardo da Vinci. A Tale.* By the late MRS. D. L. MACDONALD. Fcp. Svo. price 6s.

MacDougall.—*The Theory of War illustrated by numerous Examples from Military History.* By Lieutenant-Colonel MACDOUGALL, Superintendent of Studies in the Royal Military College, Sandhurst. Post Svo. with Plans. [Just ready.]

Sir James Mackintosh's Miscellaneous Works: Including his Contributions to The Edinburgh Review. Complete in One Volume; with Portrait and Vignette Square crown Svo. 21s cloth; or 30s. bound in calf; or in 3 vols. fcp. Svo. 21s.

Sir James Mackintosh's History of England from the Earliest Times to the final Establishment of the Reformation. Library Edition, revised. 2 vols. Svo. 21s.

Macleod.—*The Theory and Practice of Banking: With the Elementary Principles of Currency, Prices, Credit, and Exchanges.* By HENRY DUNNING MACLEOD, of the Inner Temple, Esq., Barrister-at-Law. 2 vols. royal Svo. price 30s.

M'Clure.—*A Narrative of the Discovery of the North-West Passage* by H.M.S. *Investigator*, Capt. SIR ROBERT M'CLURE, R.N. Edited by Capt. SHERARD OSBORN, C.B., from the Logs, Journals, and Private Letters of Sir R. M'Clure. With Chart and 4 Views. Svo. 15s.

Macnaught.—*The Doctrine of Inspiration: Being an Inquiry concerning the Infallibility, Inspiration, and Authority of Holy Writ.* By the Rev. JOHN MACNAUGHT, M.A. Second Edition, revised. [Just ready.]

M'Gulloch's Dictionary, Practical, Theoretical, and Historical, of Commerce and Commercial Navigation. Illustrated with Maps and Plans. New Edition, corrected to the Present Time; with a Supplement. Svo. price 50s. cloth; half-russia, 55s.

M'Gulloch's Dictionary, Geographical, Statistical, and Historical, of the various Countries, Places, and principal Natural Objects in the World. Illustrated with Six Maps. New Edition, revised; with a Supplement. 2 vols. Svo. price 62s.

Maitland.—*The Church in the Catacombs: A Description of the Primitive Church of Rome.* Illustrated by its Sepulchral Remains. By the Rev. CHARLES MAITLAND. New Edition; with several Woodcuts. Svo. price 14s.

Out-of-Doors Drawing.—Aphorisms on Drawing. By the Rev. S. C. MALAN, M.A. of Balliol College, Oxford, Vicar of Broadwindsor, Dorset. Post Svo. 3s 6d.

Mann.—*The Philosophy of Reproduction.* By ROBERT JAMES MANN, M.D., F.R.A.S. Fcp. Svo. with Woodcuts, price 4s. 6d.

Mrs. Marcet's Conversations on Chemistry, in which the Elements of that Science are familiarly explained and illustrated by Experiments. New Edition, enlarged and improved. 2 vols. fcp. Svo. price 14s.

Mrs. Marcet's Conversations on Natural Philosophy, in which the Elements of that Science are familiarly explained. New Edition, enlarged and corrected; with 28 Plates. Fcp. Svo. price 10s. 6d.

Mrs. Marcet's Conversations on Vegetable Physiology; comprehending the Elements of Botany, with their Application to Agriculture. New Edition; with 4 Plates. Fcp. Svo. price 9s.

Martineau.—Endeavours after the Christian Life: Discourses. By JAMES MARTINEAU. 2 vols. post Svo. 7s. 6d. each.

Martineau.—Hymns for the Christian Church and Home. Collected and edited by JAMES MARTINEAU. Eleventh Edition, 32mo 3s. 6d. cloth, or 6s. calf; Fifth Edition, 32mo. 1s. 4d. cloth, or 1s. 8d. roan.

Martineau.—Miscellanies. Comprising Essays on Dr. Priestley, Arnold's *Life and Correspondence*, Church and State, Theodore Parker's *Discourse of Religion*, "Phases of Faith," the Church of England, and the Battle of the Churches. By JAMES MARTINEAU. Post Svo. 9s.

Maunder's Biographical Treasury; consisting of Memoirs, Sketches, and brief Notices of above 12,000 Eminent Persons of All Ages and Nations, from the Earliest Period of History: Forming a new and complete Dictionary of Universal Biography. Ninth Edition, revised throughout. Fcp. Svo. 10s. cloth; bound in roan, 12s.; calf, 12s. 6d.

Maunder's Geographical Treasury.—The Treasury of Geography, Physical, Historical, Descriptive, and Political; containing a succinct Account of Every Country in the World: Preceded by an Introductory Outline of the History of Geography; a Familiar Inquiry into the Varieties of Race and Language exhibited by different Nations, and a View of the Relations of Geography to Astronomy and the Physical Sciences. Commenced by the late SAMUEL MAUNDER; completed by WILLIAM HUGHES, F.R.G.S., late Professor of Geography in the College for Civil Engineers. With 7 Maps and 16 Steel Plates. Fcp. 8vo. 10s. cloth; roan, 12s.; calf, 12s. 6d.

Maunder's Historical Treasury; comprising a General Introductory Outline of Universal History, Ancient and Modern, and a Series of separate Histories of Every principal Nation that exists; their Rise, Progress, and Present Condition, the Moral and Social Character of their respective Inhabitants, their Religion, Manners and Customs, &c. New Edition; revised throughout, with a new GENERAL INDEX. Fcp. 8vo. 10s. cloth; roan, 12s.; calf, 12s. 6d.

Maunder's Scientific and Literary Treasury: A new and popular Encyclopaedia of Science and the Belles-Lettres; including all Branches of Science, and every subject connected with Literature and Art. New Edition. Fcp. 8vo. price 10s. cloth; bound in roan, 12s.; calf, 12s. 6d.

Maunder's Treasury of Natural History; or, a Popular Dictionary of Animated Nature: In which the Zoological Characteristics that distinguish the different Classes, Genera, and Species, are combined with a variety of interesting Information illustrative of the Habits, Instincts, and General Economy of the Animal Kingdom. With 900 Woodcuts. New Edition. Fcp. 8vo. price 10s. cloth; roan, 12s.; calf, 12s. 6d.

Maunder's Treasury of Knowledge, and Library of Reference. Comprising an English Dictionary and Grammar, an Universal Gazetteer, a Classical Dictionary, a Chronology, a Law Dictionary, a Synopsis of the Peerage, numerous useful Tables, &c. New Edition, carefully revised and corrected throughout; With Additions. Fcp. 8vo. 10s. cloth; bound in roan, 12s.; calf, 12s. 6d.

Merivale.—A History of the Romans under the Empire. By the Rev. CHARLES MERIVALE, B.D., late Fellow of St. John's College, Cambridge. Vols. I. to III. 8vo. price £2. 2s.—Vols. IV. and V. (from *Augustus* to *Claudius*), price 32s.

Merivale.—The Fall of the Roman Republic: A Short History of the Last Century of the Commonwealth. By the Rev. C. MERIVALE, B.D., late Fellow of St. John's College, Cambridge. New Edition. 12mo. 7s. 6d.

Merivale.—An Account of the Life and Letters of Cicero. Translated from the German of ABEKEN; and Edited by the Rev. CHARLES MERIVALE, B.D. 12mo. 9s. 6d.

Miles.—The Horse's Foot, and How to Keep it Sound. Eighth Edition; with an Appendix on Shoeing in general, and Hunters in particular, 12 Plates and 12 Woodcuts. By W. MILES, Esq. Imperial 8vo. 12s. 6d.

* Two Caasts or Models of Off Fore Feet. No. 1. *Shod for All Purposes*, No. 2. *Shod with Leather*, on Mr. Miles's plan, may be had, price 3s. each.

Miles.—A Plain Treatise on Horse-Shoeing. By WILLIAM MILES, Esq. With Plates and Woodcuts. Small 4to. price 5s.

Milner.—Russia, its Rise and Progress, Tragedies and Revolutions. By the Rev. T. MILNER, M.A., F.R.G.S. Post 8vo. with Plate, price 10s. 6d.

Milner.—The Crimea, its Ancient and Modern History: The Khans, the Sultans, and the Czars: With Sketches of its Scenery and Population. By the Rev. T. MILNER, M.A. Post 8vo. with 3 Maps, price 10s. 6d.

Milner.—The Baltic; its Gates, Shores, and Cities: With a Notice of the White Sea. By the Rev. T. MILNER, M.A., F.R.G.S. Post 8vo. with Map, price 10s. 6d.

Milner's History of the Church of Christ. With Additions by the late Rev. ISAAC MILNER, D.D., F.R.S. A New Edition, revised, with additional Notes by the Rev. T. GRANTHAM, B.D. 4 vols. 8vo. price 52s.

Montgomery.—Memoirs of the Life and Writings of James Montgomery: Including Selections from his Correspondence, Remains in Prose and Verse, and Conversations. By JOHN HOLLAND and JAMES EVERETT. With Portraits and Vignettes. 7 vols. post 8vo. price £3. 13s. 6d.

James Montgomery's Poetical Works: Collective Edition; with the Author's Auto-biographical Prefaces, complete in One Volume; with Portrait and Vignette. Square crown 8vo. price 10s. 6d. cloth; morocco, 21s.—Or, in 4 vols. fcp. 8vo. with Portrait, and 7 other Plates, price 14s.

James Montgomery's Original Hymns for Public, Social, and Private Devotion. 18mo. price 5s. 6d.

Moore.—The Power of the Soul over the Body, considered in relation to Health and Morals. By GEORGE MOORE, M.D. *Third Edition.* Fop. 8vo. 6s.

Moore.—Man and his Motives. By George MOORE, M.D. *Third Edition.* Fop. 8vo. 6s.

Moore.—The Use of the Body in relation to the Mind. By GEORGE MOORE, M.D. *Third Edition.* Fop. 8vo. 6s.

Moore's Epicurean. New Edition, with the Notes from the Collective Edition of *Moore's Poetical Works*; and a Vignette engraved on Wood; Uniform with *Moore's Irish Melodies* and *Lalla Rookh*, and with the first collected edition of *Moore's Songs, Ballads, and Sacred Songs*. 16mo. [In the press.]

Moore's Irish Melodies. A New Edition, with 18 highly-finished Steel Plates, from Original Designs by

G. W. COPE, R.A.;	D. MACLISE, R.A.;
T. CRESWICK, R.A.;	J. E. MILLAR, R.A.;
A. L. REE, R.A.;	W. MULREADY, R.A.;
W. P. FAIRFAX, R.A.;	J. SANT,
W. J. FOXON, R.A.;	F. STONE, R.A. and
J. C. HORNEY,	E. M. WARD, R.A.

Square crown 8vo. price 21s. cloth; or 31s. 6d. handsomely bound in morocco.

Moore's Irish Melodies. Illustrated by D. MacLise, R.A. New Edition; with 161 Designs, and the whole of the Letterpress engraved on Steel, by F. P. Becker. Super-royal 8vo. 31s. 6d. boards; £2. 12s. 6d. morocco by Hayday.

Moore's Irish Melodies. New Edition, printed in Diamond Type; with the Preface and Notes from the collective edition of *Moore's Poetical Works*, the Advertisements originally prefixed to the *Melodies*, and a Portrait of the Author. 82mo. 2s. 6d.—An Edition in 16mo. with Vignette, 6s.; or 12s. 6d. morocco by Hayday.

Moore's Lalla Rookh: An Oriental Romance. With 18 highly-finished Steel Plates from Original Designs by Corbould, Meadows, and Stephanoff, engraved under the superintendance of the late Charles Heath. New Edition. Square crown 8vo. price 15s. cloth; morocco, 28s.

Moore's Lalla Rookh. New Edition, printed in Diamond Type; with the Preface and Notes from the collective edition of *Moore's Poetical Works*, and a Frontispiece from a Design by Kenny Meadows. 82mo. 2s. 6d.—An Edition in 16mo. with Vignette, 6s.; — 10s. 6d. — 21s. — 28s. — 31s. 6d. — 38s. — 45s. — 52s. — 59s. — 66s. — 73s. — 80s. — 87s. — 94s. — 101s. — 108s. — 115s. — 122s. — 129s. — 136s. — 143s. — 150s. — 157s. — 164s. — 171s. — 178s. — 185s. — 192s. — 199s. — 206s. — 213s. — 220s. — 227s. — 234s. — 241s. — 248s. — 255s. — 262s. — 269s. — 276s. — 283s. — 290s. — 297s. — 304s. — 311s. — 318s. — 325s. — 332s. — 339s. — 346s. — 353s. — 360s. — 367s. — 374s. — 381s. — 388s. — 395s. — 402s. — 409s. — 416s. — 423s. — 430s. — 437s. — 444s. — 451s. — 458s. — 465s. — 472s. — 479s. — 486s. — 493s. — 500s. — 507s. — 514s. — 521s. — 528s. — 535s. — 542s. — 549s. — 556s. — 563s. — 570s. — 577s. — 584s. — 591s. — 598s. — 605s. — 612s. — 619s. — 626s. — 633s. — 640s. — 647s. — 654s. — 661s. — 668s. — 675s. — 682s. — 689s. — 696s. — 703s. — 710s. — 717s. — 724s. — 731s. — 738s. — 745s. — 752s. — 759s. — 766s. — 773s. — 780s. — 787s. — 794s. — 801s. — 808s. — 815s. — 822s. — 829s. — 836s. — 843s. — 850s. — 857s. — 864s. — 871s. — 878s. — 885s. — 892s. — 899s. — 906s. — 913s. — 920s. — 927s. — 934s. — 941s. — 948s. — 955s. — 962s. — 969s. — 976s. — 983s. — 990s. — 997s. — 1004s. — 1011s. — 1018s. — 1025s. — 1032s. — 1039s. — 1046s. — 1053s. — 1060s. — 1067s. — 1074s. — 1081s. — 1088s. — 1095s. — 1102s. — 1109s. — 1116s. — 1123s. — 1130s. — 1137s. — 1144s. — 1151s. — 1158s. — 1165s. — 1172s. — 1179s. — 1186s. — 1193s. — 1199s. — 1206s. — 1213s. — 1220s. — 1227s. — 1234s. — 1241s. — 1248s. — 1255s. — 1262s. — 1269s. — 1276s. — 1283s. — 1290s. — 1297s. — 1304s. — 1311s. — 1318s. — 1325s. — 1332s. — 1339s. — 1346s. — 1353s. — 1360s. — 1367s. — 1374s. — 1381s. — 1388s. — 1395s. — 1402s. — 1409s. — 1416s. — 1423s. — 1430s. — 1437s. — 1444s. — 1451s. — 1458s. — 1465s. — 1472s. — 1479s. — 1486s. — 1493s. — 1500s. — 1507s. — 1514s. — 1521s. — 1528s. — 1535s. — 1542s. — 1549s. — 1556s. — 1563s. — 1570s. — 1577s. — 1584s. — 1591s. — 1598s. — 1605s. — 1612s. — 1619s. — 1626s. — 1633s. — 1640s. — 1647s. — 1654s. — 1661s. — 1668s. — 1675s. — 1682s. — 1689s. — 1696s. — 1703s. — 1710s. — 1717s. — 1724s. — 1731s. — 1738s. — 1745s. — 1752s. — 1759s. — 1766s. — 1773s. — 1780s. — 1787s. — 1794s. — 1801s. — 1808s. — 1815s. — 1822s. — 1829s. — 1836s. — 1843s. — 1850s. — 1857s. — 1864s. — 1871s. — 1878s. — 1885s. — 1892s. — 1899s. — 1906s. — 1913s. — 1920s. — 1927s. — 1934s. — 1941s. — 1948s. — 1955s. — 1962s. — 1969s. — 1976s. — 1983s. — 1990s. — 1997s. — 2004s. — 2011s. — 2018s. — 2025s. — 2032s. — 2039s. — 2046s. — 2053s. — 2060s. — 2067s. — 2074s. — 2081s. — 2088s. — 2095s. — 2102s. — 2109s. — 2116s. — 2123s. — 2130s. — 2137s. — 2144s. — 2151s. — 2158s. — 2165s. — 2172s. — 2179s. — 2186s. — 2193s. — 2199s. — 2206s. — 2213s. — 2220s. — 2227s. — 2234s. — 2241s. — 2248s. — 2255s. — 2262s. — 2269s. — 2276s. — 2283s. — 2290s. — 2297s. — 2304s. — 2311s. — 2318s. — 2325s. — 2332s. — 2339s. — 2346s. — 2353s. — 2360s. — 2367s. — 2374s. — 2381s. — 2388s. — 2395s. — 2402s. — 2409s. — 2416s. — 2423s. — 2430s. — 2437s. — 2444s. — 2451s. — 2458s. — 2465s. — 2472s. — 2479s. — 2486s. — 2493s. — 2500s. — 2507s. — 2514s. — 2521s. — 2528s. — 2535s. — 2542s. — 2549s. — 2556s. — 2563s. — 2570s. — 2577s. — 2584s. — 2591s. — 2598s. — 2605s. — 2612s. — 2619s. — 2626s. — 2633s. — 2640s. — 2647s. — 2654s. — 2661s. — 2668s. — 2675s. — 2682s. — 2689s. — 2696s. — 2703s. — 2710s. — 2717s. — 2724s. — 2731s. — 2738s. — 2745s. — 2752s. — 2759s. — 2766s. — 2773s. — 2780s. — 2787s. — 2794s. — 2801s. — 2808s. — 2815s. — 2822s. — 2829s. — 2836s. — 2843s. — 2850s. — 2857s. — 2864s. — 2871s. — 2878s. — 2885s. — 2892s. — 2899s. — 2906s. — 2913s. — 2920s. — 2927s. — 2934s. — 2941s. — 2948s. — 2955s. — 2962s. — 2969s. — 2976s. — 2983s. — 2990s. — 2997s. — 3004s. — 3011s. — 3018s. — 3025s. — 3032s. — 3039s. — 3046s. — 3053s. — 3060s. — 3067s. — 3074s. — 3081s. — 3088s. — 3095s. — 3102s. — 3109s. — 3116s. — 3123s. — 3130s. — 3137s. — 3144s. — 3151s. — 3158s. — 3165s. — 3172s. — 3179s. — 3186s. — 3193s. — 3199s. — 3206s. — 3213s. — 3220s. — 3227s. — 3234s. — 3241s. — 3248s. — 3255s. — 3262s. — 3269s. — 3276s. — 3283s. — 3290s. — 3297s. — 3304s. — 3311s. — 3318s. — 3325s. — 3332s. — 3339s. — 3346s. — 3353s. — 3360s. — 3367s. — 3374s. — 3381s. — 3388s. — 3395s. — 3402s. — 3409s. — 3416s. — 3423s. — 3430s. — 3437s. — 3444s. — 3451s. — 3458s. — 3465s. — 3472s. — 3479s. — 3486s. — 3493s. — 3500s. — 3507s. — 3514s. — 3521s. — 3528s. — 3535s. — 3542s. — 3549s. — 3556s. — 3563s. — 3570s. — 3577s. — 3584s. — 3591s. — 3598s. — 3605s. — 3612s. — 3619s. — 3626s. — 3633s. — 3640s. — 3647s. — 3654s. — 3661s. — 3668s. — 3675s. — 3682s. — 3689s. — 3696s. — 3703s. — 3710s. — 3717s. — 3724s. — 3731s. — 3738s. — 3745s. — 3752s. — 3759s. — 3766s. — 3773s. — 3780s. — 3787s. — 3794s. — 3801s. — 3808s. — 3815s. — 3822s. — 3829s. — 3836s. — 3843s. — 3850s. — 3857s. — 3864s. — 3871s. — 3878s. — 3885s. — 3892s. — 3899s. — 3906s. — 3913s. — 3920s. — 3927s. — 3934s. — 3941s. — 3948s. — 3955s. — 3962s. — 3969s. — 3976s. — 3983s. — 3990s. — 3997s. — 4004s. — 4011s. — 4018s. — 4025s. — 4032s. — 4039s. — 4046s. — 4053s. — 4060s. — 4067s. — 4074s. — 4081s. — 4088s. — 4095s. — 4102s. — 4109s. — 4116s. — 4123s. — 4130s. — 4137s. — 4144s. — 4151s. — 4158s. — 4165s. — 4172s. — 4179s. — 4186s. — 4193s. — 4199s. — 4206s. — 4213s. — 4220s. — 4227s. — 4234s. — 4241s. — 4248s. — 4255s. — 4262s. — 4269s. — 4276s. — 4283s. — 4290s. — 4297s. — 4304s. — 4311s. — 4318s. — 4325s. — 4332s. — 4339s. — 4346s. — 4353s. — 4360s. — 4367s. — 4374s. — 4381s. — 4388s. — 4395s. — 4402s. — 4409s. — 4416s. — 4423s. — 4430s. — 4437s. — 4444s. — 4451s. — 4458s. — 4465s. — 4472s. — 4479s. — 4486s. — 4493s. — 4499s. — 4506s. — 4513s. — 4520s. — 4527s. — 4534s. — 4541s. — 4548s. — 4555s. — 4562s. — 4569s. — 4576s. — 4583s. — 4590s. — 4597s. — 4604s. — 4611s. — 4618s. — 4625s. — 4632s. — 4639s. — 4646s. — 4653s. — 4660s. — 4667s. — 4674s. — 4681s. — 4688s. — 4695s. — 4702s. — 4709s. — 4716s. — 4723s. — 4730s. — 4737s. — 4744s. — 4751s. — 4758s. — 4765s. — 4772s. — 4779s. — 4786s. — 4793s. — 4799s. — 4806s. — 4813s. — 4820s. — 4827s. — 4834s. — 4841s. — 4848s. — 4855s. — 4862s. — 4869s. — 4876s. — 4883s. — 4890s. — 4897s. — 4904s. — 4911s. — 4918s. — 4925s. — 4932s. — 4939s. — 4946s. — 4953s. — 4960s. — 4967s. — 4974s. — 4981s. — 4988s. — 4995s. — 5002s. — 5009s. — 5016s. — 5023s. — 5030s. — 5037s. — 5044s. — 5051s. — 5058s. — 5065s. — 5072s. — 5079s. — 5086s. — 5093s. — 5099s. — 5106s. — 5113s. — 5120s. — 5127s. — 5134s. — 5141s. — 5148s. — 5155s. — 5162s. — 5169s. — 5176s. — 5183s. — 5190s. — 5197s. — 5204s. — 5211s. — 5218s. — 5225s. — 5232s. — 5239s. — 5246s. — 5253s. — 5260s. — 5267s. — 5274s. — 5281s. — 5288s. — 5295s. — 5302s. — 5309s. — 5316s. — 5323s. — 5330s. — 5337s. — 5344s. — 5351s. — 5358s. — 5365s. — 5372s. — 5379s. — 5386s. — 5393s. — 5399s. — 5406s. — 5413s. — 5420s. — 5427s. — 5434s. — 5441s. — 5448s. — 5455s. — 5462s. — 5469s. — 5476s. — 5483s. — 5490s. — 5497s. — 5504s. — 5511s. — 5518s. — 5525s. — 5532s. — 5539s. — 5546s. — 5553s. — 5560s. — 5567s. — 5574s. — 5581s. — 5588s. — 5595s. — 5599s. — 5606s. — 5613s. — 5620s. — 5627s. — 5634s. — 5641s. — 5648s. — 5655s. — 5662s. — 5669s. — 5676s. — 5683s. — 5690s. — 5697s. — 5704s. — 5711s. — 5718s. — 5725s. — 5732s. — 5739s. — 5746s. — 5753s. — 5760s. — 5767s. — 5774s. — 5781s. — 5788s. — 5795s. — 5799s. — 5806s. — 5813s. — 5820s. — 5827s. — 5834s. — 5841s. — 5848s. — 5855s. — 5862s. — 5869s. — 5876s. — 5883s. — 5890s. — 5897s. — 5904s. — 5911s. — 5918s. — 5925s. — 5932s. — 5939s. — 5946s. — 5953s. — 5960s. — 5967s. — 5974s. — 5981s. — 5988s. — 5995s. — 5999s. — 6006s. — 6013s. — 6020s. — 6027s. — 6034s. — 6041s. — 6048s. — 6055s. — 6062s. — 6069s. — 6076s. — 6083s. — 6090s. — 6097s. — 6104s. — 6111s. — 6118s. — 6125s. — 6132s. — 6139s. — 6146s. — 6153s. — 6160s. — 6167s. — 6174s. — 6181s. — 6188s. — 6195s. — 6199s. — 6206s. — 6213s. — 6220s. — 6227s. — 6234s. — 6241s. — 6248s. — 6255s. — 6262s. — 6269s. — 6276s. — 6283s. — 6290s. — 6297s. — 6304s. — 6311s. — 6318s. — 6325s. — 6332s. — 6339s. — 6346s. — 6353s. — 6360s. — 6367s. — 6374s. — 6381s. — 6388s. — 6395s. — 6399s. — 6406s. — 6413s. — 6420s. — 6427s. — 6434s. — 6441s. — 6448s. — 6455s. — 6462s. — 6469s. — 6476s. — 6483s. — 6490s. — 6497s. — 6504s. — 6511s. — 6518s. — 6525s. — 6532s. — 6539s. — 6546s. — 6553s. — 6560s. — 6567s. — 6574s. — 6581s. — 6588s. — 6595s. — 6599s. — 6606s. — 6613s. — 6620s. — 6627s. — 6634s. — 6641s. — 6648s. — 6655s. — 6662s. — 6669s. — 6676s. — 6683s. — 6690s. — 6697s. — 6704s. — 6711s. — 6718s. — 6725s. — 6732s. — 6739s. — 6746s. — 6753s. — 6760s. — 6767s. — 6774s. — 6781s. — 6788s. — 6795s. — 6799s. — 6806s. — 6813s. — 6820s. — 6827s. — 6834s. — 6841s. — 6848s. — 6855s. — 6862s. — 6869s. — 6876s. — 6883s. — 6890s. — 6897s. — 6904s. — 6911s. — 6918s. — 6925s. — 6932s. — 6939s. — 6946s. — 6953s. — 6960s. — 6967s. — 6974s. — 6981s. — 6988s. — 6995s. — 6999s. — 7006s. — 7013s. — 7020s. — 7027s. — 7034s. — 7041s. — 7048s. — 7055s. — 7062s. — 7069s. — 7076s. — 7083s. — 7090s. — 7097s. — 7104s. — 7111s. — 7118s. — 7125s. — 7132s. — 7139s. — 7146s. — 7153s. — 7160s. — 7167s. — 7174s. — 7181s. — 7188s. — 7195s. — 7199s. — 7206s. — 7213s. — 7220s. — 7227s. — 7234s. — 7241s. — 7248s. — 7255s. — 7262s. — 7269s. — 7276s. — 7283s. — 7290s. — 7297s. — 7304s. — 7311s. — 7318s. — 7325s. — 7332s. — 7339s. — 7346s. — 7353s. — 7360s. — 7367s. — 7374s. — 7381s. — 7388s. — 7395s. — 7399s. — 7406s. — 7413s. — 7420s. — 7427s. — 7434s. — 7441s. — 7448s. — 7455s. — 7462s. — 7469s. — 7476s. — 7483s. — 7490s. — 7497s. — 7504s. — 7511s. — 7518s. — 7525s. — 7532s. — 7539s. — 7546s. — 7553s. — 7560s. — 7567s. — 7574s. — 7581s. — 7588s. — 7595s. — 7599s. — 7606s. — 7613s. — 7620s. — 7627s. — 7634s. — 7641s. — 7648s. — 7655s. — 7662s. — 7669s. — 7676s. — 7683s. — 7690s. — 7697s. — 7704s. — 7711s. — 7718s. — 7725s. — 7732s. — 7739s. — 7746s. — 7753s. — 7760s. — 7767s. — 7774s. — 7781s. — 7788s. — 7795s. — 7799s. — 7806s. — 7813s. — 7820s. — 7827s. — 7834s. — 7841s. — 7848s. — 7855s. — 7862s. — 7869s. — 7876s. — 7883s. — 7890s. — 7897s. — 7904s. — 7911s. — 7918s. — 7925s. — 7932s. — 7939s. — 7946s. — 7953s. — 7960s. — 7967s. — 7974s. — 7981s. — 7988s. — 7995s. — 7999s. — 8006s. — 8013s. — 8020s. — 8027s. — 8034s. — 8041s. — 8048s. — 8055s. — 8062s. — 8069s. — 8076s. — 8083s. — 8090s. — 8097s. — 8104s. — 8111s. — 8118s. — 8125s. — 8132s. — 8139s. — 8146s. — 8153s. — 8160s. — 8167s. — 8174s. — 8181s. — 8188s. — 8195s. — 8199s. — 8206s. — 8213s. — 8220s. — 8227s. — 8234s. — 8241s. — 8248s. — 8255s. — 8262s. — 8269s. — 8276s. — 8283s. — 8290s. — 8297s. — 8304s. — 8311s. — 8318s. — 8325s. — 8332s. — 8339s. — 8346s. — 8353s. — 8360s. — 8367s. — 8374s. — 8381s. — 8388s. — 8395s. — 8399s. — 8406s. — 8413s. — 8420s. — 8427s. — 8434s. — 8441s. — 8448s. — 8455s. — 8462s. — 8469s. — 8476s. — 8483s. — 8490s. — 8497s. — 8504s. — 8511s. — 8518s. — 8525s. — 8532s. — 8539s. — 8546s. — 8553s. — 8560s. — 8567s. — 8574s. — 8581s. — 8588s. — 8595s. — 8599s. — 8606s. — 8613s. — 8620s. — 8627s. — 8634s. — 8641s. — 8648s. — 8655s. — 8662s. — 8669s. — 8676s. — 8683s. — 8690s. — 8697s. — 8704s. — 8711s. — 8718s. — 8725s. — 8732s. — 8739s. — 8746s. — 8753s. — 8760s. — 8767s. — 8774s. — 8781s. — 8788s. — 8795s. — 8799s. — 8806s. — 8813s. — 8820s. — 8827s. — 8834s. — 8841s. — 8848s. — 8855s. — 8862s. — 8869s. — 8876s. — 8883s. — 8890s. — 8897s. — 8904s. — 8911s. — 8918s. — 8925s. — 8932s. — 8939s. — 8946s. — 8953s. — 8960s. — 8967s. — 8974s. — 8981s. — 8988s. — 8995s. — 8999s. — 9006s. — 9013s. — 9020s. — 9027s. — 9034s. — 9041s. — 9048s. — 9055s. — 9062s. — 9069s. — 9076s. — 9083s. — 9090s. — 9097s. — 9104s. — 9111s. — 9118s. — 9125s. — 9132s. — 9139s. — 9146s. — 9153s. — 9160s. — 9167s. — 9174s. — 9181s. — 9188s. — 9195s. — 9199s. — 9206s. — 9213s. — 9220s. — 9227s. — 9234s. — 9241s. — 9248s. — 9255s. — 9262s. — 9269s. — 9276s. — 9283s. — 9290s. — 9297s. — 9304s. — 9311s. — 9318s. — 9325s. — 9332s. — 9339s. — 9346s. — 9353s. — 9360s. — 9367s. — 9374s. — 9381s. — 9388s. — 9395s. — 9399s. — 9406s. — 9413s. — 9420s. — 9427s. — 9434s. — 9441s. — 9448s. — 9455s. — 9462s. — 9469s. — 9476s. — 9483s. — 9490s. — 9497s. — 9504s. — 9511s. — 9518s. — 9525s. — 9532s. — 9539s. — 9546s. — 9553s. — 9560s. — 9567s. — 9574s. — 9581s. — 9588s. — 9595s. — 9599s. — 9606s. — 9613s. — 9620s. — 9627s. — 9634s. — 9641s. — 9648s. — 9655s. — 9662s. — 9669s. — 9676s. — 9683s. — 9690s. — 9697s. — 9704s. — 9711s. — 9718s. — 9725s. — 9732s. — 9739s. — 9746s. — 9753s. — 9760s. — 9767s. — 9774s. — 97

Newman.—Discourses addressed to Mixed Congregations. By JOHN HENRY NEWMAN, D.D. Second Edition. 8vo. 12s.

Nomos: An Attempt to Demonstrate a Central Physical Law in Nature. Post 8vo. price 7s. 6d.

Lord Normanby.—A Year of Revolution. From a Journal kept in Paris in the Year 1848. By the MARQUIS OF NORMANBY, K.G. 2 vols 8vo. [Just ready.]

Oldacre.—The Last of the Old Squires. A Sketch. By CEDRIC OLDACRE, Esq., of Sax-Normanbury, sometime of Christ Church, Oxon. Crown 8vo. price 9s. 6d.

Owen.—Lectures on the Comparative Anatomy and Physiology of the Invertebrate Animals. delivered at the Royal College of Surgeons. By RICHARD OWEN, F.R.S., Hunterian Professor to the College. Second Edition, with 235 Woodcuts. 8vo. 21s.

Professor Owen's Lectures on the Comparative Anatomy and Physiology of the Vertebrate Animals, delivered at the Royal College of Surgeons in 1844 and 1846. With numerous Woodcuts. Vol. I. 8vo. price 14s.

The Complete Works of Blaise Pascal. Translated from the French, with Memoir, Introductions to the various Works, Editorial Notes, and Appendices, by GEORGE PEARCE, Esq. 3 vols. post 8vo. with Portrait, 25s. 6d.

VOL. I. PASCAL'S PROVINCIAL LETTERS; with M. Villeman's Essay on Pascal prefixed, and a new Memoir. Post 8vo. Portrait, &c. 6d.

VOL. 2. PASCAL'S THOUGHTS ON RELIGION and Evidences of Christianity, with Additions from original MSS from M. Faugère's Edition. Post 8vo. price 8s. 6d.

VOL. 3. PASCAL'S MISCELLANEOUS WRITINGS, Correspondence, Detached Thoughts, &c.: from M. Faugère's Editor. Post 8vo. 8s. 6d.

Dr. Pereira's Elements of Materia Medica and Therapeutics. Third Edition, enlarged and improved from the Author's Materials, by A. S. TAYLOR, M.D., and G. O. REES, M.D.: With numerous Woodcuts. Vol. I. 8vo. 28s.; Vol. II. Part I. 21s.; Vol. II. Part II. 24s.

Dr. Pereira's Lectures on Polarised Light, together with a Lecture on the Microscope. 2d Edition, enlarged from Materials left by the Author, by the Rev. B. POWELL, M.A., &c. Fcp. 8vo. with Woodcuts, 7s.

Peschel's Elements of Physics. Translated from the German, with Notes, by E. WEST. With Diagrams and Woodcuts. 3 vols. fcp. 8vo. 21s.

Ida Pfeiffer's Lady's Second Journey round the World: From London to the Cape of Good Hope, Borneo, Java, Sumatra, Celebes, Ceram, the Moluccas &c., California, Panama, Peru, Ecuador, and the United States. 2 vols. post 8vo. 21s.

Phillips's Elementary Introduction to Mineralogy. A New Edition, with extensive Alterations and Additions, by H. J. BROOKE, F.R.S., F.G.S.; and W. H. MILLER, M.A., F.G.S. With numerous Wood Engravings. Post 8vo. 18s.

Phillips.—A Guide to Geology. By John PHILLIPS, M.A., F.R.S., F.G.S., &c. Fourth Edition, corrected to the Present Time; with 4 Plates. Fcp. 8vo. 5s.

Phillips.—Figures and Descriptions of the Palæozoic Fossils of Cornwall, Devon, and West Somerset; observed in the course of the Ordnance Geological Survey of that District. By JOHN PHILLIPS, F.R.S., F.G.S., &c. 8vo. with 60 Plates, price 9s.

Piesse's Art of Perfumery, and Methods of Obtaining the Odours of Plants: With Instructions for the Manufacture of Perfumes for the Handkerchief, Scented Powders, Odorous Vinegars, Dentifrices, Pomatums, Cosmétiques, Perfumed Soap, &c.; and an Appendix on the Colours of Flowers, Artificial Fruit Essences, &c. Second Edition, revised and improved; with 46 Woodcuts. Crown 8vo. 8s. 6d.

Pillans.—Contributions to the Cause of Education. By J. PILLANS, Esq., Professor of Humanity in the University of Edinburgh. 8vo. 12s.

Pinney.—The Duration of Human Life, and its Three Eras: When Men attained to be more than 900 Years of Age; When they attained to only 450; and When they reached to only 70. Showing the probable Causes and material Agents that have Shortened the Lives of the Human Race; and the Barriers that prevent a return to the Longevity of the Early Patriarchs. By JOEL PINNEY, Esq. 8vo. 7s. 6d.

Piscator.—The Choice and Cookery of Fish: A Practical Treatise. By PISCATOR. Fcp. 8vo. price 5s. 6d.

Short Whist; its Rise, Progress, and Laws. With Observations to make any one a Whist-Player. Containing also the Laws of Piquet, Cassino, Ecarté, Cribbage, Back-gammon. By Major A. New Edition; to which are added, Precepts for Tyros, by Mrs. B. Fcp. Svo. 3s.

Sinclair.—The Journey of Life. By CATHERINE SINCLAIR, Author of *The Burness of Life*. New Edition, corrected and enlarged. Fcp. Svo. 5s.

Sir Roger De Coverley. From The Spectator. With Notes and Illustrations, by W. HENRY WILLS; and 12 Wood Engravings from Designs by E. TAYLER. Second and cheaper Edition. Crown Svo. 10s. 6d.; or 21s. in morocco by Hayday.—An Edition without Woodcuts, in 16mo. price 1s.

Smee's Elements of Electro-Metallurgy. Third Edition, revised, corrected, and considerably enlarged; with Electrotypes and numerous Woodcuts. Post Svo. 10s. 6d.

Smith (G.)—Harmony of the Divine Dispensations: A Series of Discourses on Select Portions of Holy Scripture, designed to show the Spirituality, Efficacy, and Harmony of the Divine Revelations made to Mankind from the Beginning. By GEORGE SMITH, F.A.S., &c. Crown Svo. 7s. 6d.

Smith (G.)—Sacred Annals; or, Researches into the History and Religion of Mankind. By GEORGE SMITH, F.A.S., &c. 3 vols. crown Svo. price £1. 14s.

VOL. I.—THE PATRIARCHAL AGE, from the Creation to the Death of Isaac. Crown Svo. price 10s.

VOL. II.—THE HEBREW PEOPLE, from the Origin of the Israelite Nation to the Time of Christ. Crown Svo. in 3 Parts, price 18s.

VOL. III.—THE GENTILE NATIONS—Egyptians, Assyrians, Babylonians, Medes, Persians, Greeks, and Romans. Crown Svo. in 3 Parts, price 18s.

Smith (J.)—The Voyage and Shipwreck of St. Paul: With Dissertations on the Life and Writings of St. Luke, and the Ships and Navigation of the Ancients. By JAMES SMITH, of Jordanshill, Esq., F.R.S. Second Edition, with additional Proofs and Illustrations; Charts, Views, and Woodcuts. Crown Svo. 8s. 6d.

Memoir of the Rev. Sydney Smith. By his Daughter, LADY HOLLAND. With a Selection from his Letters, edited by MRS. AUSTIN. New Edition. 2 vols. Svo. 28s.

The Rev. Sydney Smith's Miscellaneous Works: Including his Contributions to The Edinburgh Review. Three Editions:—

1. A LIBRARY EDITION (the Fourth), in 3 vols. 8vo. with Portrait, 32s.

2 Complete in ONE VOLUME, with Portrait and Vignette. Square crown Svo. price 18s. cloth, or 30s. bound in calf.

3. Another NEW EDITION, in 3 vols. fcp. Svo. price 18s.

The Rev. Sydney Smith's Elementary Sketches of Moral Philosophy, delivered at the Royal Institution in the Years 1804, 1805, and 1806. Third and cheaper Edition. Fcp. Svo. 7s.

Robert Southey's Complete Poetical Works; containing all the Author's last Introductions and Notes. Complete in One Volume, with Portrait and Vignette. Medium Svo. price 21s. cloth; 42s. bound in morocco. Or in 10 vols. fcp. Svo. with Portrait and 19 Plates, price 35s.

Select Works of the British Poets; from Chaucer to Lovelace inclusive. With Biographical Sketches by the late ROBERT SOUTHHEY. Medium Svo. price 30s.

Southey's Correspondence.—Selections from the Letters of Robert Southey, &c. Edited by his Son-in-Law, the Rev. JOHN WOOD WALTER, B.D., Vicar of West Tarring, Sussex. 4 vols. post Svo. price 42s.

The Life and Correspondence of the late Robert Southey. Edited by his Son, the Rev. C. C. SOUTHHEY, M.A., Vicar of Ardeleigh. With Portraits and Landscape Illustrations. 6 vols. post Svo. price 63s.

Southey's The Doctor &c. complete in One Volume. Edited by the Rev. J. W. WALTER, B.D. With Portrait, Vignette, Bust, and coloured Plate. New Edition. Square crown Svo. price 21s.

Southey's Commonplace-Books, complete in Four Volumes. Edited by the Rev. J. W. WALTER, B.D. 4 vols. square crown Svo. price £3. 18s.

Each Commonplace-Book, complete in itself, may be had separately, as follows:—

FIRST SERIES—CHOICE PASSAGES, &c. 18s.

SECOND SERIES—SPECIAL COLLECTIONS. 18s.

THIRD SERIES—ANALYTICAL READINGS. 18s.

FOURTH SERIES—ORIGINAL MEMORANDA, &c. 18s.

Southey's Life of Wesley; and Rise and Progress of Methodism. New Edition, with Notes and Additions. Edited by the Rev. C. C. SOUTHHEY, M.A. 2 vols. Svo. with 2 Portraits, price 28s.

Spencer.—*The Principles of Psychology.*
By HERBERT SPENCER, Author of *Social Statistics.* 8vo. 16s.

Stainton.—*June: A Book for the Country in Summer Time.* By H. T. STAINTON, Author of *The Entomologist's Manual*, and various other popular Works on Natural History. Fep. 8vo. 3s.

Stephen.—*Lectures on the History of France.* By the Right Hon. SIR JAMES STEPHEN, K.C.B., LL.D., Professor of Modern History in the University of Cambridge. Second Edition. 2 vols. 8vo. price 24s.

Stephen.—*Essays in Ecclesiastical Biography;* from The Edinburgh Review. By the Right Hon. SIR JAMES STEPHEN, K.C.B., LL.D., Professor of Modern History in the University of Cambridge. Third Edition. 2 vols. 8vo. 24s.

Stonehenge.—*The Greyhound: Being a Treatise on the Art of Breeding, Rearing, and Training Greyhounds for Public Running; their Diseases and Treatment: Containing also Rules for the Management of Coursing Meetings, and for the Decision of Courses.* By STONEHENGE. With Frontispiece and many Woodcuts. Square crown 8vo. 21s.

Stow.—*The Training System, Moral Training School, and Normal Seminary for preparing Schoolmasters and Governesses.* By DAVID STOW, Esq., Honorary Secretary to the Glasgow Normal Free Seminary. Tenth Edition; with Plates and Woodcuts. Post 8vo. price 6s.

Strachey.—*Hebrew Politics in the Times of Sargon and Sennacherib: An Inquiry into the Historical Meaning and Purpose of the Prophecies of Isaiah, with some Notice of their Bearings on the Social and Political Life of England.* By EDWARD STRACHEY, Esq. *Cheaper Issue.* 8vo. price 8s. 6d.

By the same Author,

Miracles and Science. Post 8vo. price 1s.

Taylor.—*Christian Aspects of Faith and Duty: Twenty Discourses.* By JOHN JAMES TAYLOR, B.A. Second Edition. Post 8vo. price 7s. 6d.

Taylor.—*Loyola: And Jesuitism in its Rudiments.* By ISAAC TAYLOR. Post 8vo. price 10s. 6d.

Taylor.—*Wesley and Methodism.* By ISAAC TAYLOR. Post 8vo. Portrait, 10s. 6d.

Tegoborski.—*Commentaries on the Productive Forces of Russia.* By L. DA TEGBOBORSKI, Privy-Councillor and Member of the Imperial Council of Russia. Vols. I. and II. 8vo. price 14s. each.

Thacker's Courser's Annual Remembrancer and Stud-Book: Being an Alphabetical Return of the Running at all the Public Coursing Clubs in England, Ireland, and Scotland, for the Season 1855-56; with the Pedigrees (as far as received) of the Dogs. By ROBERT ABRAHAM WELSH, Liverpool. 8vo. 21s.

* * Published annually in October.

Thirlwall.—*The History of Greece.* By the Right Rev. the LORD BISHOP of ST. DAVID'S (the Rev. Connop Thirlwall). An improved Library Edition; with Maps. 8 vols. 8vo. price £3.

* * Also, an Edition in 8 vols. fep. 8vo. with Vignette Titles, price 28s.

Thomson's Seasons. Edited by BOLTON CORNEY, Esq. Illustrated with 77 fine Wood Engravings from Designs by Members of the Etching Club. Square crown 8vo. 21s. cloth; or 36s. bound in morocco.

Thomson (the Rev. W.)—*The Atoning Work of Christ reviewed in relation to some current Theories;* in Eight Bampton Lectures, with numerous Notes. By the Rev. W. THOMSON, M.A., Provost of Queen's College, Oxford. 8vo. 8s.

Thomson.—*An Outline of the Laws of Thought: Being a Treatise on Pure and Applied Logic.* By the Rev. W. THOMSON, M.A. Third Edition, enlarged. Fep. 8vo. price 7s. 6d.

Thomson's Tables of Interest, at Three, Four, Four-and-a-Half, and Five per Cent., from One Pound to Ten Thousand, and from 1 to 365 Days, in a regular progression of single Days; with Interest at all the above Rates, from One to Twelve Months, and from One to Ten Years. Also, numerous other Tables of Exchanges, Time, and Discounts. New Edition. 12mo. 1s.

Thornbury.—*Shakespeare's England; or, Sketches of Social History during the Reign of Elizabeth.* By G. W. THORNBURY, Author of *History of the Buccaneers*, &c. 2 vols. crown 8vo. 21s.

The Thumb Bible; or, Verbum Semper Tunc. By J. TAYLOR. Being a Complete Volume of the Old and New Testaments in English Verse. Reprinted from the Edition of 1693; bound and clasped. 6d. 1s. 6d.

Bishop Tomline's Introduction to the Study of the Bible: Containing Proofs of the Authenticity and Inspiration of the Scriptures; a Summary of the History of the Jews, an Account of the Jewish Sects; and a brief Statement of Contents of several Books of the *Old Testament*. New Edition. Fep. 8vo. 5s. 6d.

Tooke.—History of Prices, and of the State of the Circulation, from 1847 to the close of 1855. By THOMAS TOOKE, F.R.S. With Contributions by WILLIAM NEW-MARCH. Being the Fifth and concluding Volume of Tooke's *History of Prices*, with an Index to the whole work. 8vo.

Townsend.—Modern State Trials revised and illustrated with Essays and Notes. By W. C. TOWNSEND, Esq., M.A., Q.C. 2 vols. 8vo. price 80s.

Trollope.—The Warden. By Anthony TROLLOPE. Post 8vo. 10s. 6d.

Sharon Turner's Sacred History of the World, attempted to be Philosophically considered, in a Series of Letters to a Son. New Edition, edited by the Rev. S. TURNER. 3 vols. post 8vo. price 31s. 6d.

Sharon Turner's History of England during the Middle Ages: Comprising the Reigns from the Norman Conquest to the Accession of Henry VIII. Fifth Edition, revised by the Rev. S. TURNER. 4 vols. 8vo. price 50s.

Sharon Turner's History of the Anglo-Saxons, from the Earliest Period to the Norman Conquest. Seventh Edition, revised by the Rev. S. TURNER. 3 vols. 8vo. 36s.

Dr. Turton's Manual of the Land and Fresh-Water Shells of the British Islands. A New Edition, with considerable Additions by JOHN HOWARD GRAY: With Woodcuts, and Coloured Plates. Post 8vo. price 15s.

Tuson.—The British Consul's Manual: Being a Practical Guide for Consuls, as well as for the Merchant, Shipowner, and Master Mariner, in all their Consular Transactions; and containing the Commercial Treaties between Great Britain and Foreign Countries, brought down to the present date. By E. W. A. TUSON, of the Inner Temple; Chancellor of the Imperial Austrian Consulate-General in London. 8vo. price 15s.

Twining.—Types and Figures of the Bible, illustrated by the Art of the Early and Middle Ages. By MISS LOUISA TWINING. With 54 Plates, comprising 207 Figures. Post 4to. 21s.

Dr. Ure's Dictionary of Arts, Manufactures, and Mines: Containing a clear Exposition of their Principles and Practice. Fourth Edition, much enlarged; most of the Articles being entirely re-written, and many new Articles added. With nearly 1,000 Woodcuts. 2 vols. 8vo. price 60s.

Van Der Hoeven's Handbook of Zoology. Translated from the Second Dutch Edition by the Rev. WILLIAM CLARK, M.D., F.R.S., &c., late Fellow of Trinity College, and Professor of Anatomy in the University of Cambridge, with additional References furnished by the Author. In Two Volumes. Vol. I. *Invertebrate Animals*, with 15 Plates, comprising very numerous Figures. 8vo. price 30s.

Vehe.—Memoirs of the Court, Aristocracy, and Diplomacy of Austria. By DR. H. VEHE. Translated from the German by FRANZ DUMMELER. 2 vols. post 8vo. 21s.

Wade.—England's Greatness: Its Rise and Progress in Government, Laws, Religion, and Social Life; Agriculture, Commerce, and Manufactures; Science, Literature, and the Arts, from the Earliest Period to the Peace of Paris. By JOHN WADE, V.P. Institut d'Afrique (Historical Section), Paris; Author of *History and Political Philosophy of the Productive Classes*, of the *Cabinet Lawyer*, &c. Fep. 8vo.

Waterton.—Essays on Natural History, chiefly Ornithology. By C. WATERTON, Esq. With an Autobiography of the Author, and Views of Walton Hall. New and cheaper Edition. 2 vols. fep. 8vo. price 10s.

Webster and Parkes's Encyclopaedia of Domestic Economy; comprising such subjects as are most immediately connected with Housekeeping: A, The Construction of Domestic Edifices, with the Modes of Warming, Ventilating, and Lighting them—A description of the various Articles of Furniture, with the nature of their Materials—Duties of Servants—&c. New Edition; with nearly 1,000 Woodcuts. 8vo. price 50s.

Weld.—A Vacation Tour in the United States and Canada. By C. R. WELD, Barrister-at-Law. Post 8vo. with Map, 10s. 6d.

West.—Lectures on the Diseases of Infancy and Childhood. By CHARLES WEST, M.D., Physician to the Hospital for Sick Children; Physician-Aconchour to, and Lecturer on Midwifery at, St. Bartholomew's Hospital. Third Edition. 8vo. 12s.

COMPLETION

OF
THE TRAVELLER'S LIBRARY.

Summary of the Contents of the TRAVELLER'S LIBRARY, now complete in 102 Parts, price One Shilling each, or in 50 Volumes, price 2s. 6d each in cloth.—To be had also, in complete Sets only, at Five Guineas per Set, bound in cloth, lettered, in 25 Volumes, classified as follows:

VOYAGES AND TRAVELS.

IN EUROPE.
A CONTINENTAL TOUR BY J. BARROW.
ARCTIC VOYAGES AND } BY F. MAYNE.
DISCOVERIES
BRITTANY AND THE BIBLE BY I. HOPE.
BRITTANY AND THE CHASE BY I. HOPE.
CORSIKA BY F. GREGOROVIUS.
GERMANY, ETC.: NOTES OF }
A TRAVELLER BY S. LAING.
ICELAND BY P. MILES.
NORWAY, A RESIDENCE IN BY S. LAING.
NORWAY, RAMBLES IN BY T. FORESTER.
RUSSIA BY MARQUIS DE CUSTINE.
RUSSIA AND TURKEY BY J. R. McCULLOCH.
ST. PETERSBURG BY M. JERMANN.
THE RUSSIANS OF THE SOUTH, BY S. BROOKS.
SWISS MEN AND SWISS }
MOUNTAINS BY R. FERGUSON.
MONT BLANC, ASCENT OF BY J. AULDJO.
SKETCHES OF NATURE }
IN THE ALPS. BY F. VON TSCHUDI.

VISIT TO THE VAUDOIS }
OF PIEDMONT BY E. BAINES.

IN ASIA.

CHINA AND TIBET BY THE ABBE HUO.
SYRIA AND PALESTINE "BOETHIEN."
THE PHILIPPINE ISLANDS, BY P. GIBONIERE.

IN AFRICA.

AFRICAN WANDERINGS BY M. WHIRRE.
MOBECOO BY X. DURRIEU.
NIGER EXPLORATION BY T. J. HUTCHINSON.
THE ZULUS OF NATAL BY G. H. MASON.

IN AMERICA.

BRAZIL BY R. WILBERFORCE.
CANADA BY A. M. JAMESON.
CURA BY W. H. HURLBURT.
NORTH AMERICAN WILDS BY C. LANMAN.

IN AUSTRALIA.

AUSTRALIAN COLONIES BY W. HUGHES.
ROUND THE WORLD
A LADY'S VOYAGE BY IDA PFLEIFFER.

HISTORY AND BIOGRAPHY.

MEMOIR OF THE DUKE OF WELLINGTON.
THE LIFE OF MARSHAL } BY THE REV. T. O.
TURINNE COCKAYNE.
SCHAMYL BY BODENSTEDT AND WAGNER.
FERDINAND I. AND MAXIMI }
LIAN II BY RANKEN.
FRANCIS ARAGO'S AUTOBIOGRAPHY.
THOMAS HOLcroft's MEMOIRS.

CHESTERFIELD & SHAW, BY A. HAYWARD.
SWIFT AND RICHARDSON, BY LORD JEFFREY.
DEFON AND CHURCHILL BY J. FORSTER.
ANECDOTES OF DR. JOHNSON, BY MRS. PIOLLET.
TURKEY AND CHRISTENDOM.
LEIPSIC CAMPAIGN, BY THE REV. G. R. GLUE.
AN ESSAY ON THE LIFE AND }
GENIUS OF THOMAS FULLER } ROGERS.

ESSAYS BY MR. MACAULAY.

WARREN HASTINGS.
LORD CLIVE.
WILLIAM Pitt.
THE EARL OF CHATHAM.
RANKEN'S HISTORY OF THE POPES.
GLADSTONE ON CHURCH AND STATE.
ADDISON'S LIFE AND WRITINGS.
HORACE WALPOLE.
LORD BACON.

LORD BYRON.
COMIC DRAMATISTS OF THE RESTORATION.
FREDERIC THE GREAT.
HALLAM'S CONSTITUTIONAL HISTORY.
CROKKE'S EDITION OF BOSWELL'S LIFE OF
JOHNSON.

MR. MACAULAY'S SPEECHES ON PARLIAMENTARY REFORM.

WORKS OF FICTION.

THE LOVE STORY FROM SOUTHEY'S DOCTOR.
SIR ROGER DE COVERLEY } FROM THE
MEMOIRS OF A MAITRE-D'ARMES, BY DUMAS.
CONFESSIONS OF A BY E. SOUVESTRE.
WORKING MAN BY E. SOUVESTRE.

AN ATTIC PHILOSOPHER IN PARIS BY E. SOUVESTRE.
SIR EDWARD SHAWARD'S NARRATIVE OF
HIS SHIPWRECK.

NATURAL HISTORY, &c.

NATURAL HISTORY OF } BY DR. L. KEMP.
CREATION
INDICATIONS OF INSTINCT, BY DR. L. KEMP.

ELECTRIC TELEGRAPH, &c. BY DR. G. WILSON.
OUR COAL-WELLS AND OUR COAL-PITS,
CORNWALL, ITS MINES, MINERS, &c.

MISCELLANEOUS WORKS.

LECTURES AND ADDRESSES } BY THE EARL OF
CARLISLE.
SELECTIONS FROM SYDNEY SMITH'S
WRITINGS.

RAILWAY MORALS AND } BY H. SPENCER.
RAILWAY POLICY
MORMONISM BY THE REV. W. J. CONYBEARE,
LONDON BY J. B. McCULLOCK.

NEW WORKS PUBLISHED BY LONGMAN AND CO.

Wheeler (H. M.)—A Popular Harmony of the Bible, Historically and Chronologically arranged. By HENRY M. WHEELER, Author of *Hebrew for Adults*, &c. Post 8vo. 5s.

Wheeler (J.T.)—The Life and Travels of Herodotus in the Fifth Century before Christ: An imaginary Biography, founded on fact, illustrative of the History, Manners, Religion, Literature, Arts, and Social Condition of the Greeks, Egyptians, Persians, Babylonians, Hebrews, Scythians, and other Ancient Nations, in the Days of Pericles and Nehemiah. By J. TALBOYS WHEELER, F.R.G.S. 2 vols. post 8vo. with Map, 21s.

Wheeler.—The Geography of Herodotus Developed, Explained, and Illustrated from Modern Researches and Discoveries. By J. TALBOYS WHEELER, F.R.G.S. With Maps and Plans. 8vo. price 18s.

Whitelocke's Journal of the English Embassy to the Court of Sweden in the Years 1653 and 1654. A New Edition, revised by HENRY REEVES, Esq., F.S.A. 2 vols. 8vo. 24s.

Willis's Popular Tables for ascertaining the Value of Landhold, Leashold, and Church Property, Renewal Fines, &c. Third Edition, with additional Tables of Natural or Hyperbolic Logarithms, Trigonometry, Astronomy, Geography, &c. Post 8vo. price 9s.—SUPPLEMENT, price 1s.

Wilmet's Abridgment of Blackstone's Commentaries on the Laws of England, intended for the use of Young Persons, and comprised in a series of Letters from a Father to his Daughter. A New Edition, corrected and brought down to the Present Day, by SIR JOHN B. HARDING WILMET, Bart. 12mo. price 6s. 6d.

Wilson (A.)—The Dissector's Manual of Practical and Surgical Anatomy. By THOMAS WILSON, M.D. Second Edition, corrected and improved, with 250 Engravings, Woodcuts by Bagg. 8vo. 12s. 6d.

Wilson (W.)—Bryologia Britannica, containing the Mosses of Great Britain and Ireland systematically arranged and described according to the Method of Brach and Schleicher; with 61 Illustrative Plates. Being a New Edition, enlarged and altered, of the *Muscologie Britannica* of Messrs. Hooker and Taylor. By WILLIAM WILSON, President of the Worthing Natural History Society. 8vo. 42s. 6d. with the Plates coloured, price 24s. 6s. cloth.

Woods.—The Past Campaign: A Sketch of the War in the East, from the Departure of Lord Raglan to the Fall of Sebastopol. By N. A. Woods, late Special Correspondent to the *Morning Herald* at the Seat of War. 2 vols. post 8vo. price 21s.

Yonge.—A New English-Greek Lexicon: Containing all the Greek Words used by Writers of good authority. By C. D. YONGE, B.A. Second Edition, revised and corrected. Post 4to. price 21s.

Yonge's New Latin Gradus: Containing Every Word used by the Poets of good authority. For the use of Eton, Westminster, Winchester, Harrow, Charterhouse, and Rugby Schools; King's College, London; and Marlborough College. Fourth Edition. Post 8vo. 9s.—APPENDIX of Epithets classified according to their English Meaning, price 3s. 6d.

Youatt.—The Horse. By William Youatt. With Treatise of Draught. New Edition, with numerous Wood Engravings from Designs by William Harvey. (Messrs. LONGMAN and Co.'s Edition should be ordered.) 8vo. price 10s.

Youatt.—The Dog. By William Youatt. A New Edition; with numerous Engravings, from Designs by W. Harvey. 8vo. 6s.

Young.—The Christ of History: An Argument grounded in the Facts of His Life on Earth. By the Rev. JOHN YOUNG, LL.D. Edin. Post 8vo. 7s. 6d.

Young.—The Mystery; or, Evil and God. By the Rev. JOHN YOUNG, LL.D. Edin. Post 8vo. 7s. 6d.

Young (E.)—Pro-Raihaellitism; or, a Popular Inquiry into some newly-discovered Principles connected with the Proprietary, Political, Ecclesiastical, and Social Condition of Art. By EDWARD YOUNG, M.A. of Trinity College, Cambridge; Author of *Art, its Constitution and Capacities*. Post 8vo. [Just ready.]

Zumpt's Grammar of the Latin Language. Translated and adapted for the use of English Students by DR. L. SCHREIBER, F.R.S.E.: With numerous Additions and Corrections by the Author and Translator. 4th Edition, thoroughly revised. 8vo. 14s.